LPA₁ Receptor Activation Promotes Renal Interstitial Fibrosis
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ABSTRACT

Tubulointerstitial fibrosis in chronic renal disease is strongly associated with progressive loss of renal function. We studied the potential involvement of lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), a growth factor–like phospholipid, and its receptors LPA₁–₄ in the development of tubulointerstitial fibrosis (TIF). Renal fibrosis was induced in mice by unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO) for up to 8 d, and kidney explants were prepared from the distal poles to measure LPA release into conditioned media. After obstruction, the extracellular release of LPA increased approximately 3-fold. Real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) analysis demonstrated significant upregulation in the expression of the LPA₁ receptor subtype, downregulation of LPA₃, and no change of LPA₂ or LPA₄. TIF was significantly attenuated in LPA₁ mice compared to wild-type littermates, as measured by expression of collagen III, -smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), and F4/80. Furthermore, treatment of wild-type mice with the LPA₁ antagonist Ki16425 similarly reduced fibrosis and significantly attenuated renal expression of the profibrotic cytokines connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) and transforming growth factor β (TGFβ). In vitro, LPA induced a rapid, dose-dependent increase in CTGF expression that was inhibited by Ki16425. In conclusion, LPA, likely acting through LPA₁, is involved in obstruction-induced TIF. Therefore, the LPA₁ receptor might be a pharmaceutical target to treat renal fibrosis.


The incidence of chronic kidney disease leading to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) continues to increase throughout the world. Almost all forms of ESRD are preceded by the progressive appearance of renal fibrosis (i.e., extracellular matrix (ECM) accumulation). The presence of fibrosis in the tubulointerstitium (i.e., TIF), compared with glomerular sclerosis, correlates strongly with evolution toward ESRD. The development of TIF can be schematically divided: (1) Inflammation associated with infiltration of macrophages, lymphocytes, and an increase in circulating cytokines and chemokines. (2) This inflammation induces disequilibrium between apoptosis and proliferation of tubular cells, as well as accumulation of myofibroblasts. Myofibroblasts infiltrate from the circulation into the interstitium, appear by epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT), or appear by proliferation/activation of the few resident fibroblasts. (3) These myofibroblasts are the main cell type responsible
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for the secretion of the ECM.1,3 As these events occur, the amount of fibrotic tissue increases, causing a steady decline of renal function until eventually the kidney is no longer able to function and organ failure occurs.

In the past, a number of mediators of TIF development have been identified, including chemokines, cytokines, and growth factors.4 Among these, TGFβ is thought to be the most fibrogenic, directly or indirectly through the action of CTGF.5

LPA is a growth factor–like phospholipid known to regulate several cellular processes including motility, proliferation, survival, and differentiation by acting via specific G-protein–coupled receptors (LPA1, LPA2, LPA3, and LPA4).6 Until now, a limited number of pharmacological tools specifically targeting LPA receptor subtypes have been developed. Among them is the antagonist Ki16425, which has been demonstrated to specifically block LPA1 and LPA3 receptor subtypes in vitro.7 Recently, the in vivo efficacy of Ki16425 in blocking the action of the LPA1 receptor subtype has been demonstrated.8 LPA has been associated with the etiology of a growing number of disorders, but the involvement of LPA in the progression to ESRD is unclear. In acute renal disease, contradictory results were obtained since intraperitoneal injection of LPA was reported to prevent renal ischemia–reperfusion injury,10 whereas pharmacologic blockade of LPA3 receptor was reported to reduce renal ischemia–reperfusion injury.11 However, in patients with chronic renal failure, it has been reported that LPA concentrations are increased.12,13 These observations led us to hypothesize that LPA could be involved in the response of the kidney to injuries and could thus contribute to the progression of chronic renal disease.

The objective of our study was to clearly determine the contribution of LPA in the development of TIF, a hallmark of progressive renal disease. We studied LPA production and the expression of LPA receptor subtypes in kidneys subjected to UUO, an accelerated model of TIF.3,14 We observed that UUO–induced renal TIF is accompanied by an upregulation of LPA1 receptor expression and by an increased release of LPA by the obstructed kidney, UUO–induced fibrosis is significantly attenuated in kidneys from LPA1(−/−) mice as well as in mice treated with the LPA1 receptor antagonist Ki16425, and LPA increases the expression and release of the profibrotic cytokine CTGF by proximal tubular cells in vitro. These observations argue strongly for the involvement of LPA in the development of renal TIF and lead us to propose that the LPA1 receptor may represent an interesting potential therapeutic target for the treatment renal fibrosis.

