Impaired Angiogenesis in the Remnant Kidney Model: II. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Administration Reduces Renal Fibrosis and Stabilizes Renal Function
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Abstract. Impaired angiogenesis and decreased vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression were recently documented in the remnant kidney (RK) model of progressive renal failure. VEGF (50 μg/kg, twice daily) was administered to RK rats between weeks 4 and 8 after surgery, and rats were euthanized at week 8 for histologic study. During the administration of VEGF (n = 7) or vehicle (n = 6), systemic BP was comparable in the two groups. VEGF treatment resulted in improved renal function and lower mortality rates, compared with the vehicle-treated group. Renal histologic analyses confirmed a 3.5-fold increase in glomerular endothelial cell proliferation (0.14 ± 0.03 versus 0.04 ± 0.02 proliferating endothelial cells/glomerulus, VEGF versus vehicle, P < 0.05), a twofold increase in peritubular capillary endothelial cell proliferation (1.60 ± 0.30 versus 0.78 ± 0.17 cells/mm², VEGF versus vehicle, P < 0.01), a threefold decrease in peritubular capillary rarefaction (P < 0.01), and a twofold increase in endothelial nitric oxide synthase expression (P < 0.05) in the VEGF-treated group; an eightfold increase in urinary nitrate/nitrite levels (P < 0.05) was also noted. Although the difference in glomerulosclerosis scores did not reach statistical significance (0.67 ± 0.42 versus 1.22 ± 0.63, VEGF versus vehicle; range, 0 to 4; P = NS), VEGF-treated rats exhibited less interstitial collagen type III deposition (9.32 ± 3.26 versus 17.45 ± 7.50%, VEGF versus vehicle, P < 0.01) and reduced tubular epithelial cell injury, as manifested by osteopontin expression (5.57 ± 1.60 versus 9.58 ± 3.45%, VEGF versus vehicle, P < 0.01). In conclusion, VEGF treatment reduces fibrosis and stabilizes renal function in the RK model. The use of angiogenic factors may represent a new approach to the treatment of kidney disease.

Progressive renal disease is characterized by the development of progressive glomerulosclerosis and interstitial fibrosis (1). Although many studies have focused on the mechanisms underlying excessive deposition of glomerular and tubulointerstitial extracellular matrix, there is also evidence of a vascular component being involved in the pathogenesis of renal scarring. For example, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, which is characterized by podocyte hypertrophy, mesangial expansion, and matrix deposition, also exhibits focal obliteration of capillary loops within the glomerular tuft (2). Progressive interstitial fibrosis is also associated with the loss of peritubular capillaries (3), which would be expected to result in chronic ischemia and hypoxia that could stimulate the scarring process (4).

Recently, our group and others documented the loss of both glomerular and peritubular capillaries in experimental models of progressive renal disease (5–7). The endothelial cell loss in these models is attributable to both increased cell death (apoptosis) and decreased capillary repair. The reduced capillary repair is associated with impaired endothelial cell proliferation, which seems to be related to significant alterations in the local expression of critically important factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and thrombomodulin (8). VEGF is one of the major proangiogenic growth factors that is altered in progressive renal disease. VEGF is an important endothelial cell angiogenic, survival, and trophic factor (9) that is constitutively expressed in the kidney, being localized primarily to podocytes and tubular epithelial cells in the outer medulla and medullary rays (10,11). Several studies documented a loss of podocyte VEGF in glomerulosclerosis and a loss of tubular VEGF in chronic interstitial disease, such as that in chronic renal transplant rejection (12,13). Similarly, our group recently demonstrated that renal VEGF expression is also reduced in renal disease associated with aging (14) and in the remnant kidney (RK) model of progressive renal failure (8). In the latter study, the reduction of VEGF expression was correlated with decreased peritubular capillary density and with more severe interstitial fibrosis.

