Insulin Is Protein-Anabolic in Chronic Renal Failure Patients
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Abstract. To examine the protein anabolic actions of insulin in chronic renal failure, the authors measured four sets of whole body leucine fluxes during insulin alone and insulin with amino acid infusion in nine uremic patients before hemodialysis (B-HD). Seven were restudied 8 wk after initiation of maintenance hemodialysis (HD). Six normal subjects served as control (N). All values (μmol/kg/h, mean ± SEM) are presented in the sequence of B-HD, HD, and N, and only P < 0.05 are listed. During Flux 1 (baseline), D (leucine release from body protein degradation) were 114 ± 7, 126 ± 4, and 116 ± 6, respectively. C (leucine oxidation rates) were 18 ± 2, 22 ± 2, and 21 ± 3, respectively. S (leucine disappearance into body protein [index of protein synthesis]) were 96 ± 6, 107 ± 4, and 94 ± 4, respectively, and balances (net leucine flux into protein [values were negative during fasting]) were −18 ± 2, −17 ± 2, and −21 ± 3, respectively. During Flux 2 (low-dose insulin infusion), D were 89 ± 3, 98 ± 6, and 94 ± 5, respectively; C were 35 ± 4, 29 ± 5, and 39 ± 3, respectively; S were 105 ± 5, 145 ± 15, and 113 ± 6, respectively (P < 0.02), and balances were 32 ± 4, 38 ± 5, and 27 ± 3, respectively. These data show that B-HD and HD patients were as sensitive as normal subjects to the protein anabolic actions of insulin. Insulin alone reduced proteolysis and leucine oxidation, and insulin given with amino acids increased net protein synthesis.

There is a prevailing view in the nephrology community that uremia is an insulin-resistant state. In reviews relating malnutrition to chronic renal failure (CRF), insulin resistance is invariably mentioned as a potential cause for the increase in protein catabolism (1–3). This perception is derived partially from the well-described phenomenon of impaired non-oxidative glucose disposal in uremic patients (4,5) and partially from findings of increased release and impaired incorporation of amino acids from and into tissue during insulin treatment in acute and chronic uremic rat models (6,7). Despite this general belief, there are few papers addressing insulin action on protein metabolism in CRF patients (8–13). Whether uremia imparts insulin resistance and whether maintenance hemodialysis alters insulin sensitivity with regard to protein metabolism in humans is uncertain. Malnutrition is a pervasive problem in CRF patients (14–16); it is therefore important to investigate whether insulin retains its anabolic function in this population.

In the current study, we assessed the effects of insulin on protein metabolism in CRF patients by measuring in-vivo whole body leucine flux during insulin alone and insulin together with amino acid infusion. We performed the measurements on the same patients before and after initiating maintenance hemodialysis and compared the data to those of normal subjects.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Nine CRF patients, six men and three women, ages 18 to 76 yr (mean age, 46 ± 5) were studied. Six patients had chronic glomerulonephritis, two had polycystic kidney disease, and one had hypertensive nephrosclerosis. None had other concomitant diseases, and all studies were performed in the absence of intercurrent illness. Nine patients were studied before initiation of maintenance hemodialysis, and seven were restudied 8 wk after. Their medication consisted of antihypertensive agents, phosphate binders, diuretic, and sodium bicarbonate, the latter at doses of 0.6 to 0.8 mEq/kg/d to correct metabolic acidosis. Bicarbonate and diuretic were discontinued during maintenance dialysis. No subject received catabolic or anabolic agents; one received erythropoietin before and after HD. For hemodialysis, seven patients used F8 and two used CT190G dialyzers. Patients were dialyzed three times each week, 4 to 4.5 h per session; Kt/V ranged from 1.3 to 1.6. Six healthy normal subjects, two women and four men, ages 34 to 66 yr (mean age, 50 ± 5) served as controls.

