Peritonitis in Children Who Receive Long-Term Peritoneal Dialysis: A Prospective Evaluation of Therapeutic Guidelines
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ABSTRACT

In children who are on chronic peritoneal dialysis, peritonitis is the primary complication compromising technique survival, and the optimal therapy of peritonitis remains uncertain. An Internet-based International Pediatric Peritonitis Registry was established in 47 pediatric centers from 14 countries to evaluate the efficacy and safety of largely opinion-based peritonitis treatment guidelines in which empiric antibiotic therapy was stratified by disease severity. Among a total of 491 episodes of nonfungal peritonitis entered into the registry, Gram-positive organisms were cultured in 44%, Gram-negative organisms were cultured in 25%, and cultures remained negative in 31% of the episodes. In vitro evaluation revealed 69% sensitivity of Gram-positive organisms to a first-generation cephalosporin and 80% sensitivity of Gram-negative organisms to a third-generation cephalosporin. Neither the risk factors assumed by the guidelines nor the choice of empiric therapy was predictive of either the early treatment response or the final functional outcome of the peritonitis episodes. Overall, 89% of cases achieved full functional recovery, a portion after relapsing peritonitis (9%). These data serve as the basis for new evidence-based guidelines. Modification of empiric therapy to include aminoglycosides should be considered.


Peritoneal dialysis (PD) remains the most common form of dialysis that initially is prescribed to children with ESRD worldwide. Although it serves as an effective means of accomplishing solute and fluid removal, infectious complications frequently occur and often compromise the continued function of the procedure. Peritonitis and catheter exit-site infections are the most common infections, with the rate of these infections routinely demonstrated to be greater in children than in adults. In 1983, the International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) published its first set of peritonitis guidelines.
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treatment guidelines, which were designed to optimize the efficacy of antibiotic therapy, minimize patient morbidity, and hopefully preserve the function of the peritoneal membrane. Updated versions were published in 1989, 1993, 1996, 2000, and 2005.\(^5\)\(^{–}\)\(^8\) Although the initial three sets of guidelines were intended to address the needs of both children and adult PD patients, the need for pediatric-specific guidelines that incorporated the specific risk factors and unique clinical aspects of children was recognized. An international committee was established, and pediatric-specific, largely opinion-based guidelines were published in 2000.\(^7\)

Once published and implemented, the efficacy of the peritonitis treatment guidelines in children ideally required formal evaluation in a wide variety of pediatric centers to determine best whether subsequent modification of the recommendations was required. This information was also crucial to the development of evidence-based guidelines. It was for this reason that the International Pediatric Peritonitis Registry (IPPR) was organized.\(^8\) The primary results of the registry are presented in this article.

**RESULTS**

**Patients and Peritonitis Episodes**

Between October 2001 and December 2004, data on 392 children and adolescents, aged 1 mo to 22 yr (median 9.8 yr), each of whom experienced one or more episodes of peritonitis while receiving long-term PD, were entered into the database. Data from both incident and prevalent patients were included. In these patients, a total of 548 episodes of peritonitis were recorded (mean 1.4 ± 0.8 [median 1] episodes per patient; range 1 to 6; 54% male). The 47 participating centers each contributed an average of 11.6 episodes by center per geographic region was 13.5 ± 12 in European, 12 ± 9.9 in American, and 6.5 ± 7.8 (NS) in Asian centers. The dialysis modality used was continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis in 24% of episodes, continuous cycling PD in 50% of episodes, and nocturnal intermittent PD in 26% of episodes. Patients who received nocturnal intermittent PD had no daytime dwell (dry day), whereas patients who received continuous cycling PD had a daytime dwell (wet day). Eighty-two percent of the episodes occurred with a two-cuff catheter, and the catheter exit-site was directed up in 20%, down in 45%, and lateral in 34% of all catheters. Mean dialysis duration at the time of initial registry entry was 1.7 ± 1.5 yr (median 1.2 yr; range 3 d to 8.3 yr).