The observation that both experimental and human progressive renal disease is associated with capillary loss and a reduc-
tion in renal VEGF expression raised the possibility that replacement of VEGF might maintain the microvasculature and thereby slow progression. In this study, we present evidence that VEGF administration in the RK model stimulates endothelial proliferation, maintains capillary density, reduces renal interstitial fibrosis, and stabilizes renal function and that these effects all occur independently of effects on systemic BP or proteinuria.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Protocol

All animal procedures were approved by the University of Washington Animal Care Committee. The experiments were designed to test the hypothesis that exogenous VEGF replacement would ameliorate the progressive glomerular and tubulointerstitial scarring characteristic of the RK model. The RK model was induced in male Sprague-Dawley rats (initial weight, 200 to 240 g; Simonsen, Gilroy, CA) by performing a right subcapsular nephrectomy with surgical resection of the upper and lower thirds of the left kidney. Four weeks later, rats were matched for BP, urinary protein excretion, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels and were then randomized to receive either VEGF$_{121}$ (50 mg/kg, subcutaneously, twice daily; n = 7) or vehicle (phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.2; n = 6). VEGF$_{121}$ was based on previous studies that indicated that this dose stimulated renal angiogenesis in a rat model of the hemolytic uremic syndrome (15). At this dose, VEGF attains a peak plasma level of 50 ng/ml at 100 min after injection and is still detectable in the plasma at 5 ng/ml after 6 h. After 28 d of VEGF or vehicle administration, animals were anesthetized with xylazine and ketamine, and blood samples were obtained, and the RK was collected for histologic examination by computer image analysis. Glomerular capillary rarefaction index was also measured as described (8,9). The mean numbers of proliferating endothelial cells (JG-12- and PCNA-positive cells) were calculated by averaging the total numbers of positive cells in at least 40 glomeruli or 30 sequentially selected, 0.25-mm$^2$ grids at ×200 magnification, respectively.

Renal Function and BP

Twenty-four-hour urinary protein excretion was measured using the sulfosalicylic acid method. BUN and serum creatinine levels were determined using commercial kits (Sigma Diagnostics, St. Louis, MO). Systolic arterial BP was monitored with a tail cuff sphygmomanometer, using an automated system with a photoelectric sensor (IITC; Life Sciences, Woodland Hills, CA) (16).

Renal Morphologic and Immunohistochemical Analyses

Tissue for light microscopy and immunoperoxidase staining was fixed in methyl Carnoy’s solution and embedded in paraffin. Four-micrometer sections were stained with the periodic acid-Schiff reagent and counterstained with hematoxylin. Indirect immunoperoxidase staining of 4-μm sections was performed as described previously (15), with the following specific antibodies: endothelial cells were detected with JG-12 (a gift of Dontso Kerjaschki, University of Vienna, Austria) (15) or RECA-1 (a gift of Adrian Duijvestijn, University of Limburg, The Netherlands) (17); VEGF with rabbit polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); TSP-1 with A6.1 (Neomarkers, Fremont, CA); osteopontin with goat anti-osteopontin antibody 199 (a gift of Cecilia Giachelli, University of Washington, Seattle, WA); endothelial nitric oxide (NO) synthase with mouse anti-NO synthase III (Transduction Laboratory, Lexington, KY); and monocytes/macrophages with ED-1 (Serotec, Indianapolis, IN). Endothelial cell proliferation was identified by double-immunostaining with JG-12 and an antibody to proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) (19A2; Coulter, Hialeah, FL), as described previously (15). Control experiments included omission of the primary antibody and substitution of the primary antibody with preimmune rabbit or mouse serum.

Quantification of Morphologic Data

All analyses were performed in a blinded manner. Glomerulosclerosis was defined as segmental increases in the glomerular matrix, segmental collapse, obliteration of capillary lumina, and accumulation of hyaline material, often with synechial attachment to Bowman’s capsule. Both the percentages of glomeruli displaying glomerulosclerosis and severity scores were measured. The latter were calculated by grading all glomeruli within a tissue section as follows: 0, no glomerulosclerosis; 1, 1 to 25% of the glomeruli exhibiting glomerulosclerosis; 2, 25 to 50% of the glomeruli exhibiting glomerulosclerosis; 3, 50 to 75% of the glomeruli exhibiting glomerulosclerosis; 4, 75 to 100% of the glomeruli exhibiting glomerulosclerosis.