Experiments

Diet Preparation. Upon recruitment, all participants visited the Clinical Research Center (CRC) dietitian for interviewing and dietary
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sampling. For HD patients, the study was performed on a mid-week CRF patients, the arm with the vascular access was used for blood
Solutions were infused through a venous catheter in one forearm, and
dose-response relationship of insulin on leucine kinetics in humans.
et al. (17) used similar successive short infusion protocol to study the
fluxes lasted 140 min each. Total infusion time was 540 min. Tessari
solution consisted of 4.2
measured using a primed-constant infusion technique. The priming
leucine, as described previously (18–21). Solutions were prepared
containing 55.6 µmol/ml of leucine, giving a leucine infusion rate of 67
µmol/kg/h. Leucine Flux 1 was performed in 120 min, the other three
fluxes lasted 140 min each. Total infusion time was 540 min. Tessari
et al. (17) used similar successive short infusion protocol to study the
dose-response relationship of insulin on leucine kinetics in humans.
Solutions were infused through a venous catheter in one forearm, and
bread samples were collected from a vein in the contralateral arm. In
CRF patients, the arm with the vascular access was used for blood
sampling. For HD patients, the study was performed on a mid-week
nondialysis day, generally 14 h after the last hemodialysis.

Leucine Flux Measurements. Whole-body leucine flux was
measured using a primed-constant infusion technique. The priming
solution consisted of 4.2 µmol/kg of L[1-13C] leucine and 0.11 mg/kg
of NaH13CO3, and the constant infusion, 4.2 µmol/kg/h of L[1-13C]
leucine, as described previously (18–21). Solutions were prepared
asceptically the afternoon before the experiment. Blood and breath
samples were taken, and VCO2 was measured at times listed in Figure
1. Breath samples were collected anaerobically, and plasma samples
were frozen at ~70°C until ready for measurement (20,21).

To account for the drift in breath 13CO2 due to the presence of
natural 13C abundance in the dextrose and Travasol solutions, separate
studies were performed in four subjects, two controls and two B-HD
patients, in whom breath 13CO2 was measured during identical insulin
and Travasol infusion, but without the stable isotopes. The magnitude
of the drift in 13CO2 between the controls and the CRF patients was
not different. Thus, mean breath 13CO2 abundance obtained from
these four subjects at each time/period were subtracted from the
breath 13CO2 enrichment of each study subject at corresponding
times.

Hyperinsulin-Euglycemic Clamps. Priming insulin was admin-
istered in a logarithmically falling manner over 10 min (22), followed
by a continuous infusion at the calculated dose. Glucose was initially
infused at 2.0 and then 2.5 mg/kg/min for the first 10 min. After that,
the infusion rate was adjusted to maintain blood glucose at the
individual’s baseline value. Blood glucose was measured every 5 min
using a bedside glucose analyzer (Yellow Springs Instrument Co., Inc,
Yellow Spring, OH). Insulin (Humulin Regular U-100; Eli Lilly,
Indianapolis, IN) was diluted to 500 mU/ml in normal saline to which
1 ml of the subject’s blood was added for every 50 ml of infusate.
Because insulin is partly degraded by the kidneys, doses in the CRF
patients were arbitrarily reduced by 10%.

Analytical Determinations. Moles % enrichment of L[1-13C]
leucine and L[1- 13C] KIC in the plasma were quantitated using gas
chromatography–mass spectrometry (Hewlett-Packard 5988A GC/
MS) and breath 13CO2, dual-inlet gas-isotope ratio mass spectrometer
(VG-Isogas SIRA II). Plasma leucine was converted to its heptaflu-
orobutyrl n-propyl ester derivative, and 13C abundance was deter-
mined using either positive chemical ionization mass spectrometry
with selected ion monitoring of mass-to-charge (m/z) ratio 371 and
370 or in the negative chemical ionization mode monitoring ions m/z
350 and 349. The tri-methylsilyl-quinoxalinol derivative of plasma
KIC was prepared and 13C abundance was determined using electron-
impact ionization mass spectrometry with selected ion monitoring of
m/z ratio 233 and 232.

