

Muscle Wasting in Kidney Disease: Let's Get Physical

T. Alp Ikizler* and Jonathan Himmelfarb[†]

*Division of Nephrology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee; and [†]Maine Medical Center, Portland, Maine

J Am Soc Nephrol 17: 2097–2098, 2006. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2006060629

For maintenance dialysis patients, physical performance matters. The extension of Medicare coverage to ESRD services in 1972 indeed was predicated on the assumption that the dialysis population would be fully rehabilitated and active in the workforce. In addition, considerable data exist that as a group, maintenance dialysis patients have low levels of physical function and that survival and hospitalization rates are directly proportional to physical performance (1). Despite the obvious importance of physical performance capacity, there are disturbing data to suggest that dialysis patients as a group have markedly lower levels of daily physical activity than healthy control subjects, to the extent that a 30-yr-old hemodialysis patient is likely to have less daily physical activity than a 70-yr-old healthy sedentary individual. The majority of maintenance dialysis patients in the United States seem to participate in little or no physical activity beyond basic activities of daily living.

Why is physical performance so markedly altered in dialysis patients? Maintenance dialysis patients encounter multiple catabolic processes and experience a unique form of protein and energy malnutrition, which is characterized by muscle wasting and decreased visceral protein stores. The pathophysiology of muscle wasting in chronic kidney disease clearly is complex, multifactorial, and not fully elucidated (Figure 1). What is clear is that abnormalities in muscle function, exercise performance, and physical activity begin in earlier stages of chronic kidney disease and progressively worsen as ESRD ensues (2).

What can be done to improve physical performance in dialysis patients? Despite the close relationship between anemia and physical performance in many other chronic disease states, exercise performance does not seem to be completely “rescuable” by maintenance of hemoglobin concentration at required levels with erythropoietic agents (2). Accordingly, studies have focused on improved nutritional delivery, sometimes coupled with increased exercise and/or anabolic support to prevent and/or treat muscle wasting in hopes of improving physical performance in maintenance dialysis patients. These studies generally involved small patient numbers and relatively short duration of the intervention. In this issue of *JASN*, Johansen *et*

al. (3) report their results regarding the effects of two separate anabolic strategies that aim to improve muscle mass and physical functioning in chronic hemodialysis patients. Specifically, the investigators performed a 2 × 2 factorial-design, randomized, clinical trial to examine individual and combined effects of resistance exercise and nandrolone decanoate (ND), an anabolic steroid, during a period of 3 mo. Their results indicate that ND was effective (and resistance exercise ineffective) in improving lean body mass (LBM), whereas only resistance exercise improved muscle strength. These results are critically important for the nephrology community because they provide clear-cut evidence that muscle wasting and/or weakness related to kidney disease may be a treatable condition.

In healthy individuals, resistance exercise can alter protein and energy homeostasis by enhancing skeletal muscle sensitivity to insulin, stimulating the uptake of amino acids, increasing intramuscular amino acid availability, and promoting rates of muscle protein accretion. The lack of a significant change in LBM in response to resistance exercise in the study by Johansen *et al.* could be related to several factors, including inadequate size, lack of precision in measurement of LBM, and limited effect of the intervention in the involved compartment (the leg area). The last hypothesis is supported by the significant increase in thigh muscle size as measured by magnetic resonance imaging along with significant improvement in the function of muscles that involve that area. An alternative explanation for the lack of systemic effect of resistance exercise is that exercise-driven muscle anabolism requires adequate substrate availability to promote protein synthesis that overcomes protein breakdown (4). When exercise is performed in the fasted state, there is increased skeletal muscle protein turnover, but often the rate of protein breakdown exceeds the rate of protein synthesis, resulting in net muscle protein loss. It has been shown that amino acid availability is significantly decreased during hemodialysis, similar to a fasting state. Therefore, resistance exercise alone without adequate nutritional supplementation would be inadequate to promote any systemic protein anabolic effects, as was observed by Johansen *et al.*

In the same study, ND administration resulted in a significant improvement in LBM, in contrast to the resistance exercise protocol. These results are consistent with earlier reports that were published by the same group which demonstrated that clinically stable dialysis patients respond to anabolic interventions such as anabolic steroids. Other studies have shown that the anabolic response to insulin and growth hormone, two

Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at www.jasn.org.

Address correspondence to: Dr. T. Alp Ikizler, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, 1161 21st Avenue South and Garland, Division of Nephrology, S-3223 MCN, Nashville, TN 37232-2372. Phone: 615-343-6104; Fax: 615-343-7156; E-mail: alp.ikizler@vanderbilt.edu