A total of 501 (91.4%) of the 548 peritonitis episodes met the criteria for an episode that was treated according to the ISPD guidelines and were included in the analyses. At diagnosis, the dialysate effluent was clear in 3.8% of episodes, the cell count was <100 cells/μL in 2.8% of episodes, and the percent-age of polymorphonuclear cells was <50% in 8.5% of cases. Ten (2%) of the 501 episodes were fungal peritonitis episodes and were excluded from all analyses presented here, if not stated explicitly otherwise. The remaining 491 episodes comprised 218 (44%) Gram-positive, 122 (25%) Gram-negative, and 151 (31%) culture-negative episodes. Staphylococcal organisms accounted for the greatest number of positive cultures, with *S. epidermidis* or other coagulase-negative staphylococcal organisms accounting for 24% and *S. aureus* for 22%. Among the 77% of staphylococcal episodes in which the *S. aureus* carrier status was known, 16% of peritonitis episodes were associated with *S. aureus* nasal carriage (NS for association). The relative risk for acquiring *S. aureus* peritonitis was increased 2.7-fold (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.5 to 4.7; \(P = 0.0005\)) in the presence of *S. aureus* nasal carriage when controlling for concomitant antibiotic prophylaxis. *Pseudomonas* species and *Klebsiella* species accounted for the greatest number of Gram-negative organisms. The distribution of causative organisms is shown in Figure 1.

**Cause and Clinical Manifestation**

No identifiable factors were associated with the development of peritonitis in 355 episodes. In the remainder, the most common reported causes were touch contamination (12% of all episodes), exit-site/tunnel infection (7% of episodes), and catheter perforation/leakage (2.1% of episodes). The presence of a nasogastric tube, gastrostomy button/tube, and a ureterostomy was associated with 9.5, 7, and 5.5% of the 491 episodes of peritonitis, respectively.

Associations of the bacterial cause of peritonitis (Gram positive, Gram negative, or culture negative) with a variety of baseline patient characteristics were evaluated. Patients with Gram-negative peritonitis were younger (7.9 ± 5.9 yr) than patients with Gram-positive (10.6 ± 5.6 yr) or culture-negative peritonitis (10.2 ± 6 yr; \(P < 0.001\)). In patients with culture-negative peritonitis, a higher proportion were on continuous ambulatory PD (36%) than in patients with a Gram-negative infection (20%; \(P < 0.005\)). The use of spike connection systems was more prevalent in patients with a Gram-negative infection (17%) than in culture-negative peritonitis (5%; \(P < 0.0005\)). Gastrostomy buttons were more frequently present in

![Figure 1. Distribution of causative organisms.](image-url)
cases of Gram-negative (13%) than Gram-positive (6%) or culture-negative peritonitis (2%; P < 0.005). Multiple logistic regression revealed that the likelihood of acquiring Gram-negative peritonitis was independently associated with patient age (odds ratio [OR] 0.94; 95% CI 0.90 to 0.98; P < 0.005) and the use of a spike connection system (OR 2.74; 95% CI 1.37 to 5.46; P < 0.005). There was also a trend for an association with the presence of a gastrostomy tube/button that did not reach statistical significance (OR 2.21; 95% CI 0.94 to 5.18; P = 0.06).

Clinical features at presentation that differed by peritonitis cause included severity of abdominal pain, cloudiness of peritoneal effluent, temperature >38°C, peritoneal cell count, and disease severity score (DSS; Table 1). Culture-negative peritonitis was associated with a significantly lower DSS (1.56 ± 1.1) than episodes caused by fungi (2.41 ± 1.09), Gram-negative organisms (2.38 ± 1.12) and S. aureus (2.34 ± 1.06; P < 0.05).

According to multivariate analysis, the likelihood of a Gram-negative causative organism independently increased with the DSS at presentation (OR 1.36; 95%, CI 1.11 to 1.67; P < 0.005) and the percentage of polymorphonuclear lymphocytes (OR 1.03; 95%, CI 1.01 to 1.05; P < 0.001) and decreased with age (OR 0.92; 95% CI 0.89 to 0.96; P < 0.0005). Gram-positive infections were independently positively associated with patient age (P < 0.01), marked cloudiness (P < 0.05), DSS (P < 0.05), and a history of S. aureus infection (P < 0.05) and were inversely associated with the percentage of polymorphonuclear lymphocytes (P < 0.0005). Culture-negative infections were more likely in the presence of a low DSS (OR 0.59; 95% CI 0.48 to 0.73; P < 0.0001) and with the absence of or mild effluent cloudiness (OR 2.1; 95% CI 1.32 to 3.34; P < 0.005).

