SIX2 and BMP4 Mutations Associate With Anomalous Kidney Development
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ABSTRACT
Renal hypodysplasia (RHD) is characterized by reduced kidney size and/or maldevelopment of the renal tissue following abnormal organogenesis. Mutations in renal developmental genes have been identified in a subset of affected individuals. Here, we report the first mutations in BMP4 and SIX2 identified in patients with RHD. We detected 3 BMP4 mutations in 5 RHD patients, and 3 SIX2 mutations in 5 different RHD patients. Overexpression assays in zebrafish demonstrated that these mutations affect the function of Bmp4 and Six2 in vivo. Overexpression of zebrafish six2.1 and bmp4 resulted in dorsalization and ventralization, respectively, suggesting opposing roles in mesendoderm formation. When mutant constructs containing the identified human mutations were overexpressed instead, these effects were attenuated. Morpholino knockdown of bmp4 and six2.1 affected glomerulogenesis, suggesting specific roles for these genes in the formation of the pronephros. In summary, these studies implicate conserved roles for Six2 and Bmp4 in the development of the renal system. Defects in these proteins could affect kidney development at multiple stages, leading to the congenital anomalies observed in patients with RHD.


Renal hypodysplasia (RHD) comprises common developmental defects of the kidney accounting for more than 20% of pediatric end-stage renal disease.1 RHD is characterized by a reduction in nephron number, a small overall kidney size, and/or a maldevelopment of the renal tissue leading to hypoplastic or dysplastic kidneys with/or without cystic changes.2 So far, little is known about its molecular pathogenesis. Numerous transgenic animal models for renal developmental genes present with phenotypes highly reminiscent of human RHD and a number of human gene mutations have
been associated with hereditary RHD, including PAX2, EYA1, and HNF-1β. However, mutations in these genes were only identified in a fraction of RHD patients.1

Urteric budding (UB) into the metanephric mesenchyme (MM) constitutes a crucial step during early kidney development and is regulated by the cooperative action of a complex gene network, alterations of which lead to impaired ureterorenal development and RHD.1 Recent data suggest that Six2 participates in this regulative network.4 Six2, homologous to the Drosophila homebox gene sine oculis, is a member of the vertebrate Six gene family that play important roles in early organogenesis.5 Six1, Six2, and Six5 are all expressed in the developing kidney,6 and human mutations in SIX1 and SIX5 have been identified in patients with EYA1-negative Branchio-oto-renal (BOR) syndrome that is characterized by RHD, cervical fistulae, and ear anomalies.7,8 The role of Six2 for kidney development is further supported by the phenotype of the Six2 knockout mouse that presents with severe dysplasia of the kidneys comparable to human RHD.4 Microarray studies indicated that murine Six2 expression in the MM is highly upregulated at E12.5,9 the stage of UB, together with Gdnf and members of the Hox families Hoxa 11 and Hoxd 11, which are crucial for normal kidney development. Gdnf is the critical paracrine signal released by the MM to activate UB via activating the c-Ret receptor tyrosine kinase,10 and Six2 is the critical paracrine signal released by the MM to activate UB together with low degree of penetrance (Table 1). No de novo mutations were identified in 300 control chromosomes. All SIX2 mutations locate to highly conserved amino acid residues and affect the six domain (SD) (Leu43Phe, Pro241Leu, Asp276Asn; Table 1; Figure 1). All 3 mutations were heterozygous in patient 8; Asn150Lys, heterozygous in patient 9 and homozygous in patient 10; Table 1; Figure 1). All 3 mutations locate to the prodomain of Bmp4 and were not identified in 300 control chromosomes (Figure 2). Results of renal ultrasound for patients 6 to 10 are listed in Table 1. BMP4 analysis of

RESULTS

Human Mutations Identified in SIX2 and BMP4
We identified 3 different heterozygous SIX2 missense mutations in 5 unrelated RHD patients (Leu43Phe, Pro241Leu, Asp276Asn; Table 1; Figure 1) None of the mutations was identified in 300 control chromosomes. All SIX2 mutations located to highly conserved amino acid residues and affect the six domain (SD) (Leu43Phe, patient 1) or the SIX2-specific C-terminal domain (CD) (Pro241Leu, patients 2 to 4; Asp276Asn, patient 5; Figure 2). Statistical analysis predicts the loss of a SH3 binding site in Six2 by the Pro241Leu exchange.

Renal phenotypes of patients 1 to 5 are listed in Table 1. Analysis of family members displayed parental heterozygous mutation carriers with unsuspicious clinical course and normal renal ultrasound (father of P2, mother of P3), indicating a low degree of penetrance (Table 1). No de novo mutations were identified in the families examined for SIX2.