Plasma leucine concentration was determined by adding 50 nmol of
d3-leucine (Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories, Andover, MA) to 1 ml
of plasma. Plasma leucine was chemically derivatized to its heptaflu-
orobutyrl n-propyl ester, and leucine concentration was measured
using selected ion monitoring-gas chromatography-negative chemical
ionization-quadrupole mass spectrometry. Signal areas for the se-
lected ions (m/z 349 and 352) were quantitated and used to calculate
leucine concentration.

Plasma insulin concentration was measured by electrochemical
luminescence immunoassay (Roche Diagnostics Corporation, India-
napolis, IN).

Calculations

In the quantitation of leucine kinetics (Q = I + D = C + S); Q is
total flux, I and D are, respectively, leucine intake and leucine release
from body protein degradation, and C and S are, respectively, leucine
disappearance via oxidation and incorporation into body proteins. In
the current work, during Fluxes 1, 2, and 3, D = Q as intake was 0.
During Flux 4, D = Q ~ 67 µmol/kg/h, the amount of leucine
administered. Detailed derivations were previously described (21,22).

Statistical Analyses

All values are presented as mean ± SEM. Differences of the kinetic
parameters among the three study groups, the four fluxes, as well as
the plasma and breath enrichment within the same flux of each study group were assessed by one-way ANOVA. Differences between any two groups were further assessed by multiple comparisons using Student-Newman-Keuls test. Paired t tests were performed on the seven CRF patients who were studied before and after HD. The significant level accepted to reject the null hypothesis is \( P < 0.05 \). Analyses were performed using statistical software package Sigma Stat (Jandel Scientific Software, San Rafael, CA).

**Results**

Nine B-HD, seven HD, and six N subjects completed the studies. Table 1 lists their demographic and baseline status. All participants had normal body mass index. Calorie and protein intakes were not different. Acidosis was corrected in all CRF patients. HD patients were mildly alkalotic; mean blood pH and total CO₂ were 7.44 and 31 mmol/L, respectively. The B-HD group had higher blood urea nitrogen. Serum albumin values were 5.4 ± 0.08, and control values were 9.1 ± 0.05, and 9.9 ± 0.15. Again, the pattern of response was similar in all three study groups. Insulin alone reduced breath 

\[ {\text{13}} \text{CO}_2 \text{ enrichment, whereas insulin with amino acid increased it.} \]

Statistical analysis of the plasma and breath enrichment at 90, 100, 110, and 120 min during Flux 1 and at 110, 120, 130, and 140 min during Fluxes 2, 3, and 4 showed no differences among these values within the same flux period in all three study groups. Greater than 90% of the \( P \) values were > 0.80. By contrast, both plasma and breath enrichment were markedly different from one flux to the other within each study group. The \( P \) values derived from one-way ANOVA of plasma \[ {\text{13}} \text{C} \text{leucine, plasma } {\text{13}} \text{C}_{\text{KIC}}, \text{ and breath } {\text{13}} \text{CO}_2 \text{ enrichment among Fluxes 1, 2, 3, and 4 were } < 0.0001 \text{ in all three study groups.} \]

Furthermore, multiple comparisons using Student-Newman-Keuls test showed significant differences (\( P < 0.05 \)) comparing Flux 1 versus 2, Flux 1 versus 3, Flux 1 versus 4, Flux 2 versus 3, Flux 2 versus 4, and Flux 3 versus 4. Thus, we have successfully created the planned metabolic perturbations while simultaneously achieving a steady-state condition by the end of each period.