RESULTS

UUO-Induced TIF Is Associated with an Increased Release of LPA by Kidney
To determine the possible involvement of LPA in renal TIF, LPA was quantified in conditioned media prepared from kidney explants from mice at different time points after UUO. The induction of renal TIF was validated by the increase in the level of mRNA encoding two previously characterized TIF and macrophage markers (collagen III and F4/80, respectively) (Figure 1A).15

LPA was present in conditioned media from kidney explants obtained from nonobstructed kidneys (Figure 1B; time 0). When compared with time 0, LPA concentration in conditioned media was significantly higher at each time point after UUO (3.3-, 3.6-, and 2.9-fold at days 3, 5, and 8, respectively) (Figure 1B). Contralateral kidneys exhibited no significant change in LPA release when compared with time 0 (Figure 1B).
Similarly, sham-operated kidneys exhibited no significant change in LPA release when compared with nonoperated mice (data not shown).

**UUO-Induced Renal TIF Is Associated with Upregulation of Renal LPA1 Receptor Expression**

Four LPA receptor subtypes have been identified (LPA1, LPA2, LPA3, and LPA4).6 Real-time reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR) analysis revealed that the four subtypes were expressed in total kidney extracts from control mice with the following rank order: LPA2 > LPA3 = LPA1 > LPA4 (Table 1). Analysis of LPA receptor subtype expression separately in the kidney cortex or in the kidney medulla did not change this expression order (Table 1).

When compared with time 0, the expression of the LPA1 receptor subtype was significantly increased at day 5 (2.8-fold) and day 8 (4.8-fold) after UUO (Figure 1C). In contrast, the expression of the LPA2 receptor was significantly decreased at day 3 (2-fold), day 5 (4-fold), and day 8 (4.5-fold) when compared with time 0. No significant change in LPA2 and LPA4 receptor expression was observed (Figure 1C). Eight days after surgery, controlateral and sham-operated kidneys exhibited no significant change in gene expression when compared with time 0 (data not shown).

**Attenuation of UUO-Induced Renal TIF in LPA1 Receptor Knockout Mice**

The above data suggested that LPA could play a role in UUO-induced renal fibrosis via the activation of the LPA1 receptor. To test this hypothesis, the level of UUO-induced renal TIF was compared between LPA1(-/-)16,17 and LPA1(+/-) mice. LPA1(-/-) mice exhibited a slight but nonsignificant reduction in LPA2 receptor expression when compared with LPA1(+/-) mice (Table 2). No significant change was observed for LPA1 receptor mRNA expression. In LPA1(+/-) mice with a mixed 129SvJ/C57BL/6J background, basal LPA1 receptor expression was lower than in mice with a pure C57BL/6J background. The LPA4 receptor was not detectable in mice with the mixed genetic background (Table 2). As shown in Figure 2, mRNA expression of typical fibrosis markers such as collagen type III, α-smooth muscle actin (αSMA), which is a marker of tubulointerstitial myofibroblasts responsible for a large component of collagen deposition in the interstitium, or F4/80 (inflammation) was significantly lower in LPA1(-/-) than in LPA1(+/-) mice. This was confirmed at the protein level for collagen type III and αSMA (Figure 3, A and B). Induction of F4/80 protein tended to be lower in LPA1(-/-) versus LPA1(+/-) mice, but the difference did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3C).

**Attenuation of UUO-Induced Renal TIF by Ki16425 Treatment**

Attenuation of UUO-induced TIF in LPA1(-/-) mice strongly suggested that the LPA1 receptor was involved in the development of TIF. To strengthen this hypothesis we performed a pharmacological knockout of the LPA1 receptor by treating obstructed mice with the LPA1 receptor antagonist Ki16425.7,8 In nonobstructed mice, Ki16425 treatment did not significantly change the renal expression of the LPA1, LPA2, and LPA4 receptors when compared with vehicle-treated mice. A slight but nonsignificant increase in LPA3 receptor expression was observed (Table 2). UUO-induced fibrosis (collagen type III, αSMA) and inflammatory (F4/80) mRNA markers were significantly lower in Ki16425-treated mice than in control mice (Figure 4). This was confirmed at the protein level for F4/80 and collagen type III (Figure 5).

