Quantitative Proteomics Identifies Vasopressin-Responsive Nuclear Proteins in Collecting Duct Cells
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ABSTRACT
Vasopressin controls transport in the renal collecting duct, in part, by regulating transcription. This complex process, which can involve translocation and/or modification of transcriptional regulators, is not completely understood. Here, we applied a method for large-scale profiling of nuclear proteins to quantify vasopressin-induced changes in the nuclear proteome of cortical collecting duct (mpkCCD) cells. Using stable isotope labeling and tandem mass spectrometry, we quantified 3987 nuclear proteins and identified significant changes in the abundance of 65, including previously established targets of vasopressin signaling in the collecting duct. Vasopressin-induced changes in the abundance of the transcription factors JunB, Elf3, Gatad2b, and Hmbox1; transcriptional co-regulators Ctnnb1 (β-catenin) and Crebbp; subunits of the Mediator complex; E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4; nuclear transport regulator RanGap1; and several proteins associated with tight junctions and adherens junctions. Bioinformatic analysis showed that many of the quantified transcription factors have putative binding sites in the 5′-flanking regions of genes coding for the channel proteins Aqp2, Aqp3, Scnn1b (ENaCb), and Scnn1g (ENaCc), which are known targets of vasopressin. Immunoblotting demonstrated that the increase in β-catenin in nuclear fractions was accompanied by an even larger increase in its phosphorylated form (pSer552). The findings provide a new online database resource for nuclear proteomics (http://helixweb.nih.gov/ESBL/Database/mNPD/) and generate new hypotheses regarding vasopressin-mediated transcriptional regulation in the collecting duct.


In the renal collecting duct, vasopressin mediates long-term regulation of NaCl and water transport by regulating the abundance of key transporter proteins such as aquaporin-2 (AQP2),1 aquaporin-3,2 and the β- and γ-subunits of the epithelial sodium channel (ENaCb and ENaCc, respectively).3 The measured increase in protein abundance is believed to be largely due to transcriptional regulation of the corresponding genes.4–5

Regulation of gene expression is mediated via differential DNA binding of transcription factors and co-regulators, as well as via chromatin modification. External stimuli, such as hormones like vasopressin, can influence activity and nuclear abundance of regulatory proteins through post-translational modifications, changes in transcription, and regulated translocation to and from the nucleus. So far, there is only limited information on transcription factors involved in the control of gene expression by vasopressin in renal collecting duct cells.6–10

We previously identified transcription factors potentially involved in regulation of transporter genes in collecting duct cells by transcriptomic

Received July 27, 2011. Accepted January 10, 2012.
Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at www.jasn.org.
Correspondence: Dr. Mark A. Knepper, National Institutes of Health, Building 10, Room 6N260, 10 Center Drive, MSC-1603, Bethesda, MD 20892-1603. Email: knep@helix.nih.gov
Copyright © 2012 by the American Society of Nephrology
profiling, and proteomic profiling of nuclear fractions. Uawithya et al. identified mRNAs coding for 824 transcription factors as well as numerous transcriptional co-regulators in rat inner medullary collecting duct. Nuclear proteomic profiling of inner medullary collecting duct cells identified 157 transcription factors and numerous other potential regulators of gene transcription such as transcriptional co-regulators, protein kinases, and protein phosphatases. However, these studies did not quantify changes in nuclear protein abundances in response to vasopressin. To this end, we used stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) coupled to subcellular fractionation and state-of-the-art mass spectrometry (MS) to quantify vasopressin-induced changes in the abundances of 3987 proteins in the nuclei of cultured mpkCCD cells. Among these, 65 proteins were found to undergo changes.

RESULTS

Cultured mpkCCD cells (recloned to maximize AQP2 response to 1-desamino-8-D-arginine vasopressin [dDAVP]) underwent subcellular fractionation using a well characterized biochemical procedure that yielded three fractions: cytoplasmic extract, nuclear extract, and nuclear pellet (Figure 1A). The nuclear markers Brg1 and Creb1 were enriched in the nuclear extract, whereas the chromatin marker Histone 2a was found only in the nuclear pellet. Map2k1/2 (MEK1/2) was found only in the cytoplasmic fraction. These results confirmed the success of the fractionation. The proteomes of these fractions were identified by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), using filters that restrict the false discovery rate to 1% at the peptide level. To obtain a list of the most confident protein level identifications, proteins were matched to the transcript list for these cells previously obtained using Affymetrix expression arrays. Of 6117 proteins identified in the three fractions, 64% had corresponding transcripts (Supplemental Tables 1 and 2). The distribution of this subset of proteins among the three fractions is shown in Figure 1B.

