TLR4 Signaling Mediates Inflammation and Tissue Injury in Nephrotoxicity
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ABSTRACT

The molecular mechanisms of acute kidney injury (AKI) remain unclear. Toll-like receptors (TLRs), widely expressed on leukocytes and kidney epithelial cells, regulate innate and adaptive immune responses. The present study examined the role of TLR signaling in cisplatin-induced AKI. Cisplatin-treated wild-type mice had significantly more renal dysfunction, histologic damage, and leukocytes infiltrating the kidney than similarly treated mice with a targeted deletion of TLR4 ([Tlr4](/H11002/H11002/H11002)). Levels of cytokines in serum, kidney, and urine were increased significantly in cisplatin-treated wild-type mice compared with saline-treated wild-type mice and cisplatin-treated [Tlr4](/H11002/H11002/H11002) mice. Activation of JNK and p38, which was associated with cisplatin-induced renal injury in wild-type mice, was significantly blunted in [Tlr4](/H11002/H11002/H11002) mice. Using bone marrow chimeric mice, it was determined that renal parenchymal TLR4, rather than myeloid TLR4, mediated the nephrotoxic effects of cisplatin. Therefore, activation of TLR4 on renal parenchymal cells may activate p38 MAPK pathways, leading to increased production of inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and subsequent kidney injury. Targeting the TLR4 signaling pathways may be a feasible therapeutic strategy to prevent cisplatin-induced AKI in humans.


A major toxicity of the cancer chemotherapeutic agent cisplatin is acute renal failure.1 In previous animal studies, we and others have demonstrated an important role for TNF-α in the pathogenesis of cisplatin-induced renal injury.2–6 Inhibition of TNF-α production or action markedly reduced the nephrotoxicity of cisplatin.2,3 Stimulation of TNF-α production in the kidney by cisplatin involved both a stabilization of TNF-α mRNA7 and a p38 MAPK-dependent increase in TNF-α mRNA translation,4 however, the upstream signals responsible for TNF-α production remain unclear.

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are a family of receptors positioned as a first line of innate defense by recognizing pathogen-associated molecular patterns as well as endogenous signals of tissue injury.5,9 TLRs are differentially expressed on leukocyte subsets and nonimmune cells and regulate important aspects of innate and adaptive immune responses. Upon stimulation, TLRs induce the expression of inflammatory cytokines or costimulatory molecules via MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent signaling pathways.9,10 Within the kidney, tubular epithelial cells express TLR1, TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR6, suggesting that these TLRs might contribute to the activation of immune responses in tubulointerstitial injury.11–13

The most extensively characterized Toll-like receptor, TLR4, is the receptor for the endotoxin of gram-negative bacteria.14,15 Previous studies by Cunningham et al.16 demonstrated that endotoxin-induced acute renal failure is completely dependent on TLR4 signaling. Likewise, we recently demon-
strated that cisplatin and endotoxin exert synergistic renal toxicity that is TLR4-dependent.17 In addition to bacterial endotoxin, TLR4 can also be activated by endogenous molecules or “danger signals” released during tissue injury.18,19 TLR4 activation, presumably by these danger signals, has been implicated in ischemic injury to both the liver and the heart.20,21 These observations raised the interesting possibility that TLR4 activation may be responsible for initiating cytokine production and renal dysfunction during cisplatin nephrotoxicity. To test this hypothesis, we examined the effects of cisplatin in wild-type and TLR4-deficient mice with particular attention to functional and histologic kidney damage, leukocyte infiltration, and cytokine production. We also created bone marrow chimeric mice to investigate the site at which TLR4 exerts its effects in this model of acute kidney injury. Our results establish that TLR4 expressed on renal parenchymal cells is an important mediator of cisplatin-induced cytokine production, inflammation, and renal injury.