Tubulointerstitial injury and interstitial fibrosis were evaluated by measuring the amount of tubular osteopontin expression and interstitial type III collagen deposition, respectively, by computer image analysis (Optimas 6.2; Media Cybernetics, Silver Springs, MD), as described previously (8,18). The mean numbers of infiltrating glomerular and interstitial macrophages (ED-1-positive cells) were calculated by averaging the total numbers of positive cells in at least 40 glomeruli or 30 sequentially selected, 0.25-mm$^2$ grids at ×200 magnification, respectively.

Changes in endothelial density and morphologic staining were evaluated by immunostaining with JG-12 (15) and were confirmed with RECA-1, which is an antibody to a different endothelial antigen (17). The number of glomerular capillary loops per glomerular cross-section, as identified by positive JG-12 staining, was counted in all glomeruli of tissue sections, at ×400 magnification. Glomerular capillary density was defined as the number of capillaries per glomerular cross-sectional area (per 0.01 mm$^2$); the latter was determined by computer image analysis. Peritubular capillary density was quantified and expressed as the percentage area identified by positive JG-12 staining in 100 cortical tubules, to account for changes in tubular size. A peritubular capillary rarefaction index was also measured as described (8,9). The mean numbers of proliferating endothelial cells (JG-12- and PCNA-positive cells) in each glomerular cross-section and in 0.25-mm$^2$ grids of tubulointerstitial areas at ×200 magnification were measured by using the entire kidney section.

The renal expression of VEGF in the cortex and outer medulla was measured by quantifying the percentage area of VEGF immunostaining by computer image analysis. Glomerular and periglomerular TSP-1 expression was graded by counting the percentage of positive glomeruli or glomeruli that were surrounded by TSP-1 staining. Tubulointerstitial TSP-1 expression was quantified by counting the number of tubules with positive TSP-1 staining in 40 sequentially selected, 1-mm$^2$ grids at ×100 magnification and summing the values.

Urinary Nitrite and Nitrate Assays

The concentrations of urinary nitrate ($\text{NO}_3^-$) and nitrite ($\text{NO}_2^-$) were measured in a two-step process. The first step involved the conversion of $\text{NO}_3^-$ to $\text{NO}_2^-$, using nitrate reductase, and the second step was the addition of the Griess reagent (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA), which is a mixture of sulfonic acid and N-(1-naphthyl)ethyleneamine. Optical density values were measured by spectrophotometry.
**Statistical Analyses**

All data are presented as mean ± SD. Differences in the various parameters between the VEGF-treated group and the vehicle-treated group were evaluated by unpaired comparisons for nonparametric data. Differences in parameters at each time point after RK surgery were compared by paired *t*-test. The relationships between variables were assessed by Pearson correlation analysis. Significance was defined as *P* < 0.05.

**Results**

**General Parameters**

The RK model was induced by using the “polectomy” version, in which the subtotal nephrectomy is performed by surgical resection of renal tissue, rather than infarction. The polectomy version results in less systemic hypertension (19), thus minimizing the effects of hypertension on the microvasculature. In addition, by surgically removing the poles, we could indirectly measure the amount of residual kidney tissue left in place (Table 1).

Four weeks after surgery, rats were randomly assigned to receive VEGF or vehicle for 1 mo (*i.e.*, 4 to 8 wk after RK surgery). The VEGF was tolerated well, and rats gained weight equivalently, compared with vehicle-treated control animals (Table 1). Although the final RK weight tended to be higher in the vehicle-treated group, this trend was not statistically significant. Similarly, the RK weight/body weight ratios and the percentage increases in RK weight were not different between the VEGF- and vehicle-treated RK rats (Table 1).

**BP, Renal Function, and Mortality Rates**

Systolic BP increased gradually between 4 and 8 wk in vehicle-treated animals, resulting in a mean systolic BP of 164.9 ± 5.2 mmHg at week 8. VEGF administration did not affect systolic BP in the RK rats (Figure 1). There was also no significant difference in urinary protein excretion between VEGF- and vehicle-treated RK rats at week 8 (118 ± 35 versus 124 ± 39 mg/dl, VEGF versus vehicle, *P* = NS).