Mutational analysis of the BMP4 gene revealed 3 different missense mutations in BMP4 in 5 unrelated RHD patients (Ser91Cys, heterozygous in patients 6 and 7; Thr116Ser, heterozygous in patient 8; Asn150Lys, heterozygous in patient 9 and homozygous in patient 10; Table 1; Figure 1). All 3 mutations locate to the prodomain of Bmp4 and were not identified in 300 control chromosomes (Figure 2). Results of renal ultrasound for patients 6 to 10 are listed in Table 1. BMP4 analysis of

Table 1. Genotype and phenotype of RHD affected individuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index Patient</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>SIX2 Mutation (nucleotide)</th>
<th>SIX2 Mutation (amino acid)</th>
<th>Kidney Ultrasound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 Poland</td>
<td>402 C-&gt;T (pnt)</td>
<td>Leu43Phe (het)</td>
<td>DYS(r)/VUR(r)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2 Poland</td>
<td>997 C-&gt;T (F)</td>
<td>Pro241Leu (het)</td>
<td>CYS-DYS(r,l)/VUR(r,l)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 Germany</td>
<td>997 C-&gt;T (M)</td>
<td>Pro241Leu (het)</td>
<td>CYS-DYS(r,l)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4 Italy</td>
<td>997 C-&gt;T (pnt)</td>
<td>Pro241Leu (het)</td>
<td>HYPO(r)/VUR(r)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5 Portugal</td>
<td>1100–1101 GG-&gt;AA (pnt)</td>
<td>Asp276Asn (het)</td>
<td>CYS-DYS(r,l)/HYPO(r)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6 Poland</td>
<td>272 C-&gt;G (pnt)</td>
<td>Ser91Cys (het)</td>
<td>AGEN(r)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 Germany</td>
<td>272 C-&gt;G (F)</td>
<td>Ser91Cys (het)</td>
<td>DYS(r)/VUR(r)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8 Turkey</td>
<td>347 C-&gt;G (de novo)</td>
<td>de novo Thr116Ser (het)</td>
<td>HYPO(r)/VUR(l)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9 Turkey</td>
<td>450 C-&gt;G (pnt)</td>
<td>Asn150Lys (het)</td>
<td>HYPO(r)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10 Turkey</td>
<td>450 C-&gt;G (F,M)</td>
<td>Asn150Lys (homo)</td>
<td>CYS-DYS(r,l)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMP4 mutation (nucleotide)</th>
<th>BMP4 mutation (amino acid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 Poland</td>
<td>272 C-&gt;G (pnt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 Germany</td>
<td>272 C-&gt;G (F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8 Turkey</td>
<td>347 C-&gt;G (de novo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9 Turkey</td>
<td>450 C-&gt;G (pnt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10 Turkey</td>
<td>450 C-&gt;G (F,M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aPatients, n = 250; controls, n = 150.
bTransmitted from father (F), mother (M), de novo, or parents not tested (pnt).

*Image Index* www.jasn.org
Figure 1. Human mutations identified in SIX2 and BMP4. SIX2 (A) and BMP4 (B) DNA sequencing results are shown for all index patients and healthy controls.
family members revealed a de novo mutation in patient 8 (paternity was proven by microsatellite testing as described), highly suggestive of being causative. As expected, the consanguinous parents of P10 were heterozygous for the Asn150Lys mutation; their renal ultrasound was normal. A normal renal ultrasound was also demonstrated in the transmitting father of P7. The observed high variability and low penetrance of human mutations in SIX2 and BMP4 is in accordance with the presumed polygenic inheritance of RHD.

SIX2 and BMP4 Are Expressed in Human Embryonic Kidney

Immunohistochemistry was performed to determine the expression patterns of BMP4 and SIX2 in human fetal kidneys. Both BMP4 and SIX2 showed significant expression in the developing human kidneys (Figure 3). At the earliest
Table 2. Effect of RHD mutations on protein activity in zebrafish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNA Injected</th>
<th>RNA Concentration (pg)</th>
<th>Phenotype(b,c)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wild-type (%)</td>
<td>Class I-III (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninjected</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zsix2.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zsix2.1 L43F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zsix2.1 Q241L</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zsix2.1 D273N</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|               | Wild-type (Class I)    | Class II         | Class III       | Class IV       |   |
| Uninjected    | NA                     | 100              | —               | —              | 132 |
| zbmp4         | 25                     | 30               | 48              | 17             | 500 |
|               | 50                     | 32               | 16              | 36             | 16  |
|               | 100                    | —                | 8               | 74             | 18  |
| zbmp4 S84C    | 25                     | 69               | 29              | —              | 126 |
|               | 50                     | 24               | 30              | 19             | 27  |
|               | 100                    | —                | —               | 67             | 33  |
| zbmp4 T109S   | 25                     | 69               | 21              | 8              | 109 |
|               | 50                     | 38               | 13              | 25             | 16  |
|               | 100                    | —                | —               | 29             | 7   |
| zbmp4 D144K   | 25                     | 96               | 4               | —              | 112 |
|               | 50                     | 54               | 19              | 12             | 26  |
|               | 100                    | 26               | 44              | 30             | 27  |