### Antibiotic Sensitivities

The antibiotic chosen for empiric therapy in addition to ceftazidime and the frequency of its use in terms of percentage of peritonitis episodes were as follows: Vancomycin 34%, ceftazidime 45%, teicoplanin 17% and cephalothin 4%. In *vitro* evaluation revealed that only 69% of Gram-positive organisms (n = 154) were sensitive to either cefazolin or cephalothin, and 80% of the Gram-negative organisms (n = 101) were sensitive to ceftazidime (Table 2). In contrast, 97% of the Gram-positive organisms (n = 192) tested were sensitive to a glycopeptide, and 88% of Gram-negative organisms (n = 120) tested against an aminoglycoside agent were found to be sensitive. Ninety-four percent of Gram-positive organisms (n = 163) and 93% of Gram-negative organisms (n = 113) were sensitive to the combination of either a first-generation cephalosporin or an aminoglycoside, on the basis of their individual susceptibility data. Finally, 90% of the Gram-positive organisms tested (n = 101) and 96% of the Gram-negative organisms tested (n = 81) were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, whereas 50% of the coagulase-negative staphylococci and 14% of the *S. aureus* strains were resistant to methicillin.

### Table 1. Relationship between bacterial cause and clinical features at presentationa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All Episodes</th>
<th>Gram Positive</th>
<th>Gram Negative</th>
<th>Culture Negative</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe abdominal pain (n [%])</td>
<td>204 (42)</td>
<td>97 (45)</td>
<td>64 (53)</td>
<td>43 (28)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature &gt;38°C (n [%])</td>
<td>224 (46)</td>
<td>108 (51)</td>
<td>69 (58)</td>
<td>47 (31)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marked effluent cloudiness (n [%])</td>
<td>343 (70)</td>
<td>161 (74)</td>
<td>95 (78)</td>
<td>87 (58)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>2.02 ± 1.15</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>2.38 ± 1.12</td>
<td>1.56 ± 1.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effluent cell count (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>1990 ± 2196</td>
<td>2023 ± 2055</td>
<td>2693 ± 2700</td>
<td>1390 ± 1787</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% polymorphonuclear cells (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>81 ± 16.2</td>
<td>78 ± 18</td>
<td>85 ± 14</td>
<td>80 ± 14</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit-site granuloma (n [%])</td>
<td>38 (8)</td>
<td>24 (11)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td>7 (5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit-site S. aureus (n [%])</td>
<td>39 (9)</td>
<td>26 (13)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>8 (6)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aStatistical significance indicative of difference between peritonitis cause groups. DSS, disease severity score.*

### Table 2. Sensitivities of organisms to different classes of antibiotics and their combinationsa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All Organisms</th>
<th>Gram Positive</th>
<th>Gram Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-generation cephalosporin</td>
<td>55 (192)</td>
<td>69 (129)</td>
<td>25 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second generation cephalosporin</td>
<td>61 (166)</td>
<td>62 (88)</td>
<td>60 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>69 (164)</td>
<td>51 (63)</td>
<td>80 (101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycopeptide</td>
<td>58 (325)</td>
<td>97 (192)</td>
<td>0 (133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycoside</td>
<td>81 (273)</td>
<td>76 (153)</td>
<td>88 (120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem/Cilastatin</td>
<td>89 (109)</td>
<td>85 (39)</td>
<td>91 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>93 (182)</td>
<td>90 (101)</td>
<td>96 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-generation cephalosporin</td>
<td>86 (211)</td>
<td>82 (119)</td>
<td>91 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycopeptide or ceftazidime</td>
<td>93 (299)</td>
<td>99 (198)</td>
<td>80 (101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-generation cephalosporin</td>
<td>93 (276)</td>
<td>94 (163)</td>
<td>93 (113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycopeptide or aminoglycoside</td>
<td>94 (326)</td>
<td>99 (206)</td>
<td>88 (120)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aData are % sensitive organisms (total number of organisms tested).*
Response to Empiric Treatment

