Renal Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Is Essential for the Hypertension Induced by Nitric Oxide Synthesis Inhibition
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ABSTRACT
The kidney is an important source of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) in many species, including humans. However, the specific effects of local ACE on renal function and, by extension, BP control are not completely understood. We previously showed that mice lacking renal ACE, are resistant to the hypertension induced by angiotensin II infusion. Here, we examined the responses of these mice to the low-systemic angiotensin II hypertensive model of nitric oxide synthesis inhibition with L-NAME. In contrast to wild-type mice, mice without renal ACE did not develop hypertension, had lower renal angiotensin II levels, and enhanced natriuresis in response to L-NAME. During L-NAME treatment, the absence of renal ACE was associated with blunted GFR responses; greater reductions in abundance of proximal tubule Na+/H+ exchanger 3, Na+/Pi co-transporter 2, phosphorylated Na+/K+/Cl cotransporter, and phosphorylated Na+/Cl cotransporter; and greater reductions in abundance and processing of the γ isoform of the epithelial Na+ channel. In summary, the presence of ACE in renal tissue facilitates angiotensin II accumulation, GFR reductions, and changes in the expression levels and post-translational modification of sodium transporters that are obligatory for sodium retention and hypertension in response to nitric oxide synthesis inhibition.

levels have returned to normal, a phenomenon known as angiotensin II escape.\(^4,5\)

In addition to the endothelium, large amounts of ACE are found in renal tissues.\(^6\) This is especially true for the human kidney, which makes much more ACE than the kidneys of mice and rats. Indeed, in humans more ACE is found in the kidney than any other organ.\(^7\) Hence, on the basis of the important role played by the kidneys in BP control, it is logical to consider the role of renal ACE in hypertension. Such a question is impossible to approach with pharmacologic agents. This is why our group chose to study mice in which targeted homologous recombination was used to manipulate the ACE gene. In a recent report, we investigated the responses of mice lacking renal ACE, termed ACE 3/3 and ACE 10/10 mice, to experimental hypertension.\(^8\) Specifically, wild-type and mutant mice were subject to chronic angiotensin II infusion, an experimental model in which the “exogenous” infusion of angiotensin II activates the local renin-angiotensin system (RAS) and induces “endogenous” angiotensin II formation.\(^9\) Remarkably, the absence of renal ACE blunted the hypertension induced by angiotensin II infusion.\(^8\) This was attributed to the absence of the renal responses observed in wild-type mice, including local angiotensin II accumulation; sodium and water retention; and activation of several key sodium transporters, namely the loop of Henle Na\(^+\)-K\(^+\)-2Cl\(^-\) cotransporter (NKCC2), the distal tubule NaCl cotransporter (NCC), the epithelial sodium channel (ENaC), and pendrin.\(^8\) Thus, these findings support the concept that the renal ACE/angiotensin II pathway works as an important regulator of sodium transport along the nephron, and that activation of this pathway plays an obligatory role in the development of experimental hypertension, even in the presence of high plasma angiotensin II levels.

In this study, we investigated the role of renal ACE on the hypertension induced by nitric oxide (NO) synthesis inhibition with the arginine analogue \(N\)-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME). Several reasons influenced this decision. First, L-NAME–induced hypertension suppresses plasma renin, eliminating the confounding effects of circulating angiotensin II.\(^10,11\) Second, previous reports indicate that L-NAME treatment activates the renal RAS.\(^12\) Third, we reasoned it would be important to evaluate the role of the renal ACE/angiotensin II pathway in the development of experimental hypertension, even in the presence of high plasma angiotensin II levels.

**Figure 1.** The absence of renal ACE blunts L-NAME–induced hypertension. The systolic BP (SBP) response to L-NAME (5 mg/10 ml in the drinking water) of ACE 10/10 (A), ACE 3/3 (B), and corresponding wild-type (WT) mice was determined by tail-cuff plethysmography. \(n=6–17. ***P<0.001\) versus wild-type mice by two-way ANOVA. Values represent mean±SEM.