RESULTS

TLR4 Contributes to Cisplatin Nephrotoxicity
To determine if TLR4 participates in the pathogenesis of cis-
platin nephrotoxicity, wild-type and Tlr4-deficient mice were treated with toxic doses of cisplatin. Renal function was monitored over the ensuing 72 h using blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine as indices of function. As shown in Figure 1, wild-type mice developed severe renal failure between 48 and 72 h after cisplatin injection. By comparison, Tlr4-deficient mice had significantly better renal function. The better preservation of renal function in the Tlr4-deficient mice was also reflected histologically. Thus, 72 h after cisplatin treatment, kidneys of wild-type mice showed severe tubular injury as evidenced by cast formation, loss of brush border membranes, sloughing of tubular epithelial cells, and dilation of tubules (Figure 1). These changes were minimal in kidneys from Tlr4-deficient mice. Semiquantitative assessment of histologic injury yielded tubular necrosis scores of 3.3 ± 0.3 in cisplatin-treated wild-type mice and 1.6 ± 0.2 in TLR4-deficient mice (P < 0.002, n = 6). These results indicate that TLR4 signaling contributes to both the structural and functional consequences of cisplatin-induced kidney injury. Deletion of Tlr4 also provided protection against renal dysfunction induced by 30 min of bilateral renal ischemia (Supplemental data).

TLR4 Mediates Leukocyte Infiltration and Cytokine Production in Cisplatin Nephrotoxicity

We have previously documented the infiltration of leukocytes into the kidneys during cisplatin toxicity. To determine if TLR4 signaling mediates this process, kidney sections from the cisplatin-treated wild-type and Tlr4-deficient mice were stained for neutrophils. As shown in Figure 2, the wild-type mice displayed severe tubular injury and a robust infiltration of neutrophils. In contrast, Tlr4-deficient mice had significantly fewer infiltrating neutrophils, similar to levels seen in kidneys from saline treated mice. These results suggest that one of the
mechanisms whereby TLR4 may induce renal injury is through promotion of an inflammatory response involving leukocytes.

The expression of a number of chemokines and cytokines is increased in kidneys of cisplatin-treated mice and contribute to the renal injury.\(^2,3,22\) Because TLR4 activation leads to the production of pro-inflammatory chemokines and cytokines, including TNF-\(\alpha\), we sought to determine whether TLR4 mediates cisplatin-induced chemokine and cytokine production. Gene expression in kidney tissue was analyzed by real-time reverse-transcribed polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Figure 3). The results indicate that, as reported previously,\(^2,3,22\) a number of cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules are upregulated in the kidney after cisplatin administration. Importantly, the upregulation of many of these genes, such as ICAM-1, TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-10, IL-18, IP-10, KC, and MCP-1, was TLR4-dependent. The expression of iNOS was increased in a TLR4-dependent manner, whereas IFN-\(\alpha\) and IFN-\(\beta\) were not upregulated in response to cisplatin.

We also used a bead-based multiplex immunoassay to measure the levels of 9 chemokines and cytokines in serum, kidney, and urine of wild-type and Tlr4-deficient mice treated with saline or cisplatin. Consistent with our prior findings,\(^2,3,22\) serum TNF-\(\alpha\) concentrations were increased by cisplatin. However, TNF-\(\alpha\) levels in the cisplatin-treated Tlr4-deficient mice were significantly lower. Likewise, serum levels of IL-6, IL-10, and IL-1\(\beta\) were all lower in cisplatin-treated Tlr4-deficient mice compared with wild-type mice (Figure 4). Measurement of cytokine and chemokine levels in kidney homogenates (Figure 5) demonstrated significant increases in TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-6, MCP-1, KC, and IP-10 in cisplatin-treated wild-type mice. These changes were completely absent in Tlr4-deficient mice.

Measurement of chemokines and cytokines in the urine might provide a noninvasive view of the inflammatory milieu within the kidney. The levels of most of the measured chemokines and cytokines were undetectable in urine from saline treated mice. However, cisplatin caused a dramatic increase in the urine concentrations of several chemokines and cytokines, including TNF-\(\alpha\), IL-2, IL-6, MCP-1, IP-10, RANTES, and KC (Figure 6). Deletion of TLR4 completely abrogated these responses to cisplatin. Indeed, the urinary levels of cytokines closely reflected the levels measured in kidney homogenates. Taken together, these results indicate that TLR4 signaling is responsible for the production of several potent proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in response to cisplatin. The suppression of MCP-1, RANTES, and KC in the Tlr4-deficient mice may account for the decrease in infiltrating leukocytes noted above (Figure 2).