A total of 301 (61.3%) peritonitis episodes were treated according to the risk stratification scheme included in the treatment guidelines; 253 episodes were treated with a glycopeptide, and 258 episodes were treated with a cephalosporin. Although no overall relationship was noted between the 3-d clinical response and the empiric antibiotic regimen chosen, the clinical response was significantly poorer for Gram-negative than for Gram-positive or culture-negative infections (P = 0.01; Table 3). The response of Gram-negative organisms to empiric therapy with the glycopeptides/ceftazidime combination also tended to be less favorable than in those who received the combination of a first- and third-generation cephalosporin (P = 0.06).

Other factors that were associated with an increased likelihood of empiric treatment response failure 3 d after treatment initiation included a dry day versus a wet day for automated PD patients, intermittent ceftazidime therapy in Gram-negative peritonitis, and an exit site score >2 in association with Gram-positive infections (Table 4). The use of a single-cuff catheter nearly achieved statistical significance (OR 2.3; 95% CI 0.98 to 5.4; P = 0.055).

In the multivariate analysis, the risk for empiric treatment failure was independently increased by the presence of a Gram-negative infection (OR 3.9; 95% CI 1.8 to 8.3; P = 0.0004) and a high effluent cell count (>500/μl; OR 2.7; 95% CI 1.2 to 5.9; P = 0.0123) with no additional modifying effect of the choice of empiric treatment or the presence or absence of risk factors according to the guidelines. In vitro resistance to the selected antibiotic significantly increased the likelihood of empiric treatment failure (first-generation cephalosporin or glycopeptide in Gram-positive infections: OR 16.3 [95% CI 1.5 to 180; P < 0.05]; ceftazidime in Gram-negative infections: OR 9.3 [95% CI 1.6 to 52; P < 0.05]).

When sensitivity to the administered antibiotic was included in the multivariate logistic model that predicted 3-d outcome, in vitro resistance was the only variable that predicted response failure (OR 12.9; 95% CI 4.2 to 40; P < 0.0001). When Gram-positive infections were considered separately, in vitro resistance to the administered antibiotic (OR 19.7; 95% CI 3 to 131; P < 0.005) and the exit-site score (OR 1.65; 95% CI 1.01 to 2.71; P < 0.05) significantly increased the risk for failure. In vitro resistance to the administered antibiotic (OR 29.2; 95% CI 3.1 to 279; P < 0.005) and the absence of residual urine output (OR 10.5; 95% CI 1.2 to 93; P < 0.05) were associated with an increased likelihood of empiric treatment failure in the patients with Gram-negative infections.

Final Outcome

Of the 491 cases reviewed, nine were unavailable for final outcome evaluation because the patients received a kidney allograft within 4 wk of the onset of the peritonitis episode. The clinical outcome of the 482 available peritonitis episodes is summarized in Table 5, and the relationship between outcome and causative organism is described in Figure 2. Eighty-nine percent of episodes were associated with full functional recovery. Neither the risk factors assumed by the guidelines nor the choice of empiric antibiotic therapy was predictive of the final functional outcome. In 81% of cases, PD was permanently discontinued (technique failure) because of persistent ultrafiltration problems, abdominal adhesions, persistent infection, secondary development of fungal peritonitis, or general therapy failure. The last group included a single case of bowel perforation and five lethal outcomes; three patients died from uncontrolled hypervolemia and one from venous access complications when switched to hemodialysis, and in one case, the cause of death remained unclear. The PD catheter was removed as a consequence of peritonitis in 54 cases; in eight of these cases, PD was immediately resumed after catheter replacement, whereas in 12 patients, PD was resumed by insertion of a new catheter after a mean interval of 29 ± 19 d (range 3 to 70 d).