Cellular Component Gene Ontology terms characterizing the three fractions were consistent with expectations (Figure 1C). Although the nuclear extract fraction was highly enriched in proteins identified as "nuclear proteins," the nuclear pellet also contained a number of endoplasmic reticulum proteins, presumably indicative of the fact that the endoplasmic reticulum is continuous with the nuclear outer membrane. The proteins identified in the two nuclear fractions included 234

Figure 1. Nucleo-cytoplasmic separation and LC-MS/MS profiling. (A) Immunoblot of marker proteins for each subcellular compartment, labeled by common name with the gene symbol in brackets. (B) Venn diagram of common and unique proteins identified in cellular fractions. Bar graph represents the number of all proteins (left bar) and the number of proteins that have corresponding mRNA transcripts (right bar) in each cellular fraction. (C) Ten most frequent Biologic Processes terms (Gene Ontology) among identified proteins (count given in brackets, all false discovery rates<25%, all P<0.001).
transcription factors/cofactors, 73 protein kinases, and 28 protein phosphatases (Supplemental Tables 3–5).

Next, we used SILAC coupled to LC-MS/MS to quantify changes in nuclear protein abundance in response to dDAVP. As a first step, we compared nominally identical samples of nuclear proteins from mpkCCD cells labeled with either light or heavy amino acids to determine the experimental variability of the method (Figure 2, A and B). The \( \log_2(\text{vehicle/vehicle}) \) was 0.059±0.495 (mean ± SD) for the nuclear extract and 0.036±0.387 for the nuclear pellet, indicating low intrinsic experimental variability. Subsequently, we used a threshold-for-change criterion based on these background SDs.

We used SILAC to compare cells exposed for 60 minutes to the vasopressin analog dDAVP at a physiologic concentration (0.1 nM) versus vehicle-treated cells (Figure 2, C and D). Evaluating three biologic replicates, we quantified 3425 proteins in the nuclear extract and 2744 proteins in the nuclear pellet, accounting for a total of 3987 proteins in nuclear fractions.

The full list of protein quantification results is given in Supplemental Table 6. To minimize false positive results, we required proteins to meet a dual statistical criterion (\( P < 0.05 \) by \( t \) test and outside the 95% confidence interval [95% CI] defined by the vehicle versus vehicle experiment [vertical dashed lines in Figure 2, C and D]). This dual criterion was met by 65 proteins (Figure 3A; Supplemental Table 7). Several of these proteins are involved in aspects of transcriptional regulation. The transcription factors JunB and Elf3 stood out with the largest increases. Several chromatin modifiers, transcription factors, transcription cofactors, members of the mediator of RNA polymerase II complex (Mediator complex), and proteins involved in RNA processing were represented. In addition, the list included a large number of proteins usually associated with cytoplasmic functions, such as cytoskeletal and junctional

Figure 2. SILAC quantification of nuclear fractions. Distribution of protein quantifications in (A) nuclear extract and (B) pellet in vehicle versus vehicle experiment. Distribution of protein quantifications in (C) nuclear extract and (D) pellet in 60-minute dDAVP (0.1 nM) versus vehicle experiments shown as “volcano plots.” Dashed vertical lines indicate 95% CI derived from vehicle versus vehicle experiment. Proteins of interest are those that exceed both the 95% CI requirement, as well as the \( P \) value requirement (see text).
proteins, consistent with prior observations (see the Discussion; Supplemental Table 8). The majority of these proteins with a significant change in either the nuclear extract or the nuclear pellet showed a corresponding change in the other fraction: 54 proteins were increased in both fractions (red in Figure 3B) and 9 proteins were decreased in both fractions (green in Figure 3B), whereas divergent changes were seen for only 4 proteins (blue in Figure 3B). Thus, the analysis of the two fractions provided nominally redundant information that provided internal confirmation of the findings.

Three of 31 quantified subunits of the Mediator complex were significantly increased. Figure 4 shows the log2(dDAVP/vehicle) of all 31 quantified members of this complex compared with other complexes. The mean value for Mediator complex subunits was significantly greater than zero, in contrast to the other complexes. This suggests that the Mediator subunits may be co-regulated possibly via nuclear translocation of the intact complex.

