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Supplemental Figure 1. Prospective cohort of incident stone formers

Supplemental Table 1. Baseline characteristics of incident symptomatic kidney stone formers in the prospective cohort for this study compared to the historical cohort used to developed the ROKS model.
$\left.\begin{array}{llll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Prospective Cohort } \\ (\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 7 )}\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ROKS Cohort } \\ \text { 1 } \\ \text { (1984-2017) }\end{array} & \\ \text { Baseline characteristics } & \text { N = 3364 }\end{array}\right)$

Supplemental Table 2. Predicting symptomatic and radiographic recurrence over 5 years with the Recurrence of Kidney Stone (ROKS) 2014 score $^{2}$ in the full cohort and the subset with or without a baseline asymptomatic kidney stone.

|  | Full cohort$\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=175 \text { ) }$ |  |  | Baseline asymptomatic kidney stone$(N=94)$ |  |  | No baseline asymptomatic kidney stone$(\mathrm{N}=81)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recurrence manifestation | 5-year Rate(\%) | OR* <br> (p-value) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C-Statistic } \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ | 5-year Rate(\%) | OR* <br> (p-value) | C-Statistic (95\% CI) | 5-year <br> Rate(\%) | OR* <br> (p-value) | C-Statistic (95\% CI) |
| Symptomatic recurrence <br> - Clinical care | 19\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (0.067) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.606 \\ (0.500,0.712) \end{gathered}$ | 24\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.551 \\ (0.404,0.697) \end{gathered}$ | 14\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ (0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.590 \\ (0.402,0.777) \end{gathered}$ |
| Symptomatic recurrence <br> - Self-reported | 25\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ (0.002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.656 \\ (0.562,0.749) \end{gathered}$ | 30\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.625 \\ (0.500,0.751) \end{gathered}$ | 19\% | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ (0.061) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.651 \\ (0.491,0.810) \end{gathered}$ |
| Any symptomatic recurrence | 30\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ (<0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.670 \\ (0.582,0.757) \end{gathered}$ | 38\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ (0.027) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.638 \\ (0.519,0.756) \end{gathered}$ | 21\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ (0.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.620 \\ (0.470,0.771) \end{gathered}$ |
| New stone between CT imaging | 35\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (0.038) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.592 \\ (0.503,0.682) \end{gathered}$ | 45\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.541 \\ (0.422,0.660) \end{gathered}$ | 23\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ (0.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.567 \\ (0.415,0.719) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stone growth between CT imaging | 24\% | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ (<0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.692 \\ (0.611,0.773) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stone passage between CT imaging | 27\% | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ (<0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.777 \\ (0.707,847) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any radiographic recurrence on CT | 59\% | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ (<0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.770 \\ (0.700,0.840) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any symptomatic or radiographic recurrence | 67\% | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ (<0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.759 \\ (0.686,0.831) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*OR per standard deviation of ROKS Score ${ }^{2}$

Supplemental Table 3. Prediction of different manifestations of kidney stone recurrence over 5 years by 24 -hour urine chemistries (after adjustment for age, gender and urine creatinine) and by serum chemistries (after adjustment for age and gender).


[^0]Supplemental Table 4. Comparison of 5-year recurrence rate between the Minnesota and Florida sites

|  | Minnesota | Florida |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Recurrence manifestation | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{N = 2 7}$ | p-value |
| Symptomatic - clinical care | $18 \%$ | $30 \%$ | 0.15 |
| Symptomatic - self-reported | $22 \%$ | $37 \%$ | 0.10 |
| Any symptomatic | $27 \%$ | $48 \%$ | 0.028 |
| Radiographic new stone | $37 \%$ | $26 \%$ | 0.29 |
| Radiographic stone growth | $22 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 0.21 |
| Radiographic stone passage | $28 \%$ | $26 \%$ | 0.84 |
| Any radiographic | $60 \%$ | $56 \%$ | 0.70 |
| Any symptomatic or radiographic | $64 \%$ | $82 \%$ | 0.12 |
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[^0]:    *Supersaturation (SS) delta Gibb's free energy (DG) was calculated using EQUIL2 ${ }^{3}$