Cisplatin-mediated MAPK Activation is TLR4-dependent

JNK and p38 MAPK are downstream mediators of TLR4 signaling.\(^9\) Moreover, JNK and p38 MAPK activation have been associated with tissue injury in a variety of organs, including the kidney.\(^23-25\) We have shown that cisplatin stimulates both
JNK and p38 MAPK activity in renal tubular epithelial cells and that cisplatin-induced TNF-α production by renal epithelial cells is p38 MAPK-dependent. Therefore, we wished to determine whether the cisplatin-induced activation of JNK and p38 MAPK in the kidney is mediated through TLR4. Immunokinase and Western blot analysis was performed on kidneys from wild-type and TLR4-deficient mice. As shown in Figure 7, cisplatin activated p38 MAPK and JNK in wild-type mice. The activity of p38 MAPK was modestly lower, and p-JNK dramatically lower, in kidneys from TLR4-deficient mice. These results are consistent with the view that the majority of JNK activation and a portion of p38 MAPK activation in response to cisplatin result from TLR4 activation.

A number of endogenous ligands for TLR4 have been reported. We measured the renal expression of several of these ligands and determined the effect of cisplatin on their expression (Figure S2). Cisplatin increased the expression of gp96, an ER chaperone that also activates TLR4. The increase in gp96 was not seen in cisplatin-treated Tlr4-/- mice. Cisplatin had no effect on the expression of several other TLR4 ligands, such as HSP60, HSP70, HMGB1, and β-defensin 2.

Role of Renal and Myeloid TLR4 in Cisplatin Nephrotoxicity

TLR4 is expressed on a variety of cells, including circulating and resident immune cells and renal epithelial cells. Activation of TLR4 at any of these sites could lead to renal injury. To define the location of the TLR4 that mediates cisplatin nephrotoxicity, chimeric mice were created in which either myeloid cells or kidney parenchymal cells lacked TLR4 receptors. These

Figure 6. Effects of cisplatin on urine cytokine excretion. Urine samples were obtained from C57BL/6 or Tlr4(-/-) mice 72 h after saline or cisplatin. Cytokine levels were normalized to the creatinine concentration in the urine. *P < 0.05 C57BL/6 versus Tlr4(-/-); n = 3 to 5. UD, undetectable.

Figure 7. Effects of cisplatin treatment on p38 MAPK activity and JNK phosphorylation. Kidneys were removed from C57BL/6 or Tlr4(-/-) mice 72 h after injection with either saline or cisplatin and homogenized. p38 MAPK activity was determined by immunoprecipitation and phosphorylation of ATF-2, and JNK phosphorylation was determined by Western blot analysis. Densitometry of results from two independent experiments is shown below the blots. *P < 0.05 versus C57BL/6.
mice were then treated with cisplatin, and renal function was assessed. As shown in Figure 8, WT→WT chimeras developed acute renal failure after cisplatin injection, whereas KO→KO chimeras had relatively little renal dysfunction. These results are similar to what was observed in wild-type and Tlr4-deficient mice (Figure 1), indicating that the process of producing chimeric mice did not alter the susceptibility to cisplatin or the dependence upon TLR4. Of note, the KO→WT chimeras (i.e., mice lacking myeloid TLR4) developed renal failure. In contrast, WT→KO chimeras, which lacked renal parenchymal TLR4, were resistant to cisplatin nephrotoxicity. Similarly, cisplatin produced a marked increase in neutrophil content in kidneys of WT→WT and KO→WT mice but not in WT→KO or KO→KO mice (Figure 8B). Finally, an assessment of histologic injury (Figure 8C) paralleled the functional data. That is, WT→WT and KO→WT mice had significantly greater injury scores (3.6 ± 0.1 and 3.2 ± 0.3) than either WT→KO or

Figure 8. Cisplatin induced kidney dysfunction and renal neutrophil infiltration in chimeric mice. (A) Bone marrow chimeric mice were injected with 20 mg/kg cisplatin. Blood urea nitrogen (solid bars) and creatinine (open bars) were measured at 72 h after injection. *P < 0.05 versus WT-WT; n = 3 to 5. (B) Sections of kidney harvested 72 h after injection were stained for neutrophils as described in “Concise Methods.” Thirty ×40 fields of kidney cortex were examined from each animal. The total number of leukocytes in those 30 fields is presented. *P < 0.05 versus WT-WT; n = 3 to 5. (C) Tubular injury was assessed in PAS-stained sections using a semiquantitative scale as described in “Concise Methods.” *P < 0.05 versus WT-WT; n = 3 to 5.