* Differences between zsix2.1 and mutated zsix2.1 injection results are statistically significant at both 50 pg and 100 pg using the \( \chi^2 \) test of independence.
* Differences between zbmp4 and mutated zbmp4 injection results are statistically significant at 25 pg only using the \( \chi^2 \) test of independence (see Supplemental Table 1).
* Dorsalized phenotypes resulting from zsix2.1 RNA injection were scored according to reference 52 as follows: class I-III, embryos at 24 hpf that lacked tail structures (class I and II) or had shortened twisted tails missing ventral structures (class III); class IV-V, embryos with a reduction of ventral cell types and expansion of notochord and anterior somites at 24 hpf (class IV) and bursting of embryos prior to 24 hpf due to somite expansion and constriction (class V).
* Ventralized phenotypes resulting from zbmp4 RNA injection were scored according to reference 53 as follows: class I, wild-type; class II, reduced head, expanded hematopoietic mesoderm; class III, loss of head and notochord; class IV, spindle-shaped embryo with no obvious dorsal/ventral polarity.

NA, not applicable.

Stage available (9 wk), Bmp4 was detected in occasional cells in the uninduced mesenchyme close to the branching tips of the ureteric bud (Figure 3, B), whereas a strong Six2 signal was detected in virtually all cells of the uninduced mesenchyme and its derivatives.

**Figure 4.** bmp4 and six2 overexpression phenotypes at 24 h. Lateral views of wild-type and RNA-injected zebrafish embryos at 24 h postfertilization. (A) Wild-type embryo (WT). (B-C) Embryos injected with 100 pg zsix2.1 RNA demonstrating class I to III (B) and class IV (C) dorsalized phenotypes. (D-F) Embryos injected with 100 pg of mutated zsix2.1 RNA: (D) zsix2 L43F, (E) zsix2 Q241L, (F) zsix2 D273N. (G-I) Embryos injected with 25 pg zbmp4 RNA demonstrating class II (G), class III (H), and class IV (I) ventralized phenotypes. (J-L) Embryos injected with 25 pg of mutated zbmp4 RNA: (J) zbmp4 S84C (K), zbmp4 T109S (L), and zbmp4 (D144K). See Table 2 for further description of ventralized and dorsalized classes.
Figure 5. Expression of bmp4 and six2.1. (A) Dorsal view of six2.1 RNA expression in a 10-somite stage embryo. Note the expression that extends posterior from the darker stained otic placode to the first somite (arrow). (B) Lateral view of the same embryo in (A). (C) Dorsal view of six2.1 RNA expression in a 14-somite stage embryo. Expression between the darker stained otic placode and the first somite is weaker than at 10 somites (arrow). (D) Lateral view of the same embryo in panel C. Anterior is to the left in panels A through D. Arrows denote the expression of six2.1 that extends to the first somite. Containing for α-tropomyosin is used to indicate somites. (E) Diagram adapted from Serluca et al. depicting expression domains of genes used in this study. Anterior somites are numbered from anterior (s1) to posterior (s6). In vivo, bmp4, and six2 expression overlaps with wnt1 and pax2.1 in the intermediate mesoderm; however, these domains are depicted laterally in this diagram for clarity.

Neither factor was observed in the epithelia of the ureteric bud, and control sections were completely negative. Similar findings were observed in the renal cortex at 12 wk of gestation (Figure 3, D through F), and sections including the medulla also demonstrated prominent immunoreactivity for both proteins in large proximal ureteric branches (Figure 3, G through J). The surrounding loose connective tissues were negative in these sections. Prominent Bmp4 expression was observed occasionally in samples from later gestation, whereas Six2 was still considerably expressed in the mesenchyme of the outer nephrogenic cortex (data not shown). Thus, Bmp4 and Six2 are expressed in the developing human kidney and have the potential to affect renal development in children.

Human SIX2 and BMP4 Mutations Disrupt Protein Function

To test for a correlation between human mutations identified in RHD and defects in protein function, we used an RNA injection assay in zebrafish. Injection of six2.1 RNA into 1-cell zebrafish embryos resulted in dorsalization in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting a role for six2 in dorsal mesendoderm patterning (Table 2; Figure 4). This finding is consistent with recently published data implicating D-six4 in embryonic mesodermal patterning in Drosophila. Overexpression of bmp4 resulted in ventralization, which confirms a role of bmp4 in ventral mesendoderm formation (Table 2; Figure 4).13,14

To assay the function of mutated Six2.1 and Bmp4, the human mutations were introduced into cDNA constructs of bmp4 and six2.1 by site-directed mutagenesis. RNA transcribed from these constructs was injected into wild-type embryos. At doses where almost 95% of embryos showed dorsalization due to wild-type six2.1 injection, all 3 mutant forms of six2.1 displayed reduced activity (Table 2; Figure 4). Q241L and D273N (corresponding to human Pro241Leu and Asp276Asn) had the strongest effect on dorsalization. The effect of L43F was less dramatic, suggesting that L43F retains some residual function. Likewise, the BMP4 mutations affected the ventralizing activity