**Figure 2.** L-NAME reduces plasma renin/prorenin concentration in wild-type (WT) but not in ACE 10/10 mice. (A) Circulating renin/prorenin levels were measured after L-NAME treatment (5 mg/10 ml in the drinking water for 4 weeks) by ELISA. Data are presented as individual values and mean±SEM. (B) Negative correlation between systolic BP increase (ΔSBP) and plasma prorenin/renin in ACE 10/10 mice. \(n=6–9. **P<0.01.\)
pathway in the renal response to the systemic vasoconstriction
and antinatriuresis induced by an agent besides angiotensin II.
We now report that the renal ACE/angiotensin II pathway plays a
dominant role in the hypertension induced by L-NAME; compared with
equally treated wild-type mice, mice lacking renal ACE do not develop hypertension. Further,
our data indicate that renal ACE-derived angiotensin II facil-
itates changes in renal sodium handling necessary for the es-
tablishment of L-NAME–induced hypertension.

RESULTS

An Important Role of Renal ACE in the Induction of
Hypertension by L-NAME

The creation and phenotypes of ACE 10/10 and ACE 3/3 mice are
described elsewhere.13,14 The ACE 10/10 mouse is an in-
bred line (C57Bl/6J) that expresses ACE only in myelomonocy-
cytic cells.13 The ACE 3/3 mouse has a mixed background
(C57Bl/6–129) and expresses ACE mostly in hepatocytes.14
Both mouse strains have normal circulating levels of ACE;
they also have normal BP and normal baseline renal mor-
phology and function. Importantly, in ACE 10/10 mice, renal ACE
activity is totally absent, while in the ACE 3/3 mice it is only
14% of wild-type values. Systolic BP was measured, by tail-
cuff plethysmography, in wild-type and mutant mice in re-
sponse to L-NAME (5 mg/10 ml in the drinking water for 4
weeks). This caused a significant systolic BP increase in
wild-type mice (Figure 1). In sharp con-
trast, the BP of ACE 10/10 and ACE 3/3
c mice did not increase. After 4 weeks,
and compared with their littermate wild-type
group, the BP was 22 mmHg lower in the
ACE 10/10 mice (P < 0.001) (Figure 1A)
and 25 mmHg lower in the ACE 3/3 mice
(P < 0.001) (Figure 1B). These data indicate
that two different animal models lacking
renal ACE are largely protected against
L-NAME–induced hypertension.

From this point on, experiments were
conducted only in the ACE 10/10 mice
because the effects of experimental hyper-
tension are better characterized in their
遗传性背景 strain (C57BL/6J).15,16
We confirmed that both groups of mice
drank similar amounts of water and, thus,
received the same amount of L-NAME
(Supplemental Figure 1). We also con-
formed that body weight was similar in
both strains before and after L-NAME
(Supplemental Figure 2). We measured
urinary nitrite/nitrate (NOx) excretion be-
fore and during L-NAME treatment to ver-
ify that L-NAME reduced NO availability
to a similar extent in wild-type and mutant

Figure 3. L-NAME induces heart enlargement (A) and proteinuria (B) in
wild-type (WT) mice but not in ACE 10/10 mice. Heart weight/body weight
(HW/BW) was calculated after L-NAME treatment (5 mg/10 ml in the
drinking water for 4 weeks). Urinary protein excretion was assessed in the
same group of mice before and after L-NAME. n=5–7. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01;
***P < 0.001. Values represent individual values and mean±SEM.

Figure 4. L-NAME induces more renal fibrosis and TNF-α accumulation in wild-type (WT)
than in ACE 10/10 mice. Renal sections from nontreated and L-NAME–treated (5 mg/10 ml
in the drinking water for 4 weeks) WT and ACE 10/10 mice were stained for collagen ac-
cumulation (A, with Masson’s trichrome) and TNF-α content (B). Original magnification,
X400 in A and B. (C and D) Semiquantification. n=5. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. Data are pre-
sented as individual values and mean±SEM.
this level throughout the experiment. We confirmed that L-NAME treatment strongly suppressed plasma renin/prorenin content in wild-type mice (Figure 2A). In ACE 10/10 mice, such a suppression was not observed; this was likely due to the lower BP of L-NAME–treated ACE 10/10 mice. Indeed, we observed a strong correlation between plasma renin content and BP in this group (Figure 2B).