In contrast to the lack of effect of VEGF on BP and urinary protein excretion, VEGF treatment stabilized renal function, as reflected by BUN levels (Figure 2). BUN levels remained remarkably constant, at approximately 70 mg/dl, in the VEGF-treated rats with up to 4 wk of VEGF administration, whereas

![Figure 1](https://example.com/f1.png) **Figure 1.** Changes in systolic BP during vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)/vehicle administration in the remnant kidney (RK) model. During the period of VEGF/vehicle administration, there was a gradual increase in BP in both VEGF- and vehicle-treated RK rats. At all time points of VEGF/vehicle administration, there were no differences in BP between these two groups. Data are expressed as mean ± SD.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/f2.png) **Figure 2.** Changes in blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels during VEGF/vehicle administration in the RK model. BUN levels remained remarkably constant in the VEGF-treated rats during the 4 wk of VEGF administration, whereas vehicle-treated rats exhibited a significant increase in BUN levels within 2 wk. Two vehicle-treated rats with the most impaired renal function (premortality BUN levels of 119 and 149 mg/dl) died during the 4-wk period. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (*, *P* < 0.05 versus VEGF-treated group; †, *P* = 0.06 versus VEGF-treated group).

**Table 1.** Changes in body weight and RK weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>VEGF (n = 7)</th>
<th>Vehicle (n = 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline BW (g)</td>
<td>284.7 ± 25.9</td>
<td>289.5 ± 28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final BW (g)</td>
<td>329.6 ± 38.3</td>
<td>348.6 ± 31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKW (g)</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKW/BW (g/kg)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in RKW (%)</td>
<td>253.8 ± 53.1</td>
<td>267.3 ± 37.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Data are expressed as mean ± SD. BW, body weight; RKW, remnant kidney weight; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; RK, remnant kidney.

*b Two of the vehicle-treated rats died and were not included in the final data analysis.

*c BW before VEGF/vehicle administration (4 wk after the RK operation).

*d BW and RKW after 4 wk of VEGF/vehicle administration (8 wk after the RK operation).

*e (RKW/BW at 8 wk)/(baseline RKW/BW) × 100; baseline RKW = baseline right kidney weight – (weight of the upper and lower poles of the left kidney).
vehicle-treated rats exhibited significant 50 to 60% increases in BUN levels within 2 wk. Two of the vehicle-treated rats with the most impaired renal function (premortality BUN levels of 119 and 149 mg/dl) died during the 4-wk treatment period, which likely accounts for the lack of statistical significance (P = 0.06) for the difference in BUN levels between the two groups at the final time point in the study. In contrast, all VEGF-treated rats survived.

A similar trend was observed when serum creatinine levels were measured. Baseline serum creatinine levels were equivalent for VEGF- and vehicle-treated rats (1.18 ± 0.3 versus 1.20 ± 0.2 mg/dl, VEGF versus vehicle, P = NS). At 3 wk, serum creatinine levels tended to be less in the VEGF-treated animals (1.37 ± 0.4 versus 1.80 ± 0.5 mg/dl, VEGF versus vehicle, P = 0.07). This trend was also observed at the time of euthanasia, despite the death of two of the control animals as a result of renal failure (1.38 versus 1.50 mg/dl, VEGF versus vehicle, P = NS).

**Effects of VEGF on Glomerular Capillaries and Angiogenesis.** The RK model is known to be associated with glomerular hypertrophy, which is manifested by an early increase in glomerular tuft diameter and an acute increase in glomerular capillary loop number. However, with time there is both a decrease in glomerular capillary density and a decrease in the absolute number of glomerular capillary loops per glomerular cross-section (8). As shown in Table 2, VEGF treatment did not affect glomerular hypertrophy, as reflected by the glomerular surface area. However, VEGF-treated rats exhibited preservation of the glomerular capillary endothelium, compared with vehicle-treated control animals (Table 2). Both the absolute number of glomerular capillary loops and the glomerular capillary density (which corrects for changes in glomerular size) were significantly greater in VEGF-treated rats. The preservation of glomerular capillaries in VEGF-treated rats was associated with a dramatic 3.5-fold increase in the number of proliferating glomerular endothelial cells (0.136 ± 0.030 versus 0.039 ± 0.019 cells/glomerular cross-section, VEGF versus vehicle, P < 0.05; Figure 3A). The number of glomerular capillary loops was inversely correlated with the BUN levels for individual RK rats (r² = −0.59, P < 0.05; Figure 3B).