Referring again to Figures 2, 3, and 4, one observes that,

**Table 1. Demography, nutritional condition, renal function, and acid-base status in the study subjects\(^a\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M/F</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Energy Intake (Kcal/kg/d)</th>
<th>Protein Intake (g/kg/d)</th>
<th>BUN (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Cr (mg/dl)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>pCO₂ (mmHg)</th>
<th>Total CO₂ (mmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-HD</td>
<td>6/3</td>
<td>45.9 ± 5.3</td>
<td>27.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>30.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.05</td>
<td>83 ± 6.8</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.36 ± 0.01</td>
<td>41 ± 1.6</td>
<td>24 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>47.3 ± 6.8</td>
<td>26.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>33.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.07</td>
<td>53 ± 5.7</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td>46 ± 1.2</td>
<td>31 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| N     | 4/2 | 50.3 ± 4.5 | 27.4 ± 0.5 | 32.5 ± 1.3 | 1.01 ± 0.05 | 3.06 ± 0.7 | 4.22 ± 0.12 | 2.94 ± 0.07 | 5.7 ± 0.08 | 0.09, and 9.2 ± 0.03, 4.4 ± 0.06, 4.87 ± 0.02, and 2.72 ± 0.11, respectively. Note that plasma enrichment of the isotopes rose during Fluxes 2 and 3 (insulin) and declined in Flux 4 (insulin and amino acid). The pattern of response was identical in the three study groups. Breath \[ {\text{13}} \text{CO}_2 \text{ enrichment (values } \times 10^{-4} \text{)} \text{ for the B-HD patients from Flux 1 to Flux 4 were } 7.0 ± 0.04, 5.7 ± 0.09, 4.9 ± 0.09, and 9.2 ± 0.10. \text{For the HD group, values were } 5.4 ± 0.03, 4.4 ± 0.08, 3.5 ± 0.05, and 5.8 ± 0.08, \text{and control values were } 9.1 ± 0.03, 8.4 ± 0.02, 6.7 ± 0.05, and 9.9 ± 0.15. \text{Again, the pattern of response was similar in all three study groups. Insulin alone reduced breath CO₂ enrichment, whereas insulin with amino acid increased it.} \]

Figure 2. Plasma \[ {\text{13}} \text{C} \text{leucine enrichment in before hemodialysis (B-HD), hemodialysis (HD), and control (N) subjects. All four values during each flux period within each study group were not different statistically, indicating steady-state condition at the end of each infusion period. By contrast, values within each study group during the four fluxes were different (}\text{P < 0.0001). \text{Multiple comparisons using the Student-Newman-Keuls method yielded } P < 0.05 \text{ comparing Flux 1 versus 2, Flux 1 versus 3, Flux 1 versus 4, Flux 2 versus 3, Flux 2 versus 4, and Flux 3 versus 4. Of note, plasma } {\text{13}} \text{C} \text{leucine enrichment of the three study groups within the same flux period was not different from one another.} \]

\[^a\] B-HD, HD, and N represent, respectively, predialysis, hemodialysis patients, and normal subjects. BMI, body mass index. \( P \) values were derived from one-way ANOVA and \( t \) test.
In the HD patients, D_KIC from Flux 1 to Flux 4 were 126 and 98, respectively, and increased markedly to 11006. Flux 1, became less negative, 98 and 77, respectively. During Flux 4, plasma 13 C KIC enrichment of the three study groups within the same flux period were not different from one another.

Although plasma 13 C leucine and 13 C_KIC enrichment were not statistically different among the three study groups during each flux, breath 13 CO2 enrichment, on the other hand, was different in the three study groups, being consistently lower in CRF of the three study groups within the same flux period were not different statistically, suggesting steady-state condition during each flux. By contrast, values within each study group during the four fluxes were different (P < 0.0001). Multiple comparisons using the Student-Newman-Keuls method yielded P < 0.05 comparing Flux 1 versus 2, Flux 1 versus 3, Flux 1 versus 4, Flux 2 versus 3, Flux 2 versus 4, and Flux 3 versus 4. Of note, the plasma 13 C KIC enrichment of the three study groups within the same flux period were not different from one another.