Because transcriptional regulation by vasopressin is a major focus of this study, we displayed the putative transcription factors and transcriptional co-regulators in a more useful format in Figure 5. Here, we performed protein sequence alignment for all proteins in the nuclear extract matching the Gene Ontology Molecular Function term “sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factor activity.” The circular dendrogram depicts the relatedness of their sequences, whereas the bars represent the quantification (red, increased; green, decreased). The increases in Elf3 and JunB and transcriptional coregulator CREB-binding protein (Crebbp) stood out, along with the decrease in the transcription factor homeobox-containing protein 1 (Hmbox1).

Many of the identified transcription factors matched transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) in the 5′-flanking regions of downstream target proteins regulated by vasopressin in the kidney, namely Aqp2, Aqp3, Scnn1b (ENaCβ), and Scnn1g (ENaCγ) (Figure 6). Ninety-two transcription factors identified in this study matched 42 different TFBSs that are conserved among human, dog, rat, and mouse (within 1000 bp from transcriptional start site). Three of these transcription factors showed altered nuclear abundance: JunB (Aqp2), Elf3 (Aqp2, Aqp3, Scnn1b, Scnn1g), and Hmbox1 (Aqp2, Scnn1g). Twenty-two transcription factors matched to the five TFBSs that were common to all four genes, V$ETSF, V$SP1F, V$FKHD, V$RXRF, and V$CREB.
A particularly interesting finding from this study is the large number of junctional and cytoplasmic proteins that increased in the nucleus in response to dDAVP, presumably as a result of translocation. These included ZO-1 (Tjp1), ZO-2 (Tjp2), ZO-3 (Tjp3), α-catenin (Ctnna1), γ-catenin (Jup), and afadin (Mllt4), as well as three Arp2/3 complex proteins (Arpc1b, Arpc5, Arpc5l). We carried out separate MS experiments to address whether the changes in cytoskeletal and junctional proteins can be detected at an earlier time point (dDAVP versus vehicle, 30 minutes; Figure 7A). Indeed, 18 of the 20 proteins in this class successfully quantified at 30 minutes showed positive values (P<0.01, chi-squared versus random).

One junctional protein that is of particular interest in transcriptional regulation in the collecting duct is β-catenin, a multifunctional protein that plays an important role in collecting duct development17 and in lithium toxicity.18 Prior studies showed that β-catenin phosphorylation at Ser-552 is regulated by vasopressin.19–21 However, none of these studies addressed changes in subcellular localization. Here, immunoblotting confirmed that β-catenin significantly increased in nuclear abundance in response to dDAVP (Figure 7B) and further showed parallel changes in β-catenin phosphorylated at Ser-552 (Figure 7C). The increase in this phosphorylated form is much greater than the change in total β-catenin protein in the nuclear fractions.

DISCUSSION

Vasopressin regulates transport in the renal collecting duct in part through transcriptional regulation of transporter genes. This presumably occurs through changes in abundances of various regulatory proteins such as transcription factors and transcriptional co-regulators. Here, we used quantitative LC-MS/MS to identify nuclear proteins in cultured collecting duct cells (mpkCCD clone 1111) that undergo changes in abundance in response to the V2-receptor selective vasopressin analog dDAVP. We anticipated that changes in nuclear abundances of proteins would be largely due to translocation between cytoplasm and nucleus at the short time point chosen (60 minutes of dDAVP stimulation). However, changes in nuclear abundances can also occur by altered transcription or degradation, particularly for transcription factors, which often show rapid turnover.22 Preliminary studies demonstrated a high degree of technical precision in the SILAC-based quantification approach (Figure 2, A and B), allowing detection of small to moderate changes in nuclear abundance with high sensitivity. The sensitivity of the method is critical to its ability to view an entire landscape of changes that result from a physiologic stimulus, such as exposure to vasopressin. Indeed, several dozen proteins (of almost 4000 quantified) were found to increase or decrease.

Altogether, this study identified 7514 proteins from subcellular fractions of mpkCCD cells, representing the most comprehensive collection of proteomic data for cultured kidney collecting duct cells to date. These data have been placed online to provide a public resource (http://helixweb.nih.gov/ESBL/Database/mNPD/). As a result of the subcellular fractionation procedure, we identified many nuclear proteins not detected in whole cell analyses, presumably because nuclear proteins contribute a relatively small proportion to the total protein mass of the cell.