Table 3. Effect of Six2.1 and Bmp4 morpholino injections on dorsal/ventral polarity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morpholino Injected</th>
<th>Concentration (ng)</th>
<th>Wild-type (class I) (%)</th>
<th>Class II (%)</th>
<th>Class III (%)</th>
<th>Class IV (%)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uninjected</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six2.1 (ATG)c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southpaw (ATG)d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninjected</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bmp4 (ATG)c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southpaw (ATG)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aDorsalized phenotypes resulting from Bmp4 MO injection were scored according to reference S2 as follows: class I-III, embryos at 24 hpf that lacked tail structures (class I and II) or had shortened twisted tails missing ventral structures (class III); class IV-V, embryos with a reduction of ventral cell types and expansion of notochord and anterior somites at 24 hpf (class IV) and bursting of embryos prior to 24 hpf due to somite expansion and constriction (class V).
bDorsalized phenotypes resulting from Six2.1 MO injection were scored according to reference S3 as follows: class I, wild-type; class II, reduced head, expanded hematopoietic mesoderm; class III, loss of head and notochord; class IV, spindle-shaped embryo with no obvious dorsal/ventral polarity.
cTwo different morpholinos for six2.1 and bmp4 were injected. Because phenotypes were equivalent for both morpholinos, the data are combined.
dThe southpaw morpholino gives completely penetrant phenotypes in the lateral plate mesoderm at 250 pg. Concentrations ranging from 250 pg to 2 ng have never produced dorsalized or ventralized phenotypes (this table and RDB data not shown).
of our constructs in a dose-dependent manner. At high doses, all 4 constructs produced a high level of ventralization in most embryos. However, at lower concentrations where wild-type bmpp4 still produced significant ventralization, this ability was limited in our mutated constructs (Table 2; Figure 4). D144K (corresponding to human Asp150Lys) had the strongest effect on ventralization, whereas S84C and T109S (human Ser91Cys and Thr116Ser, respectively) had more moderate effects. Taken together, these results suggest that human mutations identified in BMP4 and SIX2 in human RHD patients impact normal protein function.

Expression of Zebrafish six2 and bmpp4

Sequence analysis of cloned full-length zebrafish Six2.1 determined that Six2.1 shares 79% and 78% identity and 82% and 83% similarity to human and mouse Six2, respectively (Figure 2A). In zebrafish, zygotic transcription of six2.1 begins at the 6-somite stage in the presumptive otic placode. During somitogenesis, the ectodermal expression domain extends posteriorly to the anterior border of the first somite (Figure 5). This expression is strongest from 6 to 10 somites, and fades in intensity from 12 somites on. six2.1 expression in this area is adjacent to the area where wilms tumor 1 (wt1) expression is observed in the intermediate mesoderm. At 24, 36, and 48 h after fertilization, strong six2.1 expression is seen in the developing eye and ear consistent with expression patterns in Drosophila and mouse (data not shown).

The expression pattern of bmpp4 in zebrafish was reported previously.18–20 Zebrafish Bmpp4 shares 69%, 69%, and 68% identity and 80%, 80%, and 81% similarity to human, mouse, and frog Bmp4, respectively (Figure 2B). During somitogenesis, bmpp4 expression can be detected in the developing pronephros from the 5th somite down overlapping with areas in the intermediate mesoderm that express pax2.1 (data not shown).20

MO Knockdown of Six2 and Bmpp4 Affects Pronephric Development

Six2.1 and bmpp4 are expressed in areas that could potentially affect the developing pronephric mesoderm in zebrafish. To determine if loss of Bmpp4 and Six2 affect pronephric development, we used MO antisense oligos to knock down these proteins in zebrafish embryos. Injection of high concentrations of Bmpp4 MO led to dorsalization of the embryos, consistent with the expression of bmpp4 in the ventral mesendodermal region in young embryos and the ventralization caused by overexpression of bmpp4 RNA. By contrast, injection of high concentrations of Six2.1 MO resulted in ventralization of embryos. This is in accordance with the dorsalization effect we observe when six2.1 is overexpressed and further implicates six2.1 in dorsal mesendoderm patterning (Table 3). However, embryos injected with low doses of the Six2.1 and Bmpp4 MOs developed normally and appeared unaffected morphologically at later stages (Table 3; Figure 6).