**Effect of LPA on CTGF and TGFβ Expression In Vivo**

CTGF was previously demonstrated to play a crucial role in UUO-induced TIF,18,19 and was involved in the profibrotic action of TGFβ.5 We therefore analyzed TGFβ and CTGF mRNA expression in obstructed mice treated with the LPA receptor antagonist Ki16425. We observed that Ki16425 treatment led to a strong attenuation (3- to 4-fold) in the induction of TGFβ and CTGF mRNA expression by UUO (Figure 6). These data suggested the involvement of TGFβ and CTGF in the profibrotic action of LPA.

**Effect of LPA on CTGF and TGFβ Expression In Vitro**

Finally, we tested whether the profibrotic action of LPA could result from a direct impact of LPA on kidney cells. For that, the mouse epithelial renal cell line MCT was treated with LPA.20 Real-time RT-PCR analysis revealed that MCT cells mainly expressed LPA1 and LPA3 receptor subtypes (ratios of 28 ± 7

---

**Table 1. Expression of LPA-Receptor Subtypes in Kidney**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LPA Receptor mRNA (18S RNA × 10,000)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Cortex</th>
<th>Medulla</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LPA1</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA2</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA3</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA4</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values (mean ± SEM from 4 separate experiments).
and 21 ± 3 to 18S RNA (×10,000), respectively), whereas LPA3 and LPA4 receptor subtypes were undetectable. LPA induced a rapid and transient (Figure 7A) and a dose-dependent (Figure 7B) increase (10-fold maximum) in CTGF mRNA expression. In parallel, LPA exerted only a weak but significant increase (3-fold after 6 h) on TGFβ mRNA expression (Figure 7, A and B). CTGF mRNA induction by LPA was almost completely suppressed by cotreatment with the LPA-receptor antagonist Ki16425 (Figure 7C). LPA treatment was also accompanied by a release of CTGF protein in the culture medium of MCT cells, and that release was suppressed by cotreatment with Ki16425 (Figure 7D).

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows that (1) UUO-induced renal TIF is accompanied by an increased release of LPA, and by an upregulation of LPA1 receptor expression in the obstructed kidneys; (2) UUO-induced fibrosis is significantly attenuated in kidneys from LPA1 (−/−) mice as well as in mice treated with the LPA1 receptor antagonist Ki16425; and (3) on renal proximal tubular cells in vitro, LPA increases the expression and release of the profibrotic cytokine CTGF. These observations strongly argue for the involvement of LPA in the development of renal TIF and lead us to propose that the LPA1 receptor may represent an interesting pharmaceutical target for the treatment of chronic renal disease.

The metabolic origin of LPA released by the kidney, as well as the mechanisms by which the release of LPA is increased after UUO, remain unknown. Several enzymes, including phospholipases A1/A2, lysophospholipase D/autotaxin, glyco-
erol-phosphate acyltransferase, or monoacylglycerol kinase, can possibly lead to renal synthesis of LPA. Expression and/or the activity of one of these enzymes might be increased in the kidney as an adaptive response to chronic kidney injury induced by UUO. In rat, UUO was shown to increase the activity of a phosphoethanolamine-specific phospholipase A2. The involvement of this enzyme in LPA synthesis in the obstructed kidney remains to be explored.

LPA is a growth factor–like phospholipid known to regulate several cellular processes via the activation of specific G-protein–coupled receptors (LPA1, LPA2, LPA3, and LPA4). We observed that UUO significantly upregulated LPA1 receptor expression, which suggests that this subtype may play an important role in UUO-induced fibrosis. This hypothesis is supported by our results showing that UUO-induced TIF is significantly attenuated in LPA1 receptor knockout mice, as well as in mice treated with the LPA1 receptor antagonist Ki16425. Nevertheless, we found that kidneys also express LPA2 and LPA3 receptor subtypes, confirming previous reports, and that UUO reduced LPA3 receptor expression. Therefore, the involvement of LPA2 and LPA3 receptor subtypes in the action of LPA in the development of renal TIF cannot be excluded. In the future, the development of specific LPA2 or LPA3 receptor antagonists may help address this hypothesis.