Figure 9. Immunofluorescence localization of TLR4 after injection of saline or cisplatin. Representative kidney sections obtained from C57BL/6 (A-D) or Tlr4(−/−) (E and F) mice 48 h after injection. Green fluorescence represents FITC-phalloidin staining of the brush border. Red fluorescence represents TLR4 immunostaining. Blue represents DAPI staining of nuclei. (A) Saline-treated C57BL/6; TLR4 staining is seen in the interstitium and brush borders of proximal tubules (original magnification ×40). (B) A single tubule at higher magnification (original magnification ×100). (C) Cisplatin-treated C57BL/6 (original magnification ×40) shows enhanced brush border staining with clear colocalization of TLR4 and phalloidin. (D) Intracellular TLR4 staining (arrowheads) is also apparent at higher magnification (original magnification ×100). (E-F) Saline-treated Tlr4(−/−) kidneys as a negative control for TLR4 immunostaining.
KO→KO mice (1.5 ± 0.3 and 2.2 ± 0.4, respectively, P < 0.01). These results suggest that renal parenchymal TLR4 receptors are critical for mediating the renal toxicity of cisplatin.

TLR4 localization in the kidney was examined by confocal microscopy (Figure 9). In control kidneys, TLR4 was localized mainly to the brush border of proximal tubules, as indicated by phalloidin colocalization. Some interstitial staining was also present, perhaps representing dendritic cells. After treatment with cisplatin, the intensity of tubular TLR4 staining appeared to increase, and the localization changed such that, in addition to the brush border, TLR4 was also present in the cytoplasm of scattered proximal tubule cells. TLR4 immunostaining was absent in kidneys from TLR4-deficient mice, demonstrating the specificity of the staining for TLR4. By RT-PCR, TLR4 expression increased approximately 10-fold after cisplatin injection (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Work over the past decade has established an important role for inflammation in the pathogenesis of both ischemic and toxic acute kidney injury.27–29 Thus, it is known that, in response to ischemic or toxic insults, the kidney upregulates the expression of a number of cytokines and chemokines,2,30 that adhesion molecules are upregulated,31–33 and that a variety of leukocyte populations, including neutrophils,34,35 T cells,36–38 macrophages,35 and dendritic cells,39 are either increased or activated. Moreover, blockade or deletion of certain chemokines or cytokines,2,40,41 adhesion molecules,31,32 and leukocyte populations37 ameliorates experimental acute renal failure. However, the steps that initiate the inflammatory response within the kidney remain unknown. In this regard, the current results provide important insights regarding the vital role of innate immune activation in the initiation of an inflammatory response and subsequent organ dysfunction in acute renal failure.

First, the results show that an intact TLR4 signaling pathway is necessary for the full expression of cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity. Cenedeze et al.42 recently also reported resistance to cisplatin nephrotoxicity in mice having a point mutation in the TLR4 receptor. In addition, our findings (Supplemental data) and a recent report that appeared while this manuscript was under review43 also demonstrate a similar role for TLR4 signaling in the pathogenesis of ischemic acute renal failure. In contrast, the role of TLR4 in infection-related acute renal failure has not been firmly established. Although endotoxin-induced acute renal failure was shown to be completely dependent upon extrarenal TLR4,46 acute renal failure in the cecal ligation and puncture model of polymicrobial sepsis was independent of TLR4 signaling.44

Second, it is clear from the present studies that TLR4 is pivotal in initiating the intrarenal inflammatory response that occurs in cisplatin nephrotoxicity. The inflammatory response to cisplatin administration is characterized by an influx of neutrophils and monocytes, upregulation of proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine expression, and increases in urinary cytokine and chemokine excretion.2,3,17,29 All of these changes were virtually completely abolished in the Tlr4-deficient mice. The pattern of gene expression in the kidney (i.e., increases in TNF-α, IL-6 and iNOS but not type I interferons)45,46 is consistent with TLR4 signaling through MyD88, as was recently reported for TLR4 signaling in ischemic renal injury.43 We previously showed that TNF-α production, acting via the TNFR2,3 contributes to cisplatin-induced cytokine and chemokine production and subsequent renal injury.2,3 The current results indicate that activation of TLR4 is a key upstream event leading to TNF-α production. Both p38 MAPK and JNK are increased in the kidneys of cisplatin-treated mice (Figure 7). Moreover, p38 MAPK activation contributes to TNF-α production and renal failure in response to cisplatin.4,47 The partial reduction in renal p38 MAPK activity in the Tlr4-deficient mice is consistent with a role for TLR4 in cisplatin-induced p38 MAPK activation.