Expression Analysis of wt1 and pax2

To determine whether pronephric development was disrupted by low doses of Bmpp4 or Six2.1 MO, we analyzed the expression of the transcription factors wt1 and pax2.1 during early somitogenesis. Cells expressing wt1 alone contribute to the glomerulus, cells expressing wt1 and pax2.1 to the pronephric tubules, and cells expressing only pax2.1 to the pronephric tubules and duct.21 While the knockdown of Six2.1 and Bmpp4 had opposing effects on mesendoderm formation, knockdown of either gene had a similar effect on pronephric development (Figure 6; Table 4). At 6 to 8 somites, MO injections resulted in anterior and posterior expansion of the wt1 expression domain, as compared with uninjected embryos. By 10 somites, anterior expansion of wt1 expression past the first somite continued in all embryos, whereas the posterior limit of wt1 expression was variable. The expression of wt1 was expanded posteriorly in 30% to 50% of embryos and was truncated anteriorly in 20%. The effect on wt1 expression...
was specific as MO injection had no effect on pax2.1 expression (Table 4; Figure 6). A control MO (southpaw) also had no effect on wt1 expression. Because knockdown of Six2.1 and Bmp4 specifically affected wt1 expression, we examined the effect on glomerular development at 24 and 48 h postfertilization (hpf) (Figure 6; Table 4). At 24 hpf, wt1 was expressed in bilateral circles representing the unfused glomerular precursors in wild-type embryos. By 48 hpf, these precursors fuse at the midline into a single glomerulus. In both Bmp4 and Six2.1 MO-injected embryos, wt1 expression was found in stripes as well as circles at 24 hpf. At 48 hpf, the glomerular precursors either failed to fuse or formed a large diffuse and unorganized aggregate (Figure 6; Table 4). The effect on glomerular tissue is not the result of global delay of the embryos as other tissues, including the heart and visceral organs, were not affected (data not shown). In addition, these defects were not observed with a control MO (southpaw).

To examine the consequence of expanded wt1 expression more closely, we sectioned morphant embryos (Figure 7). Wild-type embryos had a compact glomerulus ventral to the notochord with well-organized cell rows. Morphant embryos, however, showed disorganized wt1-expressing cells, which were found far anterior to the normal location (Figure 7, D and E). The morphants also displayed wt1 expressing cells that failed to fuse in the midline and to form normally organized rows (Figure 7, H and I). Thus, the knockdown of Bmp4 and Six2.1 affects both the extent of wt1 expression in the intermediate mesoderm and thus affects the morphologic development of the glomerulus at later stages.

### DISCUSSION

#### Mutational Analysis

In the present study, we provide evidence that anomalies in SIX2 and BMP4 are associated with defects of early kidney development.
organogenesis, reporting on the first human mutations identified in these genes so far.

The Six/Dach/Eya network plays a key role in different steps of human renal development. Members of the Six family interact with members of the Eya family in a tissue-specific fashion. The integrity of the Six-Eya complex is essential for normal renal development as evidenced by the BOR syndrome, which is the result of haploinsufficiency of EYA1, SIX1 or SIX5, respectively. Because of the strong overlap of SIX1 and SIX2 expression patterns during early steps of nephrogenesis, SIX2 also seemed likely to be involved in the development of the kidney, and it was demonstrated that the murine knockout of SIX2 is associated with severe dysplasia of the kidneys. Two SIX2 binding sites were identified within the promoter of the Gdnf gene, and it was demonstrated that SIX2 strongly activates the expression of Gdnf in vitro. In the present study, mutations in SIX2 were identified in 5 unrelated subjects, one mutation affecting a conserved amino acid residue of the Eya-binding SD and two mutations locating to the SIX2-specific CD. Interestingly, the Pro241Leu exchange identified in 3 different unrelated patients is predicted to destroy a SH3 binding site in SIX2 that might be involved in protein-protein-complex formation. In contrast to the patients affected by SIX1 mutations who also present with otic defects, the patients affected by SIX2 mutations did not exhibit any extrarenal symptoms. This is possibly explained by functional redundancy due to the otic expression of other members of the Six family, e.g., SIX4.

First genetic analyses of human BMP4 were performed by Nakano et al. in 7 congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract patients, but no mutations were identified. In the present study of 250 patients, 3 human BMP4 mutations (Ser91Cys, Thr116Ser, and Asn150Lys) are described for the first time in 5 patients with congenital anomalies of the kidney. All mutations affect highly conserved residues of the Bmp4 prodomain. Bmp4 is synthesized as a propeptide from which a prodomain is cleaved, which serves to stabilize the mature Bmp4 protein. In vitro studies demonstrated that mutations that affect prodomain cleavage target Bmp4 for degradation. While the mutations identified in the present study do not directly affect the cleavage sites, they likely affect the conformation of the prodomain, potentially interfering with prodomain cleavage and/or stabilization of the mature protein. Mutations in other members of the TGF-β family also affecting the prodomain support the relevance of this domain for intact mature protein function. For example, a dominant missense mutation affecting the prodomain of Bmp15 causes autosomal dominant ovarian dysgenesis, and TGFβ1 mutations that are localized in the prodomain of TGF-β1 lead to autosomal dominant diaphyseal dysplasia (Camurati-Engelmann disease).