Table 2 summarizes the kinetic data in the three study groups during the four fluxes. In the B-HD patients, D_KIC (proteolysis), was reduced from 114 ± 7 during Flux 1 to 89 ± 3 during Flux 2, declined further to 77 ± 3 during Flux 3, and remained low at 73 ± 3 during Flux 4 (P < 0.0001). C_KIC (leucine oxidation rate) was reduced from 18 ± 2 during Flux 1 to 12 ± 1 and 9 ± 1 during Fluxes 2 and 3, respectively, and it rose to 35 ± 4 during Flux 4 (P < 0.0001). S_KIC (protein synthesis) was reduced from 96 ± 6 during Flux 1 to 77 ± 4 and 68 ± 4 during Fluxes 2 and 3, respectively, and it increased to 105 ± 5 during Flux 4 (P < 0.0001). Balance_KIC (index of net leucine flux into protein) was −18 ± 2 during Flux 1, became less negative, −12 ± 1 and −9 ± 1 during Fluxes 2 and 3, respectively, and increased markedly to achieve a positive value of 32 ± 4 during Flux 4 (P < 0.0001). In the HD patients, D_KIC from Flux 1 to Flux 4 were 126 ± 4, 98 ± 6, 82 ± 7, and 107 ± 18, respectively (P < 0.04). C_KIC in the same sequence were 17 ± 2, 11 ± 2, 8 ± 1, and 29 ± 5, respectively (P < 0.0001). S_KIC were 107 ± 4, 87 ± 5, 74 ± 6, and 145 ± 15 (P < 0.0001), respectively, and Balance_KIC were −17 ± 2, −11 ± 2, −8 ± 1, and 38 ± 5, respectively (P < 0.0001). In the controls, D_KIC from Flux 1 to Flux 4 were 116 ± 6, 94 ± 5, 84 ± 5, and 85 ± 7, respectively (P < 0.003). C_KIC were 21 ± 3, 18 ± 1, 14 ± 1, and 39 ± 3, respectively (P < 0.0001). S_KIC were 94 ± 4, 76 ± 5, 70 ± 5, and 113 ± 6, respectively (P < 0.0001), and Balance_KIC were −21 ± 3, −18 ± 1, −14 ± 1, and 28 ± 3, respectively (P < 0.0001). Note that insulin alone reduced proteolysis, leucine oxidation, and protein synthesis in all three study groups. Insulin together with amino acid reduced proteolysis, increased leucine oxidation and increased protein synthesis. Net flux of leucine into body protein was negative during fasting and became less negative with insulin infusion. When insulin was infused with amino acids, net leucine flux into body protein increased markedly and became positive.

Also listed in Table 2 are plasma leucine levels (µmol/L), which were lower in the B-HD and HD groups, 137 ± 9 and 143 ± 13, respectively, compared with 181 ± 15 in the controls during Flux 1 (P < 0.04). Plasma leucine concentration was reduced in Flux 2: 86 ± 5, 90 ± 9, and 98 ± 11, respectively. During Flux 3, the values were 69 ± 6, 73 ± 7, and 75 ± 9, respectively. During Flux 4, plasma leucine levels were comparably increased: 238 ± 21, 228 ± 13, and 246 ± 16, respectively.

Fractional changes of the different leucine kinetic parameters, including protein breakdown, leucine oxidation, protein synthesis, and net flux of leucine into protein, comparing Flux 1 with Fluxes 2, 3, and 4, were calculated for each study group. The direction and the magnitude of changes were not different between the three groups, P value ranging from 0.25 to 0.97.

Separate paired t test analysis was performed on the seven CRF patients who were studied before and after maintenance hemodialysis. During baseline and during insulin administration, none of the kinetic parameters was different. During insulin plus amino acid infusion, the HD patients had a significantly higher rate of leucine synthesis; S_KIC 145 versus 105 µmol/kg/h (P = 0.02).