In line with our tail-cuff readings, heart-to-body weight and urinary protein excretion, traditional markers of hypertension-induced target organ damage,17 were significantly increased in L-NAME–treated wild-type mice but not in ACE 10/10 mice (Figure 3). Further, L-NAME induced more collagen deposition (as measured by Masson’s trichrome) and inflammation (as measured by TNF-α levels) in kidneys of wild-type mice than in kidneys of ACE 10/10 mice (Figure 4).

Renal Angiotensin II and the Local RAS
We developed a protocol to measure angiotensin II content by immunohistochemistry (IHC) in the mouse kidney (Figure 5A, Supplemental Figures 4 and 5). Second, we quantified angiotensin II concentration in kidney homogenates by radioimmunoanalysis (Figure 5B).8 For the IHC protocol, preabsorption experiments demonstrated the absence of cross-reactivity with other relevant RAS peptides/proteins, including angiotensin-(1–7) and angiotensinogen.18 We also confirmed minimal anti–angiotensin II staining on kidneys of systemic ACE knockout mice, a mouse strain with very little systemic and kidney angiotensin II (Supplemental Figure 4).19 Finally, we validated our assay by measuring the renal angiotensin II content in histologic samples from angiotensin II–infused mice whose contralateral kidney was used to determine angiotensin II concentration by radioimmunoanalysis (Supplemental Figure 5).8 As previously reported, baseline renal angiotensin II content was similar in wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice (Figure 5, A and B, Supplemental Figure 6). Importantly, renal angiotensin II content increased significantly in wild-type mice after L-NAME treatment (1.6-fold increase over nontreated mice; \( P<0.01 \) by radioimmunoassay), but not in the ACE 10/10 mice (Figure 5B). These changes were confirmed by IHC analysis (Supplemental Figure 6). Thus, the blunted BP response of ACE 10/10 mice is accompanied by a lack of renal angiotensin II accumulation in response to L-NAME.

In view of the differential regulation of renal angiotensin II content, the expression of key renal RAS components was analyzed.20 As previously reported, baseline angiotensinogen levels were significantly higher in kidneys from wild-type versus ACE 10/10 mice (1.0±0.2 versus 0.48±0.05 arbitrary units [AU]; \( P<0.05 \)) (Figure 6A).8 After L-NAME, renal angiotensinogen protein significantly increased in wild-type (from 1.0±0.2 to 2.3±0.3 AU; \( P<0.01 \)), but not in the mutant mice (from 0.48±0.05 to 0.7±0.1 AU; \( P=NS \)) (Figure 6A). As expected, renal ACE content in the ACE 10/10 mice was negligible (Figure 6B). Further, L-NAME caused a significant increase in renal ACE expression in wild-type mice (from 1.0±0.1 to 1.9±0.3 AU; \( P<0.001 \)) that was not observed in the ACE 10/10 mice (from 0.3±0.1 to 0.16±0.07 AU; \( P=NS \)).

L-NAME Treatment Is Natriuretic in the Absence of Renal ACE
The next step was to investigate the renal response to L-NAME. For this, wild-type and mutant mice were housed individually in metabolic cages to determine daily sodium and urine output. Baseline sodium and urine excretion were similar in both strains (Figure 7). During L-NAME treatment, urinary sodium and volume excretion of wild-type mice showed small
Importantly, these changes cannot be attributed to water and water excretion were similar in wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice. By the end of the experiment, sodium and output (Figure 7B). By the end of the experiment, sodium and water excretion went from 243 ± 12 µmol/d at baseline to 250 ± 12, 313 ± 17, and 260 ± 10 µmol/d at day 0 and days 1 and 2 after L-NAME treatment (P < 0.01). A similar pattern was observed with analysis of urine output (Figure 7B). By the end of the experiment, sodium and water excretion were similar in wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice. Importantly, these changes cannot be attributed to water and food consumption fluctuations because those remained unchanged in both groups throughout the experiment (Supplemental Figure 1). Moreover, we confirmed that baseline sodium balance in wild-type mice and ACE 10/10 was neutral. During L-NAME treatment, wild-type mice showed a net positive balance that returned to basal levels by the end of the experiment (Figure 7C). In marked contrast, ACE 10/10 mice responded to L-NAME treatment, wild-type mice showed a net positive balance in wild-type mice and ACE 10/10 was neutral. During L-NAME treatment, wild-type mice showed a net positive balance that returned to basal levels by the end of the experiment (Figure 8). In contrast, the GFR in ACE 10/10 mice remained unchanged throughout the experiment (Figure 8). Thus, the absence of renal ACE prevented the acute reductions in GFR observed in wild-type mice.

