HIV-1 Upregulates VEGF in Podocytes
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Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) belongs to a family of angiogenic growth factors. Its dysregulation plays a key role in disease states such as preeclampsia, diabetic nephropathy, and renal cell carcinoma.1–3 The biological effects of VEGF correlate most closely to those of the VEGF165 isoform (VEGF164 in mice).4 VEGF’s actions are mediated through two cell surface receptors, VEGFR1/Flt1 and VEGFR2/Flk1, and its co-receptors neuropilin 1/2 (Nrp).5

VEGF-A has been shown to play a critical role in both the establishment and maintenance of the glomerular filtration barrier.6 Transgenic mice with podocyte-specific overexpression of the vascular endothelial growth factor 164 (VEGF164) isoform also develop collapsing FSGS, and from patients with HIVAN had greater expression of both VEGF and its transcriptional regulator, hypoxia-inducible factor 2α (HIF-2α). Similarly, mRNA and protein levels of VEGF and HIF-2α were increased in HIV-infected podocytes in vitro, and this transcriptional upregulation was found to be stimulated by the HIV viral protein Nef in a Src kinase- and Stat3-dependent manner. HIV-1 also upregulated VEGFR2 and its co-receptor neuropilin-1 and suppressed the expression of semaphorin 3α in the podocyte. Exogenous VEGF stimulated proliferation and de-differentiation of podocytes, which are features of collapsing FSGS, and VEGFR2 neutralizing antibodies reversed these features in podocytes infected with HIV-1 or isolated from Tg26 mice. In conclusion, HIV-1 induces VEGF and VEGFR2 expression in podocytes, and this may be a critical step in the pathogenesis of HIVAN.
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ABSTRACT

HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN) is characterized by collapsing FSGS. Because transgenic mice with podocyte-specific overexpression of the vascular endothelial growth factor 164 (VEGF164) isoform also develop collapsing FSGS, we sought to determine whether VEGF plays a role in HIVAN. Compared with controls, immunohistochemistry revealed that kidneys from HIV-1-transgenic mice (Tg26) and from patients with HIVAN had greater expression of both VEGF and its transcriptional regulator, hypoxia-inducible factor 2α (HIF-2α). Similarly, mRNA and protein levels of VEGF and HIF-2α were increased in HIV-infected podocytes in vitro, and this transcriptional upregulation was found to be stimulated by the HIV viral protein Nef in a Src kinase- and Stat3-dependent manner. HIV-1 also upregulated VEGFR2 and its co-receptor neuropilin-1 and suppressed the expression of semaphorin 3α in the podocyte. Exogenous VEGF stimulated proliferation and de-differentiation of podocytes, which are features of collapsing FSGS, and VEGFR2 neutralizing antibodies reversed these features in podocytes infected with HIV-1 or isolated from Tg26 mice. In conclusion, HIV-1 induces VEGF and VEGFR2 expression in podocytes, and this may be a critical step in the pathogenesis of HIVAN.
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Figure 1. (A) Immunohistochemical staining for VEGF-A and HIF-2α in human kidney
biopsies. (1 through 3) VEGF staining: Representative pictures from biopsy specimens from
HIV-positive patients with HIVAN show VEGF-A staining localized mostly to podo-
cytes (1 and 2), and HIV-negative patients with minimal-change disease show only
background staining (3). (4) Control: IgG staining shows diffuse background staining. (5
through 7) HIF-2α staining: Representative pictures from biopsy specimens from HIV-
positive patients with HIVAN show strong HIF-2α expression localized mostly to the
podocyte (5 and 6), and HIV-negative patients with minimal-change disease show little
podocyte staining for HIF-2α (7). (B) Immunostaining for VEGF and HIF-2α in kidneys from
Tg26 mice: (1 through 4) VEGF staining in kidney sections from Tg26 mouse (1 and 2),
from littermate (3), or stained with control IgG (4). (5 through 8) HIF-2α staining in kidney
sections from Tg26 mouse (5 and 6), from littermate (7), or stained with control IgG (8).
Bright light microscopy (Olympus BX60). Magnification, ×20.

ways, thereby preventing VEGF165b-me-
diated cell signaling.10 VEGF165b has
been shown to be highly expressed in
differentiated but not in de-differenti-
ated podocytes.11