The patients affected by gene mutations in either SIX2 or BMP4 showed a broad spectrum of severe kidney malformations, including hypoplastic, dysplastic, or cystic dysplastic kidneys (unilateral or bilateral, with or without VUR). This observed variation in the phenotypic presentation is in accordance with the budding theory, assuming that disturbed UB during nephrogenesis is associated with the development of kidneys that are too small and/or dysplastic and may have refluxive or obstructive ureters. As both SIX2 and Bmp4 participate in the reciprocal interactions of UB, their dysfunction seems a convincing explanation for establishing a predisposition in affected mutation carriers to develop RHD.

These results are further supported by the confirmed expression of Bmp4 and SIX2 in human renal tissue. In human fetal kidneys, Bmp4 expression was notably observed in the large proximal ureteric branches of the medulla and in a subset of cells in the

Figure 7. Histologic analysis of bmp4 and six2.1 morpholinos on pronephric development. Sections from wt1 expressing embryos at 48 hpf. (A) Adobe Photoshop photocopy of section shown in (B and C) to delineate pronephric structures: glomerulus (blue), lateral tubules (green), and cross section of tubules (red). B, D, F, and H sections show wt1 expression in blue. The same sections were processed with hematoxylin and eosin in C, E, G, and I. (B and C) Wild-type embryo; (D and E) This section is approximately 16 μM anterior to the normal position of the glomerulus in wild-type embryos because of the expansion of the wild-type embryos. (F and G) More posterior section with disorganized wt1 expression in the glomerular and tubular regions. Expression of wt1 extends laterally into the tubules to a point approximately halfway across the somites. Note the organized rows of cells in panel B. (D-I) Three sections from a bmp4 morphant embryo with unfused and diffuse wt1 expression. (D and E) This section is approximately 16 μM anterior to the normal position of the glomerulus in wild-type embryos because of the expansion of wt1 expression. Note the expanded lateral expression of wt1 to a point at the outer edge of the somites. (F and G) More posterior section with disorganized wt1 expression in the glomerular and tubular regions. Expression of wt1 extends laterally into the tubules to a point approximately halfway across the somites. Note that organized rows of cells are absent in this embryo in all 3 sections. The wt1 expression in this embryo also extended further posteriorly than observed in wild-type embryos (data not shown).
uninduced mesenchyme of the nephrogenic cortex close to the branching tips of the ureteric bud, as could have been expected. The expression of Bmp4 appeared different to the pattern observed in mice,12,30 as no expression was observed in Bowman’s capsules or proximal tubules at these early stages where its expression was reported by Dudley et al.30 Therefore expression may change during human gestation or as Bmp4 is a secreted molecule, some of these differences might be explained by the fact that Dudley and Robertson examined the mRNA expression of Bmp4 rather than the expression of the protein. For Six2, the protein distribution was very similar in humans to the reported mRNA location in murine kidneys.4,31 Its major site of expression was in the uninduced mesenchyme in the nephrogenic cortex, with a reduced expression in the induced mesenchyme and its derivatives. We also noted Six2 in large proximal ureteric branches in the medulla, where its expression overlapped with Bmp4. The expression pattern of both proteins is therefore consistent with important functions in early nephrogenesis, not only in mice which has formerly been suggested by respective knockout mouse models but also in humans supporting a role for Bmp4 and Six2 in congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract pathogenesis in children.

**Overexpression Assay**

The zebrafish has become an increasingly important model system to understand the molecular genetic basis of vertebrate organogenesis, including the development of the kidneys. Nephrogenesis in the zebrafish has a remarkable degree of similarity of organ cell types compared with higher vertebrates and numerous gene mutants have a correlate in human renal disease.32–35 We demonstrate that the mutations identified in RHD patients reduce or eliminate the function of Six2 and Bmp4 in a zebrafish overexpression assay. A similar approach has been used to confirm that human mutations in CFC1 and TDGF1 result in loss of protein function.36,37 Human mutations introduced into six2.1 and bmp4 strongly affect the ability of injected RNA to dorsalize or ventralize zebrafish embryos, respectively. At physiologic levels in an embryo, these mutations might have profound effects at multiple steps in kidney development, cumulatively leading to the defects observed in RHD patients.

**MO Knockdown**

While naturally occurringbmp4 and six2.1mutations in zebrafish have yet to be described, targeting of antisense MOs38 to prevent RNA translation of these gene products is an effective approach to knockdown gene function. We provide evidence that knockdown of Bmp4 and Six2.1 in zebrafish affects the pronephric expression of wt1 and subsequently glomerular morphogenesis. bmp4 is expressed in the developing pronephric mesoderm in zebrafish,20 and overexpression of bmp4 has been shown to expand the expression of pax2.1 in this tissue.39 Our results show that knockdown of bmp4 leads to an expansion of wt1 expression into the domain that normally expresses pax2.1 consistent with a role for bmp4 in maintaining the boundary between the wt1 and pax2.1 expression domains. six2.1 is expressed in tissue adjacent to the anterior boundary of wt1 expression and our results suggest that it acts in a nonautonomous fashion to limit the wt1 expression pronephric mesoderm. It is interesting to note that in zebrafish we see expression of these genes surrounding the area that will become the glomerulus, but not within the glomerulus itself. In human tissue, we also noted higher expression in uninduced mesenchyme. Thus, we speculate that these genes may act to define a progenitor area or keep mesenchyme in an undifferentiated state.