In addition to TNF-α, we found that certain other proinflammatory chemokines and cytokines were reduced in the absence of TLR4. Several of these chemokines and cytokines (e.g., IL-6, IL-18, MCP-1, KC, and IP-10) have themselves been shown to contribute to either ischemic or toxic acute renal failure.2,41,46–51 Our observation (Figures 3 through 6) that TLR4 orchestrates the production of an array of potential mediators of renal injury makes it an attractive target for the prevention or treatment of acute renal injury.

Third, the present results are consistent with a model in which activation of TLR4 on renal parenchymal cells, such as tubular epithelial cells (Figure 8), elicits the production of TNF-α and chemokines, which leads to a subsequent inflammatory response. This result is also consistent with our recent demonstration that TNF-α production by renal parenchymal cells is key to cisplatin toxicity.52 Likewise, Wu et al.43 and Leemans et al.53 found that renal parenchymal TLR4 and TLR2, respectively, mediate renal ischemic injury. We also recognize that the measures of tissue injury in the KO→WT chimeric mice in this report (Figure 8) were somewhat lower than in WT→WT mice. Although these differences did not reach statistical significance, we cannot exclude a small role for myeloid TLR4 expression in the pathogenesis of cisplatin nephrotoxicity. There is some controversy regarding the sites of TLR4 expression. Zager et al.54 observed primarily proximal tubule TLR4 staining with some accentuation in the thick ascending limbs and proximal tubules of normal mice and only modest changes in expression levels after ischemic injury while Wolfs et al.13 found primarily
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distal tubule expression of TLR4 and marked upregulation after ischemic injury. We also observed an increase in renal TLR4 expression after cisplatin injury (Figure 3).

It is relevant to consider which ligand(s) might activate TLR4 during cisplatin nephrotoxicity. The localization of TLR4 to the brush border membrane suggests that the ligand is present in the tubular urine. However, the internalization of TLR4 after cisplatin could provide a mechanism for activation by intracellular ligands. We do not think that endotoxin, the traditional TLR4 ligand, is responsible for TLR4 activation in this setting. We performed our studies using barrier-raised mice and pyrogen-free solutions to reduce the likelihood of concomitant infection or introduction of exogenous endotoxin. We also measured endotoxin levels in the cisplatin solutions and in blood from mice treated with cisplatin and were unable to detect endotoxin in any of these samples (data not shown). Rather, a number of endogenous molecules act as danger signals to initiate an innate immune response via TLR receptors.19,57 Several of these putative ligands are expressed in the kidney (Figure S2). One of these, gp96, was increased after cisplatin administration. Likewise, Tamm-Horsfall protein, an abundant urinary protein, can activate TLR4.58 Further studies will be required to determine whether these, or other, ligands are responsible for TLR4 activation and subsequent renal injury during cisplatin toxicity.

In summary, the present results provide compelling evidence that activation of TLR4 present on renal parenchymal cells triggers an innate immune response that mediates cisplatin-induced acute renal failure. The identity of the ligand that activates TLR4 in this setting remains uncertain. However, because TLR4 antagonists are in clinical development,59,60 targeting this receptor may have value in preventing or treating cisplatin nephrotoxicity and other forms of acute renal failure.

**CONCISE METHODS**

**Animal Model**

Experiments were performed using 8- to 10-wk-old male C57BL/6 (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) and Tlr4(/−/−) mice weighing approximately 25 to 30 g. The Tlr4(/−/−) mice, on a C57BL/6 background, have been described previously.14 Acute renal failure was induced with cisplatin (20 mg/kg body weight) as described previously.2– 4,22 All animal protocols were approved by the IACUC of the Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine.

**Creation of Chimeric Mice**

Chimeric mice were created using C57BL/6 mice and Tlr4(/−/−) mice as either bone marrow donors or recipients as described previously.52 Four sets of chimeric mice were created: WT→WT; WT→KO; KO→WT; and KO→KO. Mice were maintained in specific pathogen-free conditions before and after the bone marrow transplantation.

**Renal Function**

Renal function was assessed by measurements of blood urea nitrogen (VITROS DT601I Chemistry slides, Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Rochester, NY) and serum creatinine (DZ072B, Diazyme Labs, Poway, CA).

**Histology and Immunohistochemistry**

Tubular injury was assessed in periodic acid-Schiff (PAS)-stained sections using a semiquantitative scale as described previously.2 Immuno-histochemistry for neutrophils was performed with a rat anti-murine neutrophil primary antibody (MCA 771 GA, Serotec, Raleigh, NC) followed by a biotinylated anti-rat IgG secondary antibody. Thirty X40 fields from each kidney were examined for quantitation of neutrophils.