**Effects on Peritubular Capillaries.** Vehicle-treated rats demonstrated several types of peritubular capillary endothelial cell morphologic features (Figure 4). Approximately one-third to one-half of the kidney sections exhibited a normal peritubular capillary pattern, with a lacy capillary network encircling normal-appearing tubules (Figure 4A). Absent or decreased peritubular capillary staining was commonly observed in association with tubular injury with tubular dilation or atrophy, together with interstitial fibrosis (Figure 4B), but was also evident in areas with relative preservation of the tubules (Figure 4C), thus lending support to the concept that loss of the microvasculature may be a primary cause of subsequent tubulointerstitial injury and scarring. Finally, in <5% of the kidneys, increased capillary staining, often with pyknotic or condensed morphologic features, around damaged/atrophied tubules could be observed (Figure 4D). This latter pattern might be confused with areas of increased capillary density or spontaneous angiogenesis, but the capillary density per tubule was not increased, nor was there any evidence of endothelial cell proliferation (PCNA- and JG-12-positive cells) at these sites. We therefore attribute the increase in apparent capillary staining to the surrounding fibrosis and atrophy of the tubules, and we refer to this pattern as “pseudoangiogenesis.”

VEGF-treated rats also displayed the same four capillary staining patterns. The primary effect of VEGF was to improve capillary density in areas where tubular morphologic features were relatively well preserved; overall, there seemed to be little benefit in areas where there was already established fibrosis and marked tubular atrophy. There was also no difference in the percentage area with the pseudoangiogenesis pattern (4.3 ± 2.2 versus 4.0 ± 2.4%, VEGF versus vehicle, P = NS). Importantly, when measurements of capillary density that corrected for changes in tubular size were performed, VEGF-treated rats exhibited a twofold greater overall capillary density (percent positive area of peritubular capillary staining per 100 tubules); similarly, the peritubular capillary rarefaction index was threefold less (Table 2). This finding was consistent with a twofold increase in peritubular capillary endothelial cell proliferation in VEGF-treated rats, compared with control animals (1.60 ± 0.30 versus 0.78 ± 0.17

Table 2. Microvascular changes in VEGF/vehicle-treated RK rats*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>VEGF (n = 7)</th>
<th>Vehicle (n = 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glomerular capillary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total glomerular capillary number/glomerular cross section</td>
<td>42.7 ± 6.7b</td>
<td>30.2 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glomerular cross-sectional area (mm²)</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glomerular capillary density (number/0.01 mm²)</td>
<td>16.8 ± 2.6c</td>
<td>12.6 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive area of PTC (%/100 tubules)</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.39b</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC rarefaction index (%)</td>
<td>6.34 ± 1.40c</td>
<td>20.8 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are expressed as mean ± SD. PTC, peritubular capillary.

** P < 0.05 versus vehicle.

*** P < 0.01 versus vehicle.
Effects of VEGF on Glomerulosclerosis and Interstitial Fibrosis

Although there was preservation of capillary loops in the VEGF-treated rats, there was no significant effect of VEGF on either the frequency (11.7 ± 4.6 versus 12.8 ± 3.6%, VEGF versus vehicle; P = NS) or the severity (scores of 0.67 ± 0.42 versus 1.22 ± 0.63, VEGF versus vehicle; range, 0 to 4; P = NS) of glomerulosclerosis. Tubulointerstitial fibrosis, measured as the percentage area of the kidney with type III collagen deposition, was reduced twofold in VEGF-treated animals (9.32 ± 3.3 versus 17.45 ± 7.5%, VEGF versus vehicle; P < 0.05; Figure 6). Osteopontin expression by tubules, which has been observed to be correlated with the development of fibrosis (20,21), was also significantly reduced by VEGF treatment (5.57 ± 1.60 versus 9.58 ± 3.45%, VEGF versus vehicle; P < 0.05; Figure 7).