Figure 5 schematically depicts kinetic parameters during Fluxes 1, 2, and 4; data from Flux 3 were not plotted because they are similar to those of Flux 2. Panel A depicts protein degradation rates. Insulin alone and insulin plus amino acids reduced protein degradation to comparable degrees in all
Table 2. Leucine kinetics comparing the study groups during the four leucine flux measurementsa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>QKIC (μmol/kg/h)</th>
<th>CKIC (μmol/kg/h)</th>
<th>SKIC (μmol/kg/h)</th>
<th>DKIC (μmol/kg/h)</th>
<th>BalanceKIC (μmol/kg/h)</th>
<th>VCO2 (ml/min)</th>
<th>Plasma Leucine (μmol/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flux 1</td>
<td>B-HD 114 ± 7</td>
<td>18 ± 2.3</td>
<td>96 ± 6</td>
<td>114 ± 7</td>
<td>−18 ± 2.3</td>
<td>256 ± 26</td>
<td>137 ± 8.6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD 126 ± 4</td>
<td>17 ± 2.1</td>
<td>107 ± 4</td>
<td>126 ± 4</td>
<td>−17 ± 2.1</td>
<td>274 ± 41</td>
<td>143 ± 13.4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 116 ± 6</td>
<td>21 ± 2.6</td>
<td>94 ± 4</td>
<td>116 ± 6</td>
<td>−21 ± 2.6</td>
<td>225 ± 19</td>
<td>181 ± 14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux 2</td>
<td>B-HD 89 ± 3</td>
<td>12 ± 1.4b</td>
<td>77 ± 3.7</td>
<td>89 ± 3</td>
<td>−12 ± 1.4b</td>
<td>270 ± 21</td>
<td>86 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD 98 ± 6</td>
<td>11 ± 1.6c</td>
<td>87 ± 5.3</td>
<td>98 ± 6</td>
<td>−11 ± 1.6c</td>
<td>295 ± 40</td>
<td>90 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 94 ± 5</td>
<td>18 ± 1.1</td>
<td>76 ± 4.7</td>
<td>94 ± 5</td>
<td>−18 ± 1.1</td>
<td>250 ± 16</td>
<td>98 ± 10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux 3</td>
<td>B-HD 77 ± 3</td>
<td>9 ± 1.1b</td>
<td>68 ± 3.8</td>
<td>77 ± 3</td>
<td>−9 ± 1.1b</td>
<td>290 ± 23</td>
<td>69 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD 82 ± 7</td>
<td>8 ± 1.0c</td>
<td>74 ± 6.1</td>
<td>82 ± 7</td>
<td>−8 ± 1.0c</td>
<td>319 ± 51</td>
<td>73 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 84 ± 5</td>
<td>14 ± 1.1</td>
<td>70 ± 5.1</td>
<td>84 ± 5</td>
<td>−14 ± 1.1</td>
<td>273 ± 20</td>
<td>75 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux 4</td>
<td>B-HD 139 ± 3</td>
<td>35 ± 3.8</td>
<td>105 ± 5.2d</td>
<td>73 ± 3</td>
<td>32 ± 4</td>
<td>302 ± 28</td>
<td>238 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD 174 ± 18</td>
<td>29 ± 4.6</td>
<td>145 ± 15.4c</td>
<td>107 ± 18</td>
<td>38 ± 5</td>
<td>324 ± 47</td>
<td>228 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 152 ± 7</td>
<td>39 ± 2.8</td>
<td>113 ± 5.9</td>
<td>85 ± 7</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>289 ± 27</td>
<td>246 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a B-HD, HD, and N represent, respectively, predialysis, hemodialysis patients, and normal subjects. Q, D, C, and S represent, respectively, leucine flux, protein degradation, leucine oxidation, and protein synthesis. Balance represents net flux of leucine into protein. KIC indicates that calculations were derived from plasma 3H KIC enrichment. During Flux 1, 2, and 3, D = Q. During Flux 4, D = Q − 67 μmol/kg/h. VCO2 is CO2 production rate. All P values listed were derived from one-way ANOVA comparing the three study groups within each flux period.

b P < 0.05 B-HD versus N.
c P < 0.05 HD versus N.
d P < 0.05 B-HD versus HD.