Next, we studied how HIV regulates
VEGF expression in cultured podocytes. We
found that the VEGF isoforms 164 and 188 were upregulated at the mRNA
level in HIV-infected podocytes when
compared with control cells, and protein
expression of VEGF164 was increased
(Figure 2, A and B). Treatment with
MG132, a proteasomal inhibitor, aug-
mented levels of VEGF in control podo-
cytes, whereas treatment with MG132 in
HIV-infected podocytes did not signifi-
cantly increase levels of VEGF expression
(Figure 2, B and D). We determined
whether HIF levels are increased in HIV-
infected podocytes, because HIF is
known to activate transcription of VEGF
and MG132 blocks degradation of HIF. Whereas treatment with MG132 slightly
increased both HIF-1α and HIF-2α pro-
tein levels, HIV-infected podocytes had markedly increased expression of
HIF-2α when compared with control
podocytes (Figure 2C). Expression of HIF-1α did not change after HIV infec-
tion (Figure 2C). Our data suggest that
HIV increases VEGF expression through
stimulation of HIF-2α. HIF plays a key
role in hypoxic conditions and serves as a
transcription factor for genes that mediate
adaptive responses to reduced oxygen
availability, including VEGF and eryth-
ropoietin, commonly via changes that
decrease HIF’s degradation.12 Interest-
ingly, our findings suggest that HIV
markedly induces HIF-2α but not HIF-
1α, indicating a hypoxia-independent
pathway.

To identify which HIV-1–encoded
gene was responsible for inducing ex-
pression of HIF-2α and VEGF, the genes for
vpr, tat, and nef were introduced indi-
vidually into conditionally immortal-
ized podocytes. We found that only the
nef gene was responsible for HIV-in-
duced HIF-2α and VEGF expression in
podocytes (Supplemental Figure 2). Be-
cause we have shown previously that Nef
activates the Src/Stat3 pathway in podo-
cytes13 and the Src/Stat3 pathway has
been shown to mediate HIF-2α expres-
sion in other cell lines,14 we investigated
whether Src/Stat3 mediates Nef-induced
HIF-2α expression in podocytes. We
found that both pp2 (a Src kinase inhib-
itor) as well as a dominant negative mu-
tant for Stat3 inhibited Nef-induced HIF-2α expression, suggesting that the
Src/Stat3 pathway indeed mediates the
Nef-induced increase in HIF-2α expres-
sion in podocytes (Supplemental Figure
2E).

In vitro HIV-1–infected podocytes and
codocytes from HIV-1–transgenic
mice exhibit podocyte proliferation and
loss of differentiation mark-
ers.9,15,16 In vitro studies support a clear
role for Nef in podocyte proliferation
and de-differentiation;9 therefore, we
determined whether VEGF mediates
HIV/Nef-induced podocyte prolifera-
tion. We found that treatment with ex-
genous VEGF significantly increased
podocyte number (Figure 3A). VEGF
administration did not increase podo-
cyte apoptosis or necrosis (data not
shown). Levels of mRNA of the podo-
cyte-specific marker synaptopodin

were significantly decreased in VEGF-stimulated podocytes (Figure 3B). VEGF also induced p44/42 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphorylation (Figure 3C). Treatment of HIV-infected cells with recombinant sVEGFR1, which traps endogenous VEGF and inhibits its binding with cell surface receptors, significantly reduced podocyte proliferation induced by HIV (Figure 3D). These data suggest that VEGF mediates the effects of HIV-1 infection on podocyte proliferation and de-differentiation. Consistent with our studies, Ding et al.17 showed that podocyte-specific deletion of the Von Hippel-Lindau gene (Vhlh) leads to stabilization of HIF-α subunits, causing podocyte proliferation and the development of the clinical features of rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis.

We also examined whether HIV infection regulates VEGF receptor expression in podocytes. We found that both protein and RNA expression of Flt1, Nrp-1, and VEGFR2 was increased and expression of Semaphorin 3A (Sema 3A) was downregulated in HIV-infected podocytes (Figure 4A through C). VEGFR2 expression was also increased in podocytes from Tg26 mice (Figure 4A) and in HIVAN kidneys (Figure 4D). Human podocytes have been shown to express VEGFR1, VEGFR3, Nrp-1, and Nrp-2, and recent evidence suggests that VEGFR2 may be inducible in differentiated murine podocytes.7,18 Our data suggest that HIV may induce VEGFR2 expression in both human and murine podocytes.