Transcription Factors Regulated by Vasopressin

Several of the transcription factors identified here matched binding sites in the 5′-flanking region of vasopressin-regulated target genes including Aqp2, Aqp3, Scnn1b (ENaC β), and Scnn1g (ENaC γ) (Figure 6). However, of the large number of transcription factors quantified, only four changed in nuclear abundance. Specifically, JunB, Elf3, and Gatad2b increased, whereas Hmbox1 decreased. Yasui et al. showed c-jun binding to the V$AP1F site in Aqp2 after treatment with vasopressin,6
compatible with the idea that either nuclear translocation or rapid transcriptional regulation of JunB may contribute to the transcriptional regulation of Aqp2 by vasopressin. The transcription factor Elf3 has conserved binding sites (V$ETSF) in all four vasopressin-regulated target genes examined in this study (Figure 6). Furthermore, Elf3 mRNA levels have been shown to correlate with Aqp2 expression in mpkCCD clones, and vasopressin was directly shown to increase AQP2 transactivation by Elf3 in promoter-reporter studies.11 The activity of Elf3 can be positively modified by Crebbp,23 a transcriptional coregulator that also increased in our study. In contrast, Hmbox1 was decreased in nuclear abundance with vasopressin. This observed decrease might lead to increased transcriptional activity of target genes, because Hmbox1 acts chiefly as a repressor in other systems.24 To our knowledge, Hmbox1 has not been previously associated with vasopressin signaling.

Post-Translational Modifiers Regulated by Vasopressin
Post-translational modifications are an important mode of protein regulation, and we hypothesized that enzymes that catalyze such modifications would be found to translocate in response to vasopressin. However, among this category of proteins, only Nedd4, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, increased in nuclear abundance. Nedd4 has been implicated in vasopressin-mediated control of AQP2 protein abundance25 and a closely related protein, Nedd4-2, plays a key role in regulation of ENaC abundance.26 In addition, nuclear proteins such as RNA polymerase II subunits can undergo in vivo ubiquitylation by Nedd4.27 One may hypothesize that Nedd4 is a nuclear effector of vasopressin-mediated changes in protein ubiquitylation and degradation.

Known Components of Vasopressin Signaling in Collecting Duct Cells Altered by Vasopressin in This Study
Rap1a and Rap1b (decreased in nuclear abundance) are Ras-like GTPases regulated in part by cAMP-sensitive Epac proteins that are involved in vasopressin-related intracellular calcium mobilization.28 Our study showed that vasopressin leads to diminished nuclear abundance of these signaling molecules. Rap1 isoforms lie upstream of the Raf-MEK-ERK signaling pathway, which is downregulated in response to vasopressin in renal collecting duct.29

Junctional and Cytoskeletal Proteins
Among the proteins increased in the nucleus, we detected a large number of junctional and cytoskeletal proteins (Figure 3A) that play roles in determination of epithelial cell polarity and regulated trafficking to the apical plasma membrane.30–33 Although superficially surprising, nuclear transport of junctional proteins is well established in the literature, particularly with regard to β-catenin and the tight junction proteins ZO-1, 2, and 3, which can function as transcriptional co-regulators.34,35 This study identified increases in all four of these proteins in the nucleus in response to vasopressin, and extends the finding to a number of other cytoskeletal and junctional proteins (Figure 3A). These presumed translocation events therefore provide an alternative mechanism (to activation of protein kinase cascades) whereby extracellular signals may result in changes in transcription. Additional MS experiments focusing on cytoskeletal and junctional proteins confirmed the general finding and showed that increases in nuclear abundance could be observed after only 30 minutes of vasopressin exposure for many of these proteins (Figure 7, A and B).

Mediator Complex
The Mediator complex is a key element in transcriptional regulation, forming a bridge between transcriptional activators bound to cis-regulatory elements and RNA polymerase II.36

Figure 5. Sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factors detected in the nuclear extract. A dendrogram showing proteins quantified in the nuclear extract that matched the Gene Ontology Molecular Function term “sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factor activity” aligned by amino acid sequence similarity (entire protein). The bars indicate quantification results, averages of |log2(dDAVP/vehicle)| >0 (red, increased; green, decreased). Bold colors implicate a significant difference meeting the dual criterion discussed above.
Figure 6. Transcription factors putatively binding to cis-elements in the 5'-flanking regions of Aqp2, Aqp3, Scnn1b, and Scnn1g. Putative transcription factor binding elements (TFBSs) within 1000 bp upstream of the transcriptional start sites of (A) Aqp2, (B) Aqp3, (C) Scnn1b, and (D) Scnn1g analyzed using the Genomatix database and software suite. All shown TFBSs are conserved among human, dog, rat, and mouse. Transcription factors identified in this study that potentially bind to these TFBSs are indicated. Numbers represent
Interestingly, three subunits of the Mediator complex were significantly increased in the nuclear extract (Figure 3A), and when plotted together (Figure 4) the nuclear abundances of all 31 mediator complex proteins seemed to cluster in a range consistent with nuclear increases. These results are what would be expected if the complex assembles in the cytoplasm and translocates as a unit in response to vasopressin, although separate regulation of the each subunit cannot be ruled out.