**Bmp4 and Six2 in Zebrafish and Human Kidney Development**

Renal hypodysplasia of the human kidney is characterized by maldevelopment and disturbed mesenchyme differentiation; therefore, both zebrafish and human kidneys in a Bmp4 or Six2 mutant state show defects in general structural formation and in the final differentiation steps. The zebrafish phenotype observed in MO-injected embryos includes malformations where the nephron does not form correctly and the morphology of the kidney is considerably altered. Our results suggest that Bmp4 and Six2 can affect renal development from the earliest pronephric formation (zebrafish data) to the final metanephrin kidney in mammals (human data).

Intriguingly, the effect on the pronephros is identical for the knockdown of either bmp4 or six2.1, while the evidence suggests these genes act in opposing fashions on early mesendoderm patterning. It remains unclear how six2.1 and bmp4 act in patterning the pronephros, but recent papers hint at links between six genes and bmp regulation:bmp4 expression is downregulated in otic vesicles in dog-eared (eya1) mutant zebrafish embryos,40 and six3 has been shown to repress bmp4 transcription directly in Xenopus and zebrafish during anterior neural plate specification.41 It is worth noting that while bmp4 and six2.1 are expressed at the right place and time to affect pronephric development during somite stages, knockdown of bmp4 and six2.1 could also be affecting pronephric development by altering global mesoderm patterning in the early embryo leading to an expansion of the intermediate mesoderm region that will express wt1. However, the MO-injected embryos we analyzed did not show global mesoderm patterning defects nor alterations of pax2.1 in the intermediate mesoderm (Figure 6; data not shown), suggesting the observed effects were due to later functions of bmp4 and six2.1 in pronephric development.

The fact that both genes are expressed at multiple developmental stages indicates that mutations in these genes might also affect human kidney development at multiple steps from mesoderm patterning through metanephric induction. Thus, cumulative effects of mutations at each step are likely to contribute to the variable phenotype observed in the affected patients. This is in agreement with the assumption that the pathogenesis of RHD might be related to multifactorial and/or polygenic actions involving Six2 and Bmp4 as 2 players in a complex system and constitutes a stringent explanation of the observed incomplete penetrance in parental heterozygous mutation carriers. A lethal effect was ob-
served by introducing homozygous Six2 and Bmp4 gene knock-outs in mice\textsuperscript{4,12,42}; because isoforms of both genes have important functions also for the development of nonrenal tissues, we speculate that human SIX2 and BMP4 loss-of-function mutations may be associated with a phenotype much more severe than RHD. However, it seems likely that nonlethal mutations in key developmental genes are involved in an imbalancing of factors that regulate the budding of the ureter and the differentiation of the kidney, thereby predisposing to developmental fragility. Following this idea, the additive effect of sequence variants in individual developmental genes results in a latent predisposition to develop RHD, which realizes if several factors are superposed.

**CONCISE METHODS**

**Patients**

A total of 250 children with RHD phenotype and impaired renal function were selected for mutational analysis in SIX2 and BMP4. RHD was defined by the presence of small (\(<3\text{rd}\) percentile) and/or disorganized kidneys with/or without cysts on ultrasound. Patients with ureteral anomalies and/or isolated VUR but kidneys of normal sonographic size and structure, as well as patients with bladder or urethral abnormalities were excluded.

The study was approved by the ethical committees in all participating centers and informed assent and/or consent for genetic screening was obtained from the patients and/or parents as appropriate. A total of 150 race-matched individuals, unrelated to the patients, served as controls.

**Mutation Screening**

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes by standard methods. Overlapping sets of primers based on the sequence of the human SIX2 and BMP4 genes were used to amplify the coding sequences of the genomic DNA by PCR. Mutation screening was performed by denaturing HPLC (WAVE System) or single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis (Multiphor II, Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). When abnormal migration patterns were detected, direct sequencing on both strands was performed by applying the fluorometric method (ABI 3700 DNA sequencer, Applied Biosciences, Foster City, CA).

**Human Tissues**

Phenotypically normal human kidney samples were obtained from two sources: (1) chemically induced terminations of pregnancy between 9 to 12 wk of gestation \((n = 6)\), collected by the Wellcome Trust and Medical Research Council-funded Human Developmental Biology Resource at the UCL Institute of Child Health and (2) later miscarriages or terminations of pregnancy between 18 and 24 wk of gestation obtained from the Pathology Department of the University College London Medical School \((n = 4)\). Informed consent to analyze these samples was obtained from the mothers involved, and use was approved by the Joint University College London/University College Hospital Committee on the Ethics of Human Research.