**Renal ACE and GFR**

The previous observations prompted a more detail investigation of renal function during L-NAME treatment. We first measured GFR by the transcutaneous method described by Schreiber et al. In this, GFR is calculated from the excretion kinetics of a single intravenous bolus of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-sinistrin in conscious, unrestrained animals, measured using a miniaturized detector secured to the back of the mouse. At baseline, the GFR of wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice was indistinguishable (1467 ± 43 versus 1448 ± 37 µl/min per 100 g body wt; P = NS) (Figure 8). These numbers are similar to what has been reported previously in healthy mice. L-NAME induced an acute GFR reduction (first 24 hours) in wild-type mice that returned to pretreatment levels after 7 days (from 1467 ± 43 to 1163 ± 42 µl/min/100 g body wt; P < 0.01) (Figure 8). In contrast, the GFR in ACE 10/10 mice remained unchanged throughout the experiment (Figure 8). Thus, the absence of renal ACE prevented the acute reductions in GFR observed in wild-type mice.

**Renal ACE and Renal Sodium Transporter Profile**

We previously reported that the renal ACE/angiotensin II pathway is an important regulator of sodium transporters along the nephron. Accordingly, an extensive transporter profiling was conducted in total kidney homogenates from wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice after L-NAME treatment. At baseline, the transporter profiles of wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice did not differ. In wild-type mice, L-NAME treatment did not increase any transport abundance or phosphorylation. Instead, chronic NOS inhibition reduced abundance and phosphorylation of loop of Henle NKCC2 by 26% and 41%, respectively (Figure 9A, left), and abundance of ENaC α-subunit and its cleavage by 22% and 29%, respectively (Figure 10A, left). In addition, the abundance and phosphorylation of the activator kinases Sterile 20 (STE20)/SPS1-related proline/alanine-rich kinase (SPAK) and the oxidative-stress responsive kinase 1 (OSR1) were reduced by 30% (total SPAK), 47% (SPAK-P), and 55% (OSR1-P) (Figure 11A, left). These changes would theoretically promote sodium and volume excretion as an adaptive, yet incomplete, pressure-induced natriuretic response to NOS inhibition. In the ACE 10/10 mice, mice that lack renal ACE and fail to accumulate angiotensin II, the reductions in transporters were far more extensive and pronounced: Proximal tubule Na+/H+ exchanger 3 (NHE3) and Na+/Pi cotransporter 2 (NaPi2), not affected by L-NAME in wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice after L-NAME treatment. At baseline, the transporter profiles of wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice did not differ. In wild-type mice, L-NAME treatment did not increase any transport abundance or phosphorylation. Instead, chronic NOS inhibition reduced abundance and phosphorylation of loop of Henle NKCC2 by 26% and 41%, respectively (Figure 8). In contrast, the GFR in ACE 10/10 mice remained unchanged throughout the experiment (Figure 8). Thus, the absence of renal ACE prevented the acute reductions in GFR observed in wild-type mice.
NHE3, NaPi2, NKCC2, NCC, γ-ENaC, SPAK, and OSR1 were substantially more reduced in L-NAME–treated ACE 10/10 than in equally treated wild-type mice (Figures 9B, 10B, and 11B). These observations strongly suggest that the enhanced natriuresis of ACE 10/10 mice in response to L-NAME is due to significant reductions in renal sodium reabsorptive capacity.