**β-Catenin and Vasopressin Signaling**

An interesting transcriptional coregulator that increased in the nuclear fractions is β-catenin. There is an emerging recognition of a role for β-catenin in vasopressin signaling in the renal collecting duct.17–21 β-catenin is a multifunctional protein acting in at least three ways: (1) as a transcriptional coregulator, (2) as a component of the Wnt signaling network, and (3) as a component of adherens junctions. We also identified numerous proteins that putatively interact with β-catenin in collecting duct nuclei such as Cdh, Vcl, Scrib, Crebbp, Ep300, Tcf7l2, and Tax1bp3.37 Furthermore, we demonstrated vasopressin stimulated β-catenin phosphorylation at the activating Ser-552 site in both nuclear fractions, a phosphorylation event that is thought to be mediated by protein kinase A.38 The finding that both total and phosphorylated β-catenin increase in response to vasopressin points to the possibility that β-catenin may play a role in mediating vasopressin-dependent regulation of gene transcription.

**Role of Large-Scale Analysis in Physiology**

This study further supports the conclusion that large-scale proteomic analysis is a powerful means of identifying new hypotheses about physiologic regulation. Large-scale studies like this one have a negative aspect that needs to be recognized, but can be dealt with; namely, they depend on a large number of statistical tests with false positive rates (P values) that can potentially produce false positive conclusions about individual proteins. This problem is mitigated here by several factors that put the conclusions in a much stronger position statistically than would otherwise be the case for large-scale analysis. First, values for each protein are determined by quantifying multiple peptides, meaning that several independent observations go together to give a value for one protein. Second, in the systems biology paradigm, the focus is not on individual proteins, but rather on groups of proteins, and two series of experiments using the SILAC method for quantification. An LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) system was used for all studies. In each study, samples were subjected to nuclear and cytoplasmic separation using a commercial kit (NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagent Kit; Pierce, Rockfort, IL).16 This is a widely used method that consists...
The two series of studies (each done in three biological replicates) quantifying the response to the vasopressin analog dDAVP (0.1 nM) were done using SILAC14 culture media containing the amino acids arginine and lysine labeled with stable isotopes (heavy: 13C6 15N4 arginine, 13C6 lysine; light: 12C6 14N4 arginine, 12C6 lysine) for 16 days (three passages). This labeling period is sufficient to achieve >98% saturation of labeling.20 At the beginning of an experiment (Supplemental Figure 1), labeled cells were grown in the presence of dDAVP (0.1 nM) for 4–5 days to assure that all vasopressin-dependent proteins are expressed.13 In the first quantitative series, the dDAVP was then withdrawn for 24 hours before re-exposure to vasopressin or vehicle for 60 minutes. In the second series observations were made after 30 minutes of exposure to dDAVP. For these experiments, cells (60–90 μg) were pooled in a 1:1 ratio (dDAVP/vehicle) and subjected to nuclear and cytoplasmic separation, as described above, before mass spectrometry.

MS

Samples were reduced with dithiothreitol (10 mM), and alkylated with iodoacetamide (40 mM) before one-dimensional SDS-PAGE (4%–15% gradient). The gels were cut to yield multiple pieces followed by in-gel trypsin digestion. Tryptic peptides were analyzed on an LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer system. MS spectra were searched using the SEQUEST, Inspect, and OMSSA algorithms as described14 using the most recent mouse RefSeq database. Data were filtered using the target-decoy approach29 to obtain <1% false discovery rate at a peptide level. Quantification of light and heavy peptides was performed using QUIL software.40 Uniqueness or multiplicity of putative peptide assignment was evaluated using ProMatch software.14 Protein quantifications were median-normalized in each of experimental pair. Dual statistical criteria were applied: t test (paired, P<0.05) and outside the 95% CI defined by vehicle versus vehicle experiments.

Bioinformatics

Clustering of transcription factors was done using the Muscle algorithm41 and results were displayed as a dendrogram using the Interactive Tree of Life tool.42 Conserved TFBSs in the 5' flanking regions of specific genes were found using the online Genomatix software suite and TFBS database (http://www.genomatix.de/).

Immunoblotting

Immunoblotting was carried out as described.29
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