Effects of VEGF on Macrophage Infiltration

VEGF administration did not alter the macrophage infiltration in glomeruli (5.2 ± 1.4 versus 5.6 ± 2.0 cells/glomerular cross-section, VEGF versus vehicle; P = NS) or in tubulointerstitial areas (149 ± 44 versus 152 ± 39 cells/mm², VEGF versus vehicle; P = NS).

Effects on Renal VEGF and TSP-1 Expression

There were no significant differences in VEGF expression (4.1 ± 2.0 versus 3.2 ± 1.7% positive area, VEGF versus vehicle; P = NS) or TSP-1 expression (glomerular TSP-1, 50.8 ± 10.9 versus 58.9 ± 15.3%; tubular TSP-1, 14.3 ± 1.2 versus 13.8 ± 1.0 tubules/mm²; P = NS), as assessed by immunohistochemical staining, between VEGF- and vehicle-treated RK rats during the course of the experiment.

NO Synthase and Urinary Nitrate/Nitrite Levels

The angiogenic action of VEGF is known to be mediated by stimulation of endothelial cell NO production (22). We therefore examined the renal expression of endothelial NO synthase and the urinary excretion of nitrates/nitrites. VEGF-treated rats exhibited significantly greater (twofold) renal endothelial NO synthase immunostaining (13.4 ± 2.6 versus 7.6 ± 2.0%, VEGF versus vehicle, P < 0.05; Figure 8), as well as higher (eightfold) 24-h urinary nitrate and nitrite levels at weeks 2 and 4 after VEGF or vehicle administration (Figure 9). However, these urinary nitrite levels for both groups of RK rats were significantly less than those observed for age- and body weight-matched normal rats (mean ± SD, 5350 ± 850 pM/24 h).

Discussion

There are limited data available regarding the role of the microvascular endothelium in progressive renal failure. It has been recognized that there is a loss of both glomerular and peritubular capillaries in experimental and human progressive renal disease (3,7), and recent studies have documented that this loss is attributable to both accelerated endothelial cell loss and impaired capillary repair (5,6). However, it is not known whether endothelial loss is a primary or secondary event in the
Recent studies by our group and others have suggested that the endothelial loss in the RK model may be at least partly mediated by a decrease in the constitutive expression of VEGF by glomerular podocytes and tubules, a process we have linked to proteinuria and macrophage infiltration (8).

We therefore examined the hypothesis that the administration of exogenous VEGF could slow progression in the RK model. The action of VEGF is primarily on endothelial cells, and the receptors for VEGF (flt-1 and kinase domain region) have been detected on the microvascular endothelium within the kidney (20). VEGF stimulates endothelial cell proliferation (21) and promotes endothelial cell survival in response to a variety of mediators (9,23), and these actions are mediated by the stimulation of NO production by endothelial cells (22). We used VEGF_{121}, which does not bind heparin and can therefore be administered subcutaneously, with the attainment of therapeutic blood levels, at a dose that stimulates renal microvas-

Figure 4. Patterns of peritubular capillary changes in the RK model. Four major patterns of peritubular capillary staining were observed in VEGF- and vehicle-treated RK rats. (A) A normal peritubular capillary pattern, with lacy capillary staining around normal tubules, could be observed. (B) Decreased peritubular capillary staining in association with tubular dilation and peritubular fibrosis could be observed. (C) Some peritubular capillary rarefaction was also observed at sites with minimal evidence of severe tubular damage. (D) In some cases there was tubular atrophy and fibrosis, with condensation of the peritubular capillaries (pseudoangiogenesis). Endothelial cells were stained with JG-12 using indirect immunoperoxidase techniques. Magnifications: ×100 in A, C, and D; ×200 in B.
cular endothelial cell proliferation in a rat model of the hemolytic uremic syndrome (15).