Relapsing peritonitis was observed in 24 (11%) of 219 Gram-positive and in 11 (9.2%) of 120 of Gram-negative episodes. In addition, relapsing culture-negative peritonitis occurred in 17 (11.3%) of 151 cases. Relapsing peritonitis led to temporary discontinuation of PD in four and permanent technique failure in nine of the 52 cases. In total, PD was continued without interruption in 91% of the nonrelapsing infections but in only 75% of the relapsing peritonitis episodes (P < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

Peritonitis is a frequent complication of long-term PD in children that can result...
in a variety of adverse outcomes, including the need for hospitalization, PD failure, and even death.\(^2\) The high incidence of peritonitis in children and the need to preserve membrane function in these patients who face a lifetime of ESRD care mandates an effective approach to therapy. The ISPD pediatric guidelines were designed for that reason, although they are largely opinion based as a result of the limited evidence on the topic that exists in the pediatric nephrology and infectious disease literature. The IPPR, the first large-scale, international clinical project in the field of pediatric nephrology since the International Study of Kidney Disease in Children (ISKDC) in the early 1970s, was in turn established to collect information pertaining to the presentation and treatment of peritonitis in children who receive PD on a global basis. Although a number of publications have described the microbiology of peritonitis in adult patients,\(^3\)–\(^16\) this prospective collection of 491 episodes of nonfungal peritonitis is the largest number assembled to date with this level of detail in the pediatric literature.

The diagnostic features documented at presentation were noteworthy because a small percentage of patients presented with clear dialysis effluent despite the fact that 56% of the associated cultures were positive. This finding, which has previously been reported in the adult literature,\(^3\) emphasizes the importance of considering the diagnosis of peritonitis in all PD patients with abdominal pain, even if cloudy effluent is initially absent.

The bacteriologic profile of the peritonitis episodes was predominated by staphylococcal organisms, nearly evenly divided into \(S.\) \(aureus\) and coagulase-negative \(Staphylococcus\). This result is somewhat different from that recently obtained by Muijais\(^17\) in a survey of \(>4000\) episodes of peritonitis in adult patients from the United States and Canada. In that study, coagulase-negative \(Staphylococcus\) was three times more common than \(S.\) \(aureus\) as a cause of peritonitis. Most concerning in our data was the finding of a high rate of culture-negative peritonitis. It is generally agreed that by following recommended culture techniques, culture-negative peritonitis should not account for \(>20\%)\) of peritonitis episodes.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^17\)\(^,\)\(^18\) Evaluation of those sites with frequent culture-negative episodes is now being undertaken. Finally, although a higher incidence of Gram-negative infections in infants (“diaper peritonitis”) has repeatedly been suggested in clinical reviews, we confirm for the first time a statistical association of young age and Gram-negative infection. Additional age-independent circumstances that favor Gram-negative peritonitis were the use of spiking connection systems and the presence of a gastrostomy.

Current pediatric recommendations for empiric antibiotic therapy include the combination of ceftazidime with either a first-generation cephalosporin or a glycopeptide, with the selection based on an opinion-based risk stratification scheme that takes into consideration age, clinical presentation, and history of infection.\(^7\) The choice of a first-generation cephalosporin \(versus\) a glycopeptide is often made to minimize the use of a glycopeptide because of an inherent concern regarding the promotion of drug resistance.\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^19\)\(^–\)\(^21\) Previous clinical trials in adults have investigated whether there is a clinical advantage associated with the use of a glycopeptide \(versus\) a cephalosporin in PD-associated peritonitis, and the studies have yielded mixed results, with no difference noted overall between the two antimicrobial agents.\(^22\)

Although the combination of ceftazidime with either a first-generation cephalosporin or a glycopeptide was used in all peritonitis episodes, the assignment of empiric therapy to the risk profile given in the guidelines was adhered to in only two thirds of the cases. In part, this may have been related to the participating physician’s prerogative to alter the recommended treatment regimen on the basis of the patient’s clinical status using factors other than those delineated in the risk stratification scheme. Fortuitously, this gave us the opportunity to assess independently the relative efficacy of the empiric treatment and the predictive role of the risk factors for an adverse course of peritonitis, as delineated in the guidelines. In the global data analysis, neither the presence of any of the assumed risk factors nor the actual choice of empiric antibiotic therapy