DISCUSSION

In considering the importance of ACE in human hypertension, one needs to look no further than the remarkable BP-lowering effects of ACE inhibitors. On the basis of the observation that endothelial ACE is shed into the bloodstream, many investigators have tried, with very limited success, to show an association between systemic ACE activity and hypertension. Meanwhile, much less is known about the role played by ACE expressed in other organs. This is particularly relevant to the kidney because large amounts of ACE are made locally, with potentially broad influences on renal function and, ultimately, on BP. Here, we approached this issue by investigating the responses of mice with no or minimal amounts of renal ACE to the hypertension induced by nitric oxide synthesis inhibition. Our results show a very novel finding, namely that two different mouse models with the commonality of having no or minimal amounts of renal ACE respond to L-NAME, a low plasma renin hypertension model, with blunted hypertension.

We present two lines of evidence supporting a renal mechanism as the key reason for the conferred protection of the mutant mice. First, while there were marked activation of the renal RAS and significant renal angiotensin II accumulation in L-NAME–treated wild-type mice, this was absent in the ACE 10/10 mice. The role of renal RAS versus the systemic RAS in hypertension is still a point of contention. Although most investigators agree that overexpression of RAS components along the nephron results in hypertension, the question becomes whether the local RAS works as a separate entity or whether it represents an extension of the systemic RAS. Our data argue in favor of the former hypothesis.

L-NAME was purposely chosen because it suppresses plasma renin activity, minimizing potential contributions of the systemic RAS. Moreover, in agreement with previous reports, L-NAME increased the renal abundance of several local RAS components, including angiotensinogen, ACE, and the AT1 receptor. This is likely due to L-NAME–driven renal inflammation and oxidative stress, which can override the physiologic regulation of the local renal RAS and induce its activation.

The second important point supporting a renal protective mechanism in the ACE 10/10 mice is a fundamental change in sodium handling in response to L-NAME. In L-NAME–treated ACE 10/10 mice, the lack of renal ACE was associated with strong natriuresis, whereas in equally treated wild-type mice this was not observed. Even more important, ACE 10/10 mice responded to L-NAME with a negative sodium balance, whereas equally treated wild-type mice displayed a positive sodium balance that was corrected at the expense of hypertension. According to the kidney-fluid hypothesis of Guyton, an increase in systemic BP should trigger a corresponding natriuretic and diuretic response that eventually normalizes BP. That such a response was absent in the wild-type mice indicates a shift in the pressure–natriuresis relationship toward hypertension facilitated by renal ACE. Fundamentally, this
major change can occur via two mechanisms: (1) changes in renal hemodynamics affecting GFR and/or (2) changes in sodium transport.

L-NAME–treated wild-type mice showed an early GFR reduction that returned to pretreatment levels. In contrast, the GFR in ACE 10/10 mice remained unchanged throughout the experiment. This is despite clear data that L-NAME inhibited NO production. Two considerations can be derived from these findings. First, the initial GFR reduction in wild-type mice may be triggered by the vasoconstrictor effects of L-NAME or changes in the tubuloglomerular feedback mechanism. In early stages, the sensitivity of the tubuloglomerular feedback mechanism is probably increased due to both a reduction of NO availability (a negative modulator of tubuloglomerular feedback mechanism) and angiotensin II accumulation (a positive modulator of tubuloglomerular feedback mechanism). In this context, the lack of such an initial GFR reduction in the mutant mice is not a surprising result. Previous micropuncture studies showed that the absence of tissue-bound ACE blunts the tubuloglomerular feedback mechanism response. In addition, our mice lack endothelial ACE, perhaps reducing angiotensin II availability in the renal vasculature. The second and more important consideration is that modulation of glomerular hemodynamics and/or GFR by renal ACE plays an important role in the initial hypertensive response to L-NAME.