Next, we determined whether VEGFR2 mediates the effects of HIV-1 on podocytes using both in vitro HIV-infected podocytes and podocytes isolated from Tg26 mice. We found that treatment with neutralizing antibodies against VEGFR2 caused less proliferation and increased synaptopodin expression both in HIV-infected and Tg26 podocytes when compared with podocytes treated with anti-flag antibody (Supplemental Figure 3) or rat IgG2a (same IgG class of anti-VEGFR2 antibody; data not shown). These data support a role for VEGFR2 in HIV-induced podocyte proliferation and de-differentiation.

The precise role of VEGFR2 and Nrp-1 in podocyte biology and pathology remains unclear. Recent studies show that tyrosine kinase inhibitors that block the actions of the VEGF receptors can decrease podocyte proliferation.7 Although Nrp-1 had previously been considered a nonsignaling VEGF co-receptor, VEGF has been shown to signal in an autocrine manner through Nrp-1 in the absence of Flk1 in breast carcinoma cells that are tyrosine kinase receptor negative.19 HIV also induces upregulation of both VEGFR2 and its co-receptor Nrp-1, which may facilitate and enhance VEGF's interaction with VEGFR2.20 Interestingly, HIV infection also reduces podocyte expression of Sema 3A, which exerts its effects through its receptors Nrp-1 and plexinA.21 Sema 3A has been recently shown to regulate expression and interactions among several slit-dia-phragm proteins.22

Our study demonstrates that Nef-Src/Stat3-HIF-VEGF is a novel pathway for podocyte proliferation and de-differentiation in HIVAN. In vivo, podocyte-specific expression of Nef in transgenic mice induces an abnormal podocyte phenotype characterized by increased Ki67, loss of synaptopodin, and increased phospho-Stat3.15 These mice, however, do not have significant glomerulosclerosis (GS) or podocyte proliferation. One possible explanation is that these transgenic mice are on the C57/b6 background, which is known to be resistant to GS.23 In contrast, recent studies have shown that podocyte-specific expression of Nef in transgenic mice on the FVB/N genetic background develop significant GS.24 In these mice, podocytes exhibit a loss of differentiation markers in the absence of proliferation. Thus, the exact combination of factors that lead to podocyte proliferation in vivo remains unclear.
Our study also suggests the presence of a unique autocrine loop for VEGF in podocytes. Autocrine loops have been previously described in both endothelial and hematopoietic stem cells, however, in both of these systems, treatment with VEGFR antagonists that act extracellularly fail to reverse the effects of VEGF, whereas those that are able to penetrate the intracellular compartment mimic the deletion of VEGF. In addition, exogenous treatment with VEGF is unable to compensate for the loss of endogenous VEGF in endothelial cells in which VEGF has been specifically deleted, suggesting the presence of an internal VEGF-dependent autocrine loop. In contrast, we found that treatment with either soluble VEGFR or VEGFR2 neutralizing antibodies can reverse the podocyte proliferation and de-differentiation induced by HIV infection. Thus, it seems that the VEGF autocrine loop functions differently in podocytes.

In conclusion, our data show that HIV infection stimulates VEGF production in podocytes through the Nef-Src/Stat3–HIF-2α pathway. Excess of VEGF production, along with upregulation of its receptors (VEGFR2 and Nrp-1) and downregulation of its protective ligand (Sema 3A), contributes to podocyte proliferation and loss of synaptopodin in HIVAN. Our data provide new insight into the underlying molecular mechanisms of podocyte disease of HIVAN. Future studies will determine whether administration of anti-VEGFR2 drugs could have beneficial effects in Tg26 mice, an animal model of HIVAN.