---

**Table 5. Final outcome of peritonitis in 482 children with PD-associated peritonitis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>PD Continued</th>
<th>PD Discontinued</th>
<th>Total (n [%])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporary</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full functional recovery</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrafiltration problems</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesions</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary fungal peritonitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General therapy failure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n [%])</td>
<td>431 (89)</td>
<td>12 (2.5)</td>
<td>39 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 2. Outcome of peritonitis by organism.**
significantly predicted either the early treatment response or the final functional outcome, and there was no significant interaction between the two factors. Hence, the opinion-based assignment of young infants as well as children with severe clinical presentation, previous or ongoing exit-site infection, or methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* history preferentially to glycopeptide treatment with the intention of resulting in a superior outcome does not seem to be supported by clinical evidence.

At first glance, the lack of superiority of glycopeptides in controlling peritonitis may seem surprising, particularly in view of the considerable fraction of organisms with *in vitro* resistance to first-generation cephalosporins and the clear overall association between *in vitro* sensitivity and clinical response within 3 d of treatment initiation. However, the majority of cases of empiric treatment failure were observed with Gram-negative organisms, suggesting that the difference in Gram-positive coverage between glycopeptides and first-generation cephalosporins was clinically less relevant than the surprisingly high 20% resistance to ceftazidime. Notably, the combination of first- and third-generation cephalosporins tended to perform better in Gram-negative peritonitis than the combination of ceftazidime with a glycopeptide. This may be explained by the fact that 50% of the Gram-negative bacteria, including some ceftazidime-resistant organisms, showed *in vitro* sensitivity to cefazolin, resulting in a synergistic effect of the cephalosporin combination.

The limited success with ceftazidime for Gram-negative infections highlights the need for therapeutic alternatives. Aminoglycosides have previously been a component of empiric therapy; however, the potential development of ototoxicity, vestibular toxicity, and nephrotoxicity, with the possible accompanying loss of residual renal function, prompted their replacement by a third-generation cephalosporin in empiric treatment guidelines, even when combined with a first-generation cephalosporin. The bacterial resistance patterns collected in this study revealed that 88% of Gram-negative organisms were sensitive to the aminoglycosides as compared with 80% ceftazidime sensitivity; the best overall susceptibility results were evident with testing against either a first-generation cephalosporin or a glycopeptide combined with an aminoglycoside. These findings emphasize the importance of considering modification of current empiric antibiotic therapy recommendations. Aminoglycoside therapy may be acceptable as part of empiric therapy. When used, there should be prompt modification of antibiotic management once susceptibility data reveal that the causative organism is resistant to aminoglycoside antibiotics or that another, less toxic antibiotic displays evidence of equivalent, *in vitro* efficacy. In the case of culture-negative peritonitis, substitution of the aminoglycoside with ceftazidime is likely preferable. Although our results suggest that ciprofloxacin may be an ideal single agent providing broad coverage against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms, the potential for rapid development of bacterial resistance and the use-related risk for poor cartilage development in young children make this a less desirable choice for initial therapy.

Finally, the IPPR is the first peritonitis study in pediatrics to provide a systematic assessment of the outcomes of long-term PD-associated peritonitis. While full functional recovery of PD was achieved in 89% of the episodes, 8% resulted in permanent PD technique failure as a result of persistent ultrafiltration problems, abdominal adhesions, persistent infection, secondary development of fungal peritonitis, or, in almost 1% of cases, death from complications of disease management, all of which emphasize the current morbidity associated with peritonitis in children.

**CONCLUSION**

The IPPR has, for the first time, provided evidence for the capability of evaluating peritonitis, the most important complication of PD, in children around the globe. The information obtained from this analysis will be incorporated into the antibiotic therapy recommendations that will serve as the basis for the upcoming set of ISPD evidence-based treatment guidelines for children. The subsequent formation of the Internation Pediatric PD Network will provide the opportunity to further the efforts of the IPPR by not only evaluating the rates of peritonitis and the impact of therapy but also by placing equal emphasis on the prevention and treatment of peritonitis in children worldwide.