Many investigators believe that an imbalance between NO and angiotensin II is at the core of hypertension as well as many forms of CKD. While angiotensin II is a vasoconstrictor and sodium-retaining hormone, NO is a vasodilator and natriuretic. We explored how these signals are integrated along the nephron by profiling abundance and phosphorylation of sodium transporters and their regulatory kinases in response to systemic NO inhibition. In wild-type mice, L-NAME both inhibits whole-body NO production and stimulates intrarenal angiotensin II accumulation, giving rise to hypertension, secondary to the lack of the vasodilatory and natriuretic NO and the enhanced antinatriuretic effect of local angiotensin II. The kidney responds with adaptations that facilitate natriuresis, including decreases in abundance and phosphorylation of NKCC2, OSR1, and SPAK, as well as decreased ENaC. In mice with no kidney ACE and no accumulation of antinatriuretic angiotensin II, more extensive and substantial natriuretic adaptations are recruited along the entire nephron, including decreases in proximal NHE3 and NaPi2, further decrease in NKCC2-P, decrease in NCC phosphorylation, further decreases in total SPAK and OSR1, and γ-ENaC modulation. Hence, the transporter profile from the ACE 10/10 kidneys is consistent with an enhanced natriuresis and diuresis that is sufficient to maintain baseline BP in the face of systemic vasoconstriction due to NO depletion. Said another way, our transporter profiling revealed specific effects of renal angiotensin II induced by NO depletion that counteract or prevent downregulation of NHE3 and NaPi2, dephosphorylation of NKCC2 and NCC, and reductions in the abundance and processing of γ-ENaC. In total, these observations strongly suggest that the renal ACE/angiotensin II pathway acts, in this model of hypertension, as a functional positive clamp for sodium transport along the nephron.
We previously reported that the kidneys from angiotensin II–infused wild-type mice display increases in total protein abundance, phosphorylation, and processing of several key sodium transporters, most prominently NKCC2 and NCC. In the absence of these changes in equally treated ACE 10/10 mice, we concluded that the renal ACE/angiotensin II pathway is a master switch for sodium transport in the nephron. This is somewhat different from what we observed in L-NAME–treated wild-type mice. L-NAME hypertension is characterized by low systemic angiotensin II, generalized vasoconstriction due to lack of NO synthesis, decreased medullary blood flow and medullary O₂ availability coupled to increased reactive oxygen species and interstitial hydrostatic pressure. These factors can theoretically counterbalance the antinatriuretic potential of local angiotensin II on sodium transport and deserve further analysis. Regardless of the differences in transporter profile between angiotensin II infusion and L-NAME–induced hypertension, the overall effect is the same: The presence of renal ACE is always coupled with an increased tubular reabsorptive capacity from the proximal tubule through distal segments.

In conclusion, renal ACE plays an essential role in the hypertension induced by nitric oxide synthesis inhibition. This is because the renal ACE/angiotensin II pathway elicits renal sodium retention through its modulatory effects on the renal vasculature and sodium transport. Therefore, we propose that the study of renal ACE and its downstream targets may improve our ability to treat conditions in which there is decreased NO availability, as seen in many forms of CKD.

**CONCISE METHODS**

**Animals and Experimental Hypertension**

The generation of ACE 10/10 and ACE 3/3 mice was described elsewhere. Shortly, targeted homologous recombination was used to place the ACE gene under the control of the c-fms promoter (ACE 10/10 mice) or the albumin promoter (ACE 3/3 mice). These changes resulted in restricted expression of ACE to myelomonocytic cells and the liver, respectively. ACE 10/10 mice were backcrossed to a pure C57BL/6J background. Experiments were conducted in 8- to 12-week-old male mice of either strain and wild-type mice of the corresponding genetic background. Hypertension was induced by a 4-week course of L-NAME (5 mg/10 ml in the drinking water). BP was monitored by tail-cuff plethysmography every 3 days in previously trained mice using a Visitech BP2000 system (Visitech Systems Inc., Apex, NC). All the experiments were conducted under the approval of the Cedars-Sinai Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Metabolic Studies**

Mice were individually housed in metabolic cages for urine sampling and for monitoring of water and food consumption. To avoid urine contamination with food and feces, mice were fed a gelled diet containing all necessary nutrients (Nutra-gel; Bio-Serv, Frenchtown, NJ). Free access to water was provided at all times. After 3 days of
baseline collection, L-NAME was added to the drinking water. Mice remained in the metabolic cages for 3 additional days. At the end of the experiment, mice were placed back in metabolic cages for 2 days. Urinary sodium and protein concentration were determined by flame photometry and the micro bicinchoninic acid assay method, respectively. NO synthase inhibition was confirmed by measuring urinary excretion of NO metabolites using a commercially available kit (Promega Corp., Fitchburg, WI). Values were adjusted to urine volume to calculate daily excretion.