CONCISE METHODS

Immunohistochemistry and Immunofluorescence

Human biopsy specimens from patients with HIVAN and minimal-change disease were obtained courtesy of Dr. Vivette d’Agati (Columbia University) from routine biopsies performed after institutional review board approval. Paraffin-embedded and parafomaldehyde-fixed kidneys were obtained from Tg26 mice and their littermates. Five specimens from each group were tested with each antibody after they were dewaxed and rehydrated. Antigen retrieval was performed on Tg26 and littermate specimens after deparaffinizing and rehydrating the sections. The slides were immersed in citrate buffer (10 mM citric acid and 0.05% Tween 20 [pH 6.0]), heated to boiling point for 5 min, cooled to room temperature for 20 min, washed in PBS two times, and then followed by standard staining protocol. All specimens were incubated with primary antibody (anti-VEGF [Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA], HIF-2α antibody [Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO], anti-human VEGFR antibody [R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN], rabbit IgG [Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO], or mouse IgG [Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA]). This was followed by incubation with biotinylated secondary antibody and streptavidin/biotin–horse-radish peroxidase complex prepared using Vectastain ABC Elite reagents (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

Immunofluorescence staining was performed for VEGF, HIF-2α, and synaptopodin in mouse kidney sections from Tg26 mice and their littermates. Paraffin-embedded sections were deparaffinized as described. Sections were incubated with primary antibodies (anti-VEGF [Invitrogen]; HIF-2α antibody [Novus Biologicals]; anti-synaptopodin [gift from Dr. Peter Mundel, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY]) for 1 h at room temperature and then with FITC-labeled second antibodies with different wavelengths for co-localization study. Sections were examined using fluorescence microscopy.

Figure 3. (A) Effect of VEGF on podocyte proliferation. Podocytes were exposed to no VEGF or VEGF at indicated concentration. Cell number was determined at days 2 and 5. Bars represent mean cell number ± SE of three samples. ***P < 0.005 versus cells without VEGF treatment. (B) VEGF causes reduction of synaptopodin expression. Podocytes were incubated with or without VEGF for 2 d, and RNA was isolated. The synaptopodin/tubulin ratio was determined by real-time PCR. The fold of increase as compared with control podocytes is expressed. Means ± SEM of three independent experiments are shown; *P < 0.05 versus control. (C) VEGF stimulates MAPK phosphorylation. Podocytes were incubated with 1 nm of VEGF or no VEGF for 5, 10, 30, and 60 min. Total and phosphorylated MAPK was determined by Western blot using anti-MAPK and anti–p-MAPK. The representative blot of three independent experiments is shown. (D) Effect of recombinant sVEGFR1 on HIV-induced podocyte proliferation. Control and HIV-infected podocytes were treated with recombinant sVEGFR1 or control vehicle daily for 3 d, and then cell number was determined. **P < 0.01; n = 4 versus vehicle-treated cells.
Conditionally Immortalized Murine Podocytes with HIV-1–Expressing or Control Vector

Conditionally immortalized murine podocytes were isolated as described previously.27 Production of HIV-1 constructs has been described previously.9 The podocytes used in these experiments are from an established line of pNL4-3:d1443 and pHR-CMV-IREs2-GFP–ΔB–infected podocytes. In all experiments, cells were grown at 37°C on type 1 collagen–coated dishes for 10 d to inactivate temperature-sensitive T antigen and allow for differentiation.

Northern Blot Analysis

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol (Life Technologies BRL, Grand Island, NY). VEGF, Sema 3A, and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase probes were generated by reverse transcriptase–PCR from RNA isolated from normal mouse glomeruli. The cDNA probes were radio-
labeled with \(^{32}\text{P}-\alpha\)dCTP and hybridized with Hybrisol (Intergen, Purchase, NY).

**Western Blot**

pNL4-3-d1443 and pHR-CMV-IRES2-GFP-ΔB–infected podocytes were lysed and subjected to Western blot analysis using the following antibodies: Neutropol-1 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA), Flk1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), VEGFR2 (R&D Systems), Sema 3A (Abcam), and \(\beta\)-actin (Sigma-Aldrich). pNL4-3-d1443 and pHR-CMV-IRES2-GFP-ΔB podocytes were grown to confluence and subjected to Western blot analysis with anti-VEGF antibody (R&D Systems) and HIF-1\(\alpha\) and HIF-2\(\alpha\) antibodies (Novus Biologicals).