Discussion
Despite the general belief that uremia is an insulin-resistant state, there are few papers addressing insulin action on protein metabolism in CRF patients. Using a femoral vein, brachial artery catheterization technique, Alvestrand et al. (8) noted that amino acid release from muscle declined similarly in control subjects and predialysis patients during hyperinsulinemia. Castellino et al. (9,10) did two studies. In one (9), they found that proteolysis and leucine oxidation are similarly reduced during hyperinsulinemia in normal subjects and CRF patients, but the ability of the body to increase protein synthesis is impaired in
CRF patients during amino acid infusion. In the second study (10), they found that hyperaminoacidemia did increase net protein balance in CAPD patients. The difference between the two studies was the infusion of insulin together with the amino acids in the latter, suggesting that both substrate (i.e., amino acids) and insulin are needed to induce anabolism. Reaich et al. (11) found that protein degradation in predialysis patients declined with insulin administration irrespective of acid/base status. Luzi et al. (12) noted that insulin-dependent uremic patients have a blunted reduction in proteolysis without leucine oxidation during hyperinsulinemia. The reduction in proteolysis, but not leucine oxidation, was corrected in kidney transplant patients, and both abnormalities were corrected in combined kidney/pancreas transplant patients. These data suggest that uremia impaired the insulin-induced reduction in proteolysis. Garibotto et al. (13) measured forearm muscle kinetics and found that, although proteolysis was inversely related to plasma insulin concentrations in normal subjects, no such correlation exist in the CRF patients. These data, again, suggest impaired insulin suppression of proteolysis during CRF. Plasma insulin levels in this study, however, were all in the fasting ranges.

The current study is different from the above-cited works. We studied CRF patients immediately before initiating dialysis, so the degree of uremia was more profound. In the Castellino et al. (9) subjects, mean serum creatinine was 4.0 mg/dl; in our subjects, it was 10.2 mg/dl. This is the first time hemodialysis patients have been studied with regard to insulin actions on protein anabolism. Moreover, we studied the same CRF patients before and after initiation of maintenance hemodialysis. This allowed us to assess whether stabilization on maintenance dialysis altered insulin sensitivity. Lastly, we measured the effects of insulin alone and insulin plus amino acids all in one setting when the general health status of each participant was identical.

In normal subjects, insulin suppresses proteolysis in a dose-dependent manner (17,23). Castellino et al. (9) and Tessari et al. (17) found that insulin also suppresses leucine oxidation. Fukagawa et al. (23), on the other hand, found variable effects of insulin on leucine oxidation (23). There are few studies documenting enhanced protein synthesis, one of which is that of Castellino et al. (10) in CAPD patients.

In the present study, we found that insulin alone consistently reduced protein degradation and suppressed leucine oxidation during fasting in all three study groups. When insulin was administered with amino acids, protein degradation declined, leucine oxidation rose, protein synthesis increased, and most importantly, net amino acid flux into body protein was enhanced.

Of interest, as shown in Table 2, is the observation that the two CRF groups, especially the hemodialysis patients, appeared to be more sensitive to the suppressive effect of insulin.