Transcutaneous Measurement of GFR

This method is based on measuring the excretion kinetics of a single intravenous bolus of FITC-sinistrin. GFR is calculated using (1) the half-life derived from the rate constant (α) of the single exponential phase of the FITC-sinistrin excretion curve and (2) a semiempirical conversion factor, as validated in rats and mice. This approach has several advantages over more traditional methods: It is less invasive, is not subject to confounding effects of anesthesia, and does not require terminal surgery. A miniaturized device (NIC-Kidney; Mannheim Pharma & Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) is fixed on the previously shaved back of the mouse. The device is equipped with two light-emitting diodes that transcutaneously excite FITC-sinistrin at 480 nm and a photodiode to detect the emitted light signal at 521 nm. The general protocol consisted of a resting baseline period of 10 minutes, after which FITC-sinistrin was injected through the retro orbital sinus (15 mg/100 g body wt, dissolved in 0.1 ml sterile saline solution). For this procedure, mice were briefly anesthetized with inhalatorial isoflurane. Mice were placed back into standard cages for the remaining of the experiment (approximately 1 hour). Data are collected (60 measurements/min) and stored in the internal memory of the microcontroller. After collection, the device was detached from the mouse and the data were retrieved.

Tissue Harvesting, Radioimmunoanalysis, and Histologic Analyses

After 4 weeks of L-NAME, mice were euthanized and both kidneys were quickly excised. Angiotensin II levels were measured in homogenates from the left kidney by radioimmunoanalysis as previously described. For immunohistochemical determination of angiotensin II, the right kidney was decapsulated, cut longitudinally, fixed in phosphate-buffered 10% formaldehyde (pH, 7.2), and embedded in paraffin as reported elsewhere. Sections were deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated through a graded series of ethanol to water, and then incubated in blocking solution (1% bovine serum–enriched PBS) at room temperature for 1 hour. The sections were blocked with 3% H₂O₂ and incubated overnight with rabbit anti–angiotensin II antibody diluted with blocking solution (1:300; Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Burlingame, CA) or a monoclonal antibody against TNF-α (1:50; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Negative controls consisted of histologic sections incubated with PBS (or rabbit preimmune serum) rather than the primary antibody. Immunostaining was carried out with an avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex and counterstained with hematoxylin. For renal fibrosis, Masson’s trichrome staining was performed as described elsewhere. Stained slides were scanned with a Leica SCN400 Slide Scanner (Buffalo Grove, IL), and digital images of whole cross-sections of the kidneys were saved for analysis. A total of 20 random fields from
each kidney were viewed at 400× magnification and analyzed with ImageJ (version 1.46r; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Averaged results were expressed as percentage of positive staining area per total area.¹⁸

Total Plasma Renin/Prorenin Concentration
For this, trunk blood was collected in EDTA tubes. After plasma extraction, total renin/prorenin concentration was determined by ELISA following the kit manufacturer’s recommendation (Mouse Prorenin/Renin Total Antigen Assay; Molecular Innovations, Novi, MI).

Immunoblotting: Renal RAS and Sodium Transporter Profile
The left kidney was snap-frozen and preserved at −80°C for subsequent protein immunoblotting. To determine protein abundance of angiotensinogen and ACE, whole kidneys were thawed and homogenized in solubilization buffer containing phosphatase and protease inhibitors. Tissue extracts were centrifuged at 3000 g for 30 minutes at 4°C to eliminate insoluble material. Protein concentration was determined by the bicinchoninic acid assay method and equal amount of solubilized proteins (20 μg) were denatured in SDS-PAGE sample buffer (10 minutes, 80°C). Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore Immobilon-FL; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA), blocked (Odyssey blocking buffer, Lincoln, NE), and then probed with antibodies against angiotensinogen (1:500 dilution; Sernia, Queensland) and ACE (1:500 dilution, SC-12187; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Equivalent protein loading was confirmed by reprobing membranes with anti–β-actin antibody (1:5000 dilution; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).