**HIV-1 Constructs**
The production of HIV-1 constructs has been described previously.\(^{28}\) The \(vpr\), \(tat\), and \(nef\) constructs as well as a control green fluorescence protein–containing construct and a Stat3 dominant negative mutant (a gift from Dr. C.M. Horvath, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY) were individually introduced into wild-type conditionally immortalized podocytes maintained at 33°C via nucleofection (Amaxa Biosystems, Cologne, Germany), and the cells were transferred to 37°C for 3 d.\(^{28}\) The transfection efficiency was evaluated by fluorescence microscopy confirming 70 to 80% efficiency.

**Real-Time PCR**

Real-time PCR was performed with a Roche LightCycler using a QuantiTect One Step RTTPCR SYBR green kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) using validated primer sets for VEGF, endothelial PAS domain protein, and VEGFR2/kinase insert domain receptor (Qiagen). Melting-curve analysis was performed to check for a single amplicon and verified by size using gel electrophoresis. Specific primers for synaptopodin (5’-GCC AGG GAC CCA GAT A-3’ and 3’-AGG AGC CCA GGC CTT CTC T-5’) and tubulin (5’-TGC TTT GCA CTG GTA TG-3’ and 3’-CTG GAG CAG TTT GAC GAC AC-5’) were designed (MWG-Biotech, High Point, NC). Light-Cycler analysis software was used for determining crossing points using the second derivative method. Data were analyzed by the \(2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}\) method and presented as fold increase normalized to the housekeeping gene tubulin.\(^{30}\)

**Cell Proliferation and Determination of Cell Signaling Activation and Differentiation**

Conditionally immortalized podocytes were split and plated into 24-well collagen-coated plates at a density of 20,000 cells/well after differentiation. The cells were serum-starved overnight and exposed to medium with or without a recombinant human VEGF\(_{165}\) (R&D Systems) in concentrations of 0.1, 0.5, 1, or 5 nm. HIV-infected podocytes and their control cells were also exposed to recombinant sVEGFR1 (R&D Systems; 100 ng/ml) daily for 3 d to block the effects of VEGF. Cells were trypsinized and counted on days 2 and 5. RNA was also isolated from samples and used for real-time PCR using a synaptopodin-specific primer.

Differentiated podocytes were stimulated for 0, 10, 20, 30, and 60 min with 1 nm of VEGF. Cell lysates were analyzed using Western blot analysis with anti-phospho-MAPK1 and 2 and anti-MAPK1 and 2 (Cell Signaling Laboratory, Danvers, MA).

**Determination of Podocyte Apoptosis/Necrosis**

Differentiated podocytes were exposed to medium with or without VEGF in concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 nm, and apoptosis was assessed by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated digoxigenin-deoxyuridine nick-end labeling staining (Promega, Madison, WI). Apoptotic cells with nuclei staining dark brown were counted by light microscopy by two independent investigators. Apoptosis was expressed as the ratio of apoptotic cells to the total number of cells in the field of view. FACS was used to quantify apoptotic and necrotic podocytes after annexin V–FITC and propidium iodide labeling (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA).

**VEGFR2 Inhibition**

Transgenic podocytes and in vitro HIV-1–infected podocytes were differentiated at 37°C for 7 d and then treated with either neutralizing antibody against VEGFR2/Flk1 (1 \(\mu\)g/ml; cat. no. MAB4431, rat IgG\(_{2a}\) class; R&D Systems) or an equivalent amount of a nonrelevant antibody (anti-flag mAb of mouse IgG\(_{1}\); cat. no. F3165; Sigma) or a rat IgG\(_{2a}\) isotype control.

The transgenic and HIV-infected podocytes were also split and plated into 24-well dishes at a density of 20,000 cells/well and exposed to medium with anti-VEGFR2/Flk1 (1 \(\mu\)g/ml) or anti-flag antibody. Cells were trypsinized and counted on day 3.

**Statistical Analysis**

All experiments were performed at least three times. In cell proliferation and real-time PCR results, data are expressed as means ± SEM. Statistically significant differences between the means were determined by unpaired \(t\) test where appropriate. Significance was defined as \(P \leq 0.05\).
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