Currently it is not known which renal cells are specifically targeted by LPA and which cells are involved in the LPA1 receptor–mediated renal fibrosis in ureteral obstruction. The development of renal TIF in UUO is associated with infiltration of inflammatory cells, transformation of epithelial cells into myofibroblasts, proliferation of (myo)fibroblasts, tubular atrophy, and secretion of ECM. On the basis of the literature, LPA can potentially regulate some of these events. LPA has, for example, been demonstrated to participate in intraperitoneal accumulation of monocytes/macrophages as well as in the control of the proliferation of nonrenal myofibroblasts and mesangial cells via the activation of the ras/MAPK pathway. On the basis of our results and previous reports, the expression of the LPA1 receptor is not different between renal cortex and medulla, suggesting that this receptor subtype is ubiquitously expressed throughout the different areas of the kidney. Consequently, the kidney cell type that is preferentially in-

---

**Figure 4.** Effect of Ki16425 treatment on UUO-induced renal TIF (mRNA expression). Mice were subjected to UUO (black bars) or not (white bars) in combination with a daily injection of Ki16425 or its vehicle. Kidneys were removed 8 d after surgery, and mRNA expression was determined by real-time PCR: (A) type III collagen; (B) αSMA; (C) F4/80. Values are means ± SEM from 6 mice by group. Amplitudes of UUO-induced fibrosis between vehicle- and Ki16425-treated animals were compared by two-way ANOVA test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

**Figure 5.** Effect of Ki16425 treatment on UUO-induced renal TIF (protein expression). Mice were subjected to UUO (black bars) or not (white bars) in combination with a daily injection of Ki16425 or its vehicle. Kidneys were removed 8 d after surgery, and protein expression was analyzed by immunohistochemistry: (A) F4/80; (B) type III collagen. Representative photographs are shown on the left. Quantification of the photographs is shown on the right. Values are means ± SEM from 6 mice by group. Amplitudes of UUO-induced fibrosis between vehicle- and Ki16425-treated animals were compared by two-way ANOVA test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. Calibration bar, 250 μm.
volved in the profibrotic activity of LPA remains to be defined. However, on the basis of the observation that UUO-induced fibrosis is essentially interstitial, without visible glomerular lesions, the glomerular LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor is most likely not involved in the effects of LPA on UUO-induced TIF. The remaining cell types that can be potential targets of LPA in the development of UUO-induced renal fibrosis therefore include tubular and inflammatory cells and interstitial fibroblasts. Because LPA was already known to participate in intraperitoneal accumulation of monocytes/macrophages and that LPA can induce expression of the profibrotic cytokine CTGF in primary culture human fibroblasts, we focused the remainder of our studies on the in vitro effects of LPA treatment on tubular cells. In addition, it has been shown that primary culture human proximal tubular cells express the LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor.

Among the UUO-induced factors that are strongly attenuated by LPA<sub>1</sub> receptor blockade is the profibrogenic factor CTGF. Interestingly, we found that LPA was able to upregulate CTGF expression and secretion in cultured proximal tubular cells. Similar observations were made previously in renal fibroblasts and mesangial cells. Our results show that the action of LPA on CTGF expression is very likely mediated by the
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**Figure 6.** Effect of Ki16425 treatment on UUO-induced renal TIF: Expression of profibrotic cytokines. Mice were subjected to UUO (black bars) or not (white bars) in combination with a daily injection of Ki16425 or its vehicle. Kidneys were removed at day 8 after surgery, and mRNA expression was determined by real-time PCR: (A) TGFβ; (B) CTGF. Values are means ± SEM from 6 mice by group. Amplitudes of UUO-induced fibrosis between vehicle- and Ki16425-treated animals were compared by two-way ANOVA test. **P < 0.01.
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**Figure 7.** Effect of LPA on CTGF expression in MCT cells. CTGF and TGFβ mRNA were quantified in serum-starved MCT cells exposed to 2 µM LPA for increasing time (A) or to increasing concentrations of LPA for 2 h (B); ***P < 0.001 when compared with time 0 (A) or to the absence of LPA (B) (determined by t test). (C) CTGF mRNA were quantified in serum-starved MCT cells exposed to 2 µM LPA ± 10 µM Ki16425: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 when compared with LPA alone (determined by t test). Values are means ± SEM from 3 separate experiments. (D) Serum-starved MCT cells were exposed to 2 µM LPA ± 10 µM Ki16425, and the release of CTGF protein in the culture medium for 3 h was analyzed by Western blot (representative of 2 separate experiments).
LPA_1_ receptor subtype because Ki16425 blocks these effects. Consequently, the parallel between _in vivo_ and _in vitro_ experiments suggests that the profibrogenic effect of LPA could in part be mediated by increased CTGF expression and secretion. CTGF induction by LPA in mesangial cells was shown to be mediated by the small GTPase rhoA and the downstream kinase ROCK.31 Interestingly, treatments with ROCK inhibitors have been described to attenuate UUO-induced renal TIF,33 similar to what we observed in LPA_{1(--/--)}, and in Ki16425-treated mice.