**CONCISE METHODS**

The IPPR is a global consortium of 47 pediatric dialysis centers, composed of 29 European centers, two Asian centers, and 16 centers in the Americas. It was established in October 2001 to address issues of validation of the ISPD pediatric peritonitis treatment guidelines and to evaluate the distribution of causative organisms and their respective resistance patterns (see the acknowledgments for list of participating centers).

**Method of Data Collection**

Data input was performed exclusively via an Internet-based web platform (http://www.peritonitis.org). Data pertaining to basic patient and PD modality characteristics, clinical presentation with peritonitis, microbiological results, empiric treatment and its subsequent modifications, clinical treatment response, and final outcomes were submitted sequentially along the course of a peritonitis episode. The data were automatically checked for accuracy and completeness by the need for responses to fall within clinically appropriate ranges and by the requirement for responses to be made to all mandated queries before successful submission. When data that were entered were outside the predetermined range, if mandated responses were not completed, or if calculations (e.g., body mass index) that were based on the data entered seemed to be in error, the system automatically refused final data entry, a message was displayed, and the person who performed the data entry had to correct the data input. In addition,
center-specific demographic data and PD practices were collected by means of an online questionnaire.

Data protection was ensured because the data input was anonymized. The registry protocol was approved by the ethical committees/institutional review boards at each participating center.

Definitions
Peritonitis.
Peritonitis was defined by the presence of (1) cloudy effluent, (2) an effluent cell count of $\geq 100$ cells/$\mu$L, and (3) $\geq 50\%$ polymorphonuclear cells in the differential cell count.

Catheter Exit-Site Appearance.
Catheter exit-site appearance was characterized according to a standardized scoring system on the basis of the presence and severity of swelling, crust, redness, pain on pressure, and discharge.28

Treatment of Peritonitis.
Treatment of peritonitis was characterized as being conducted in accordance with the ISPD guidelines when (1) diagnostic criteria for peritonitis were fulfilled and/or an organism was grown on culture, (2) the patient was assessed for the presence of the peritonitis risk factors defined by the ISPD guidelines, and (3) empiric treatment was initiated according to the recommendations of the guidelines (i.e., with either a first-generation cephalosporin and ceftazidime or with a glycopeptide [vancomycin or teicoplanin] and ceftazidime). It was, however, not necessary to initiate treatment according to the recommended risk stratification of therapy described in the guidelines. Antibiotic therapy was intended to be modified in accordance with the results of the dialysate culture and sensitivity testing.

Disease Severity Score (DSS).
The DSS was a quantitative assessment (range 0 to 5) of the clinical status of the patient at presentation that was based on the severity of fever and abdominal pain. The score was calculated as the sum of a maximum score of three points for pain and two for fever.28

Early Treatment Response.
Early treatment response was defined as the clinical response of the patient 72 h after treatment initiation. The response was considered satisfactory when the DSS was $\leq 2$ at 72 h after the start of empiric antibiotic therapy and the effluent cloudiness had improved.

Late Treatment Response.
Late treatment response was defined as the clinical outcome of the patient 4 wk after treatment initiation, with consideration of the need for catheter exchange, the occurrence of a relapse, and a composite end point defining full functional recovery. The last was assumed when PD was continued without functional impairment, irrespective of whether a relapse occurred or a catheter exchange was necessary.

Peritonitis Relapse.
Peritonitis relapse was defined as recurrence of peritonitis with the same organism (defined by biochemical differentiation and resistogram or the occurrence of two episodes remaining sterile) within 4 wk after termination of antibiotic treatment. Antibiotic resistograms accompanied most but not all positive cultures. Some resistograms included equivalence assumptions (e.g., Gram-negative organisms regarded as resistant to glycopeptides, clindamycin, and rifampin; enterococci regarded as resistant to cephalosporins).

Statistical Analyses
Differences in group means were assessed by ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls tests. Differences in proportions were assessed using $\chi^2$ tests. The potential effect of patient characteristics, initial presentation, culture results, and treatment modalities on the relative risk for adverse treatment outcomes (3-d treatment failure, incomplete 4-wk functional recovery, catheter exchange) was assessed by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis, calculating OR and 95% CI.
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