---

Table 3. Glucose kinetics during leucine flux measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Plasma Glucose (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Plasma Insulin (μU/ml)</th>
<th>Glucose Disposal Rate (mg/kg/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flux 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-HD</td>
<td>83 ± 3.4</td>
<td>15 ± 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>88 ± 4.3</td>
<td>14 ± 1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>79 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-HD</td>
<td>83 ± 2.7</td>
<td>96 ± 9.4</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>84 ± 4.0</td>
<td>94 ± 7.0</td>
<td>4.80 ± 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>74 ± 1.5</td>
<td>88 ± 5.3</td>
<td>5.93 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-HD</td>
<td>83 ± 4.1</td>
<td>244 ± 23</td>
<td>8.45 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>86 ± 3.7</td>
<td>262 ± 40</td>
<td>9.84 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>78 ± 1.1</td>
<td>220 ± 14</td>
<td>11.57 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-HD</td>
<td>82 ± 2.8</td>
<td>142 ± 17</td>
<td>8.31 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>88 ± 2.9</td>
<td>123 ± 9</td>
<td>8.98 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>82 ± 1.2</td>
<td>108 ± 5</td>
<td>10.23 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Glucose disposal, the amount of glucose infused to maintain plasma glucose at basal level. B-HD, HD, and N represent, respectively, predialysis, hemodialysis patients, and normal subjects. All P values listed were derived from one-way ANOVA comparing the three study groups within the same flux.

\( b \) \( P < 0.05 \) B-HD versus N.

\( c \) \( P < 0.5 \) HD versus N.
in reducing leucine oxidation, which was lower in the B-HD and HD groups during Fluxes 2 and 3. During amino acid infusion, leucine oxidation rose in all three study groups, but the increment was of lesser magnitude in HD patients, resulting in a higher protein synthesis rate. During that same period, leucine balance, an index of net protein synthesis, was markedly positive in all the three study groups, more so in the HD patients. Thus, both B-HD and HD patients behaved similarly to normal controls with regard to the anabolic effects of insulin.

The higher protein synthesis rate observed in the HD patients is likely related to the lower leucine oxidation rate. This could be attributed to a reduction in the magnitude of uremia. Alternatively, it may be related to the mild alkalosis. Blood pH and total CO₂ measured on the morning of the experiment were 7.44 and 31 mmol/L, respectively. Acidosis has been demonstrated to increase leucine oxidation in CRF patients and normal subjects (21,24).

In this study, we showed that glucose disposal was impaired in the B-HD patients and normal in the HD group. In the B-HD group, while glucose disposal was impaired, protein synthesis was normal. This dissociation between glucose disposal and protein anabolism suggests that pathways of insulin-mediated carbohydrate and protein metabolism might be different.

It should be noted that we measured whole-body protein flux and not regional kinetics. While the results indicate whole body anabolism, the data do not differentiate between splanchnic versus skeletal muscle compartments. Since skeletal muscle constitutes a major fraction of body protein, it is likely that there is anabolism in the muscle. We expressed all our results in μmol/kg/h and not per unit lean body mass because we did not measure body fat and did not calculate lean body mass. This, however, should not negate the validity of our findings because the body mass indices of the three study groups were similar (28, 26, and 27; P = 0.7; Table 1). It is extremely unlikely that any difference in the three groups was masked because of variation in lean body mass.

Our findings may not be applicable to the general end-stage renal disease population because some of these patients have concomitant illnesses. We purposely chose stable, well-nourished patients free of other illnesses to specifically study the effects of uremia and maintenance hemodialysis on insulin sensitivity.

The current study and many others have provided evidence that uremia per se is not a protein catabolic state as is believed traditionally. Our study further underscores that, despite the catabolic nature of the hemodialysis procedure (25,26), patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis can achieve anabolism when given sufficient protein supply and when adequate insulin is present. The recent work of Pupim et al. (27) showing increased protein synthesis and net protein balance with intradialytic parenteral nutrition supports this notion.

Anorexia due either to uremia or concomitant illness may be an important factor contributing to malnutrition in the end-stage renal disease population. Our knowledge regarding anorexia is still very limited; therefore, nephrologists should pay attention to providing optimal dialysis and ensuring adequate nutritional intake of their patients.
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