The _in vivo_ expression of the profibrogenic factor TGFβ is also significantly attenuated by LPA_{1} receptor blockade. In contrast to CTGF, _in vitro_ LPA treatment of MCT cells only modestly modified TGFβ expression. This difference suggests that regulation of TGFβ and CTGF expression and secretion by LPA involves different transduction pathways and/or can occur in different kidney cell types.

Therefore, combining our studies and the published data on the effects of LPA on renal CTGF and TGFβ production, the antifibrotic effect of LPA_{1} receptor blockade can potentially involve three cell types with important roles in the development of UUO-induced TIF: inflammatory cells, tubular cells, and fibroblasts.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates for the first time, using both genetically engineered animals and pharmacological tools, that LPA and its LPA_{1} receptor could play an important role in UUO-induced TIF via a mechanism involving in part the profibrotic cytokine CTGF. Because TGFβ has many other effects,34 its blockage is not a realistic therapeutic option to reduce renal fibrosis. On the other hand targeting the CTGF has been shown as a promising antifibrotic therapy.19 Therefore, pharmacological inhibition of LPA synthesis or antagonizing LPA_{1} receptors might be interesting in the treatment of renal fibrosis.

**CONCISE METHODS**

**Animals**

Male LPA_{1(--/--)} mice and their wild-type (WT) littermates were on a mixed 129svl/C57BL/6 background.16,17 For all other experiments, C57BL/6j mice were used (Harlan, Gannat, France). Mice were handled in accordance with the principles and guidelines established by the National Institute of Medical Research (INSERM). They were housed in a pathogen-free animal facility with constant temperature (20 to 22°C), humidity (50 to 60%), and with a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 8:00 a.m.). All mice had free access to food (energy contents in % kcal: 20% protein, 60% carbohydrate, and 20% fat; Usine d’Alimentation Rationelle, Villemoisson-sur-Orge, France) and water throughout the experiment.

**UUO**

Mice (8 wk old) were used in these experiments. UUO was performed as described previously.15 Mice were euthanized at different time points (0, 3, 5, and 8 d) after UUO, and the kidneys were dissected for further analysis. Control kidneys were dissected from nonoperated mice. All experiments reported were conducted in accordance with the principles and guide lines established by INSERM and were approved by a local animal care and use committee.

**Treatment with Ki16425**

Ki16425 (Sigma, Saint Quentin Fallavier, France) powder was first diluted in DMSO at the concentration of 100 µg/µl and then in PBS at the final concentration of 5 µg/µl. Male C57BL/6j mice were injected subcutaneously with the Ki16425 solution at the dose of 20 mg/kg per d or with the vehicle (100 µl injection volume). Injections began 1 d before UUO surgery and were repeated daily for 8 d.

**Culture of Kidney Explants**

Explants were prepared from the distal pole of the kidneys. Explants (9 to 30 mg) were incubated at 37°C in 12 wells per plate containing 1 ml serum-free DMEM supplemented with 1% BSA (≥97% free fatty acids; Sigma) for 6 h in a humidified atmosphere containing 7% CO2. After incubation, conditioned media were separated from explants, centrifuged to eliminate cell debris, and frozen at −20°C for further analysis.