For transporter profiling, PVDF membranes were probed with specific antibodies against NHE3 and NaPi2 (1:2000; McDonough laboratory), NKCC2 (1:1000; C. Lytle, UCR), phospho Thr96/Thr101-NKCC2 (1:2000; Forbush, Yale), NCC (1:2000; McDonough laboratory), phosho Ser71-NCC, α-ENaC (1:1000; J. Lofing, U. Zurich), β- and γ-ENaC (1:1000; Palmer, Cornell), SPAK (1:3000; Delpierre, Vanderbilt), OSR1, and phospho-SPAKpS373/OSR1pS325 (1:1000; DSTT, Dundee, UK) as described. After extensive washing, membranes were incubated with the appropriate fluorochrome-labeled antibodies. To verify uniform loading, membranes were stained with Coomassie blue, and random bands were quantified. Linearity of signal intensity was established by loading 1 and 1/2 amounts of each sample on each gel to verify doubling of signal intensity. Signals on immunoblots were detected and quantitated with Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-COR, Lincoln, NE) and accompanying software. Values were normalized to mean intensity of nontreated wild-type group defined as 1.0.

Statistical Analyses
All data are presented as individual measurements along with mean±SEM. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test was used to analyze changes in data collected over time. One-way ANOVA and unpaired t test were used to analyze differences between nontreated and treated mice within the same genotype or to assess the differences between wild-type and mutant mice when appropriate. All statistical tests were calculated using GraphPad Prism 5.00 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). P<0.05 was regarded as denoting statistically significant differences.
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This article contains supplemental material online at http://jasn.asnjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1681/ASN.2013091030/-/DCSupplemental.
Supplemental Figure 1. Lack of changes in food and water consumption during L-NAME induced hypertension. Wild-type (WT) and ACE 10/10 mice were housed individually in metabolic cages with free access to food and water before and during L-NAME treatment (5 mg/10 mL in the drinking water for 4 weeks). n = 8–10. Values represent mean ± SEM. At baseline, food and water consumption were similar between wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice.
Supplemental Figure 2. Lack of body weight changes during L-NAME induced hypertension. Body weight was measured before and after L-NAME treatment (5 mg/10mL in the drinking water for 4 weeks). n=15. Data are presented as individual values and mean ± SEM.
Supplemental Figure 3. Wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice showed consistently reduced urinary nitrite and nitrate (NOx) excretion during L-NAME induced hypertension. Urine from wild-type (WT) and ACE 10/10 mice was analyzed for NOx levels before and after 1, 2 and 28 days of L-NAME treatment (5 mg/10 mL in the drinking water for 4 weeks). Values were adjusted to urine volume to calculate daily excretion and expressed as mean ± SEM. n=10 per group, **p<0.01 vs. non-treated mice. At baseline, NOx excretion was similar between wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice.
Supplemental Figure 4. Absence of renal angiotensin II staining in systemic ACE knockout mice.

Renal sections from ACE KO mice and wild-type littermates were stained with an anti-angiotensin II antibody (Anti-Ang II) or with an isotype control (IgG) for background staining (A=30x, B=400x). Brown staining indicates angiotensin II positive areas. When compared to wild-type mice, renal sections from ACE KO mice show minimal amounts of angiotensin II staining.
Supplemental Figure 5. Renal angiotensin II content determination by immunohistochemistry in Ang II-infused mice. Renal sections from uninfused and angiotensin II-infused wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice were stained for angiotensin II. Brown staining indicates angiotensin II positive areas. (A) Representative stained sections (B) Semi-quantification of immunohistochemical analysis. Renal angiotensin II levels in the contralateral kidney, determined by radioimmunoassay and published elsewhere, are similar. n=3-10, *p<0.05, ***p<0.001. Data are presented as individual values and mean ± SEM.
Supplemental Figure 6. Semi-quantification of renal angiotensin II by immunohistochemistry in L-NAME treated mice. Renal sections from non-treated and L-NAME treated wild-type and ACE 10/10 mice were stained for angiotensin II and semi-quantified. n=6, ***p<0.001. Data are presented as individual values and mean ± SEM.