TLR4 was localized by immunofluorescence of paraformalde-hyde-fixed frozen sections. Kidneys were perfusion-fixed in situ with 50 ml phosphate-buffered saline followed by 50 ml 4% paraformaldehyde and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight. The kidneys were then transferred to a 20% sucrose solution for 24 h before 15-μm cryosections were cut. A goat polyclonal TLR4 antibody (catalog no. sc-16240, Santa Cruz) was applied as the primary antibody. For improved detection of TLR4, we used an unconjugated secondary rabbit anti-goat antibody (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and a Cy3-conjugated tertiary donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson Immonuoresearch Labs, West Grove, PA) as described by El-Achkar et al.56 Brush borders were stained with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-phalloidin and nuclei were stained with 4,6 diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). The images were collected with a Leica TCS SP2 AOBs confocal microscope. Kidneys from Tlr4-deficient mice served as negative controls for the immunostaining.

**Quantitation of mRNA by Real-time RT-PCR**

Real-time RT-PCR was performed in an Applied Biosystems Inc. 7700 Sequence Detection System (Foster City, CA) as described previously.3,17,47 The primer sets used were: mouse ICAM-1 (forward: AGATCACATTTCACGGTTGC; reverse: CTTCAGAGGCGAGAAAAGG), IL-2 (forward: AACCTGAAGCTCCCAAGGT; reverse: TCATCGAAATTGGGAATCTCAAAA), TNF-α (forward: GATGATCCGGAGCGGCTTTGTG; reverse: ATGGGACGGCAGATCTCAA), IP-10 (forward: GATGATCCGGAGCGGCTTTGTG; reverse: ATGGGACGGCAGATCTCAA), IL-6 (forward: CTCCATGAGCTTTGTACAAGG; reverse: TGCTGATGGCAGATCTCAA), KC (forward: GATGCTACCAACTGGATATAATC; reverse: GTGGAGGTGGTTGTGGA), RANTES (forward: GATGCTACCAACTGGATATAATC; reverse: GTGGAGGTGGTTGTGGA), RANTES (forward: GATGCTACCAACTGGATATAATC; reverse: GTGGAGGTGGTTGTGGA), RANTES (forward: GATGCTACCAACTGGATATAATC; reverse: GTGGAGGTGGTTGTGGA), and iNOS (forward: CCCTATGGAGATGACGGAGA; reverse: AGATCCATGCGGTCCGGCAG). The primer sets used were: mouse ICAM-1 (forward: AGATCACATTTCACGGTTGC; reverse: CTTCAGAGGCGAGAAAAGG), IL-2 (forward: AACCTGAAGCTCCCAAGGT; reverse: TCATCGAAATTGGGAATCTCAAAA), TNF-α (forward: GATGATCCGGAGCGGCTTTGTG; reverse: ATGGGACGGCAGATCTCAA), IP-10 (forward: GATGCTACCAACTGGATATAATC; reverse: GTGGAGGTGGTTGTGGA), KC (forward: GATGCTACCAACTGGATATAATC; reverse: GTGGAGGTGGTTGTGGA), RANTES (forward: GATGCTACCAACTGGATATAATC; reverse: GTGGAGGTGGTTGTGGA), RANTES (forward: GATGCTACCAACTGGATATAATC; reverse: GTGGAGGTGGTTGTGGA), and iNOS (forward: CCCTATGGAGATGACGGAGA; reverse: AGATCCATGCGGTCCGGCAG).
The amount of DNA was normalized to the β-actin signal amplified in a separate reaction (forward: AGAGGAAAATCGTGCGTGGAC; reverse: CAATAGTGATGACCTGGGCGT).

Cytokine/Chemokine Quantitation
Urine, kidney, and serum cytokines and chemokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, IP-10, MCP-1, and RANTES) were measured using a bead-based multiplexed cytokine analysis kit (Linco Research, St. Charles, MO) using a Luminex-100 system (Luminex, Austin, TX).

Western Blot and p38 MAPK Assays
Western blot analysis using antibodies to JNK and phospho-JNK (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and p38 MAPK activity were performed as described previously.4

Statistical Methods
All assays were performed in duplicate. The data are reported as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed by unpaired, two-tailed t test for single comparisons or ANOVA for multiple comparisons.
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