**LPA Quantification**

LPA was extracted from conditioned media and quantified by radioenzymatic assay as described previously.35

**mRNA Quantification**

Total RNAs were extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Gene expression was analyzed using real-time RT-PCR as described previously.36 Oligonucleotides for mouse gene expression studies were:

- LPA_{1} receptor—sense: 5’-CATGTTGGAATCTACGTCAA-3’
- antisense: 5’-AGGCCAATTCGAGAAAGA-3’
- LPA_{2} receptor—sense: 5’-TGCTCTACTGCAAGCTTGA-3’
- antisense: 5’-CTCATGAGTTTTCTGCTGCC-3’
- LPA_{3} receptor—sense: 5’-GATCTGAGGGGCTCATTG-3’
- antisense: 5’-AAACCCATGCGGAAACACT-3’
- LPA_{4} receptor (also known as p2y9/GPR23)—sense: 5’-CCTTACCAACATCTATGGGACAT-3’
- antisense: 5’-TGCCCAGGAAAAGATCATGGT-3’
- Collagen type III—sense: 5’-ACGTAGATGAAATGGGGTG-3’
- antisense: 5’-GGTTGGGGGCTGCTAGTC-3’
- αSMA—sense: 5’-GTCCCAAGACATCGGGAGTA-3’
- antisense: 5’-GGGTTGGGGGCAAGCTGTC-3’
- CTGF—sense: 5’-GGGGAACATCCACCGAGTA-3’
- antisense: 5’-GATTGTAGGGTCCGGAGTA-3’
- TGFβ—sense: 5’-GAGGCGGAAAGGGACTACTA-3’
- antisense: 5’-CAGTCGTCTCCCCATGTCGTA-3’

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemical staining and analysis of kidney sections were performed as described previously.15 Rat monoclonal antibody to mouse F4/80 (RM2900; Caltag Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA)
was used for macrophage detection. Collagen type III and α-SMA were detected using rabbit anti-human collagen type III (T59105R Interchim, Montluçon, France) and the monoclonal mouse anti-human α-SMA (DAKO EPOS method, U7033; DAKO S.A., Trappes, France), respectively. For the visualization of collagen type III, the DAKO Envision System was used (DAKO S.A.). For all samples, negative controls for the immunohistochemical procedures included substitution of the primary antibody with nonimmune sera.

### Histomorphometric Analysis

As described previously, an operator unaware of the origin of each kidney section performed analyses. Under a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse 600, Tokyo, Japan) at ×200 magnification, 10 nonoverlapping fields (to obtain approximately 80% of the kidney section) per kidney section were captured with an analogic camera (MicroFire CCD color; Optronics, Goleta, CA) connected to the microscope. Quantitative analysis of the pictures was performed with Adobe PhotoShop 5.5 software (Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, CA), which allows counting of the pixels stained specifically (brown for the immunohistochemical studies).

### Culture of MCT Cells and Preparation of Conditioned Media

MCT cells were a kind gift of Dr M. Zeisberg (Harvard Medical School, Cambridge, MA). Cells were grown until confluence in DMEM supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum. MCT cells were washed twice with PBS to remove serum and then incubated (4 ml for a 10-cm diameter plate) in serum-free DMEM supplemented for 3 h with or without pharmacological reagents. Conditioned medium was collected and centrifuged to eliminate cell debris, and concentrated (about 50 fold) using an Amicon Ultra 10,000 (Millipore) and stored at −20°C before analysis.

### Detection of CTGF Secretion by Western Blot

Concentrated conditioned medium (50 μg) were loaded and separated on a GeNu-PAGE (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France) 4-20% and transferred on nitrocellulose membrane. The blot was incubated overnight at 4°C in TBS/Tween 0.1% containing 5% BSA and then for 1 h at room temperature in the same solution supplemented with 0.4 μg/ml CTGF antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). After washing in TBS/Tween 0.1%, CTGF was visualized by enhanced chemoluminescence detection system using an anti-rabbit–horseradish peroxidase antibody.

### Statistical Analysis

Values are means ± SEM. The interaction of UUO-induced fibrosis with LPA, knockout or K16425 treatment was statistically analyzed by a multivariate analysis (two-way ANOVA). Other comparisons were performed with a t test. Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.
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