VEGF administration was initiated at 4 wk, at which time there was documented microvascular endothelial cell loss and decreased constitutive expression of VEGF (8). VEGF was administered for 4 wk and was well tolerated. Interestingly, VEGF had no effect on systemic BP or proteinuria, which are the two most important parameters predictive of progression in both human and experimental studies (24,25). Despite the lack of effect on BP or proteinuria, VEGF treatment significantly prevented renal function deterioration and reduced renal scarring.

A principal effect of VEGF was maintenance of the glomerular capillary endothelium. Although there was no effect on glomerular hypertrophy, the absolute glomerular capillary loop number and glomerular capillary density were maintained, and this was associated with a significant increase in glomerular endothelial cell proliferation. The higher glomerular capillary loop number in the VEGF-treated group may explain the preservation of GFR, as a consequence of the maintenance of or increases in the glomerular surface area available for filtra-
tion (and hence the ultrafiltration coefficient). In contrast, VEGF treatment had no effect on proteinuria, suggesting that intraglomerular hypertension and increased permeability to protein were not prevented. This latter observation may explain why mesangial cell and podocyte injury and the frequency of glomerulosclerosis were unaffected. It will be important to perform micropuncture studies to clarify these observations. Nevertheless, this study suggests a novel mechanism for the preservation of glomeruli, i.e., maintaining the number of normal capillary loops, as opposed to preventing the segmental loss of capillaries resulting from the development of glomerulosclerosis.

We also evaluated the effect of VEGF on the peritubular capillary endothelium. Whereas capillary loss was prominent in the vehicle-treated rats, remarkable protection was observed for the VEGF-treated animals, with threefold less capillary rarefaction and a twofold increase in capillary density. Although some of the effects of VEGF may be to prevent endo-
veins). The expression, transforming growth factor-
network is responsible for the observed reduction in interstitial
fibrosis (22).

Nitric oxide (NO) production is intricately involved in VEGF-mediated an-
thelial cell apoptosis in response to injurious factors such as
TNF-α (Suga S, Kim Y-G, Joly A, Pucharz E, Kang D-H, Jeffrey JA, Hughes J, Abraham JA, Johnson RJ, manuscript submitted), we also documented a twofold increase in peritubular capillary endothelial cell proliferation in the VEGF-treated rats. The observation that renal endothelial NO synthase expression and urinary nitrite excretion were increased in VEGF-treated animals is also consistent with a biologic effect of VEGF on the endothelium, because VEGF stimulation of NO production is intricately involved in VEGF-mediated angiogenesis (22).

It is likely that the maintenance of the peritubular capillary network is responsible for the observed reduction in interstitial fibrosis. Hypoxia is a stimulus for proliferation, osteopontin expression, transforming growth factor-β synthesis, and collagen production by tubular cells (4,26). The observation that VEGF administration had only a partial effect in reducing fibrosis may be attributable to the continued presence of other injurious factors that were not altered by the VEGF therapy, including systemic hypertension, proteinuria, local macrophage infiltration, and hormonal and cytokine responses to renal ablation (27).

Although it seems that the beneficial effects of VEGF on renal disease in the RK model are attributable to its effects on the endothelium, it is possible that VEGF could be interacting with other cell types in the kidney. However, most studies have found VEGF receptors to be exclusively expressed by endothelial cells in the adult kidney, although a few reports have indicated that mesangial cells and tubular cells may express VEGF receptors under rare conditions (29,30). Therefore, we cannot entirely exclude a non-endothelial cell effect of VEGF. Interestingly, we could not demonstrate an effect of VEGF administration on endogenous VEGF expression in rats with RK (this study) or in normal rats (data not shown).

In conclusion, VEGF treatment significantly stabilized renal function and diminished renal scarring in the rat RK model. This beneficial effect of VEGF seemed to be mediated by preservation of the glomerular and peritubular capillary endothelium and was associated with partial reversal of the impaired angiogenesis that we have documented in this model. This effect was independent of BP, proteinuria, and local macrophage infiltration, which are three of the most important known mediators of progression. This study suggests that the use of VEGF or other potent angiogenic factors may represent a novel therapeutic strategy to combat progressive renal disease.
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