**Immunohistochemistry on Human Kidney Tissue**

Human tissues were processed using standard techniques for paraffin wax-based sections, and then subjected to conventional immunohistochemistry, as described.\textsuperscript{43} The antibody against Bmp4 was a mouse monoclonal antibody (NCL-BMP4; Novocastra, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK) used in a 1:10 dilution, and for Six2 was a rabbit polyclonal antibody (PAI–17185; Affinity Bioreagents, Golden, CO) used in a 1:200 dilution. Primary antibodies were omitted in the negative controls.

**RNA In Situ Hybridization Studies in Zebrafish Embryos**

RNA in situ hybridization was performed using standard techniques\textsuperscript{44} with the following antisense probes: six2.1 (this paper), bmp4,\textsuperscript{20} wt1a,\textsuperscript{21,45} pax2.1,\textsuperscript{46} and \(\alpha\)-trypomysin.\textsuperscript{47} Embryos were mounted in modified GMM mounting media\textsuperscript{48} (100 ml Canada Balsam, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; + 10 ml methyl salicylate, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and photographed on a Leica DMRA scope equipped with a ProgRes C14 camera. Images were adjusted for brightness and contrast in Adobe Photoshop, version 7.0. For histologic analysis, embryos were embedded in JB4 resin and sectioned. wt1 expression was photographed followed by processing of sections with hematoxylin and eosin (detailed protocols available upon request).

**cDNA Cloning of Danio rerio six2.1**

Full-length sequence for zebrafish six2.1 was obtained by BLAST search of the TIGR database. The sequence from TIGR cluster TC293555 was used to design primers from a 24-h cDNA library. Primer sequences: six2.1 F1 GCCACCAGTTCTGC-CCCGCACT, six2.1R1 CTGGTCCCAGCGCCATCCCA, six2.1F2 CTGGTCCCAGCGCCATCCCA, six2.1R2 TGGGATGGCCTGGTGGC and six2.1R1 TGGGATGGCCTGGTGGC and six2.1R2 TGGGATGGCCTGGTGGC. Six2.1 was cloned into Bluescript II SK(−) (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) for probe production and into T7TS vector\textsuperscript{49} for messenger RNA production. To minimize potential differences in expression of our constructs, at least two preparations of RNA were generated for each construct and concentrations determined by spectrophotometry. Injections were performed with RNA from each preparation to control for batch to batch variability in RNA. RNA injections were performed at least three separate times to control for any variability in injections.

**Site-Directed Mutagenesis and Construct Design**

Mutations in six2.1 and bmp4 cDNAs were generated using QuikChange II Site Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). Capped mRNAs from wild-type and mutated constructs were transcribed using mMMessage mMMachine Transcription Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) for injection.

**Injections and MO Experiments in Zebrafish Embryos**

All experiments were performed following NIH guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. RNA and MO injections were performed as described.\textsuperscript{20} MOs were resuspended in dH\textsubscript{2}O at a concentration of 50 \(\mu\)g/\(\mu\)l and diluted into 5 mg/ml phenol red in 0.1 mM KCL solution for injection. We controlled for nonspecific effects of
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MOs by generating two different MOs for zebrafish six2.1 and bmp4. Since the phenotypes were indistinguishable for both MOs to southpaw, “Results.” As a control for nonspecific effects, we injected a MO to six2.1.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses of protein structures and amino acid composition, sequence alignments, and similarity searches were conducted using software and databases provided by Infobiogen (www.infobiogen.fr), ENSEMBL (www.ensembl.org), TIGR database (www.tigr.org), and the National Center for Biotechnical Information (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Statistical analysis prediction of protein SH3 binding sites was performed by Scansite (http://scansite.mit.edu). The significance of injection results was determined using the $\chi^2$ test of independence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the European Commission (5th Framework Programme,QLG1-CT-2002-00908), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (WE 2724/2 to 2), the Boehringer Ingelheim Foundation, the Baxter Extramural Grant Program, and the Kuratorium für Dialyse und Nierentransplantation. R.D.B. is the 44th Mallinckrodt Scholar and supported by the Edward Mallinckrodt Jr. Foundation grant award.

The authors thank the patients and their families for kindly participating in this study.

Appendix

ESCAPE trial participants: A. Anarat (Adana); A. Bakkaloglu, F. Ozalpin (Ankara); A. Peco-Antic (Belgrade); U. Querfeld, J. Dusek (Prague); A. Caldas-Afonso, A. Teixeira (Porto); S. Picca, C. Matteucci (Rome); M. Wigger (Rostock); M. Fischbach, J. Terzic (Strasbourg); J. Fydryk, T. Urasinski (Szczecin); R. Coppo, L. Peruzzi (Toriino); A. Jankauskiene (Vilnius); M. Litwin, M. Abuauba, R. Grenda (Warszawa); K. Arbeiter (Vienna); and T.J. Neuhau (Zurich).

DISCLOSURES

None.

REFERENCES


Supplemental material for this article is available online at http://www.jasn.org/.