Immunosuppressive Effects of Erythropoietin on Human Alloreactive T Cells

Paolo Cravedi,* Joaquin Manrique,* Katherine E. Hanlon,† Jessica Reid-Adam,‡ Joshua Brody,† Praeophayom Prathuangsuk,* Anita Mehrotra,* and Peter S. Heeger*§

*Renal Division, Department of Medicine, †Tisch Cancer Institute, ‡Renal Division, Department of Pediatrics, §Recanati Miller Transplant Institute, and |Immunology Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York

ABSTRACT
Correction of anemia with erythropoietin (EPO) is associated with improved kidney transplant outcomes. Emerging evidence, predominantly from animal models, indicates that these observations may be erythropoiesis-independent and that EPO exhibits immunosuppressive properties. We examined the effects of EPO on human T-cell alloimmunity by first documenting that CD4+ and CD8+ T cells express EPO receptor (EPO-R) on their surfaces. In mixed lymphocyte reactions, EPO induced a dose-dependent decrease in allogeneic CD4+ T-cell proliferation (EPO 1000 U/ml: 44.6% ± 22.9% of vehicle, P < 0.05; 2000 U/ml: 11.1% ± 4% of vehicle, P < 0.001) without inducing cell death. The effects required signals transmitted directly through the EPO-R expressed on T cells, resulting in diminished Th1 differentiation without effects on regulatory T-cell induction. Mechanistic studies revealed that EPO prevented IL-2–induced proliferation by uncoupling IL-2 receptor signaling, inhibiting phosphorylation of the intracellular intermediaries AKT and extracellular signal-regulated kinase that are known to mediate T-cell expansion. EPO treatment reduced expansion of human naïve CD4+ T cells after adoptive transfer into NOD scid γc−/− mouse recipients, verifying the effects in vivo. Although activated T cells expressed CD131, an alternative EPO receptor, addition of a specific CD131 agonist peptide, ARA290, did not alter T-cell proliferation or cytokine production. Our findings link EPO-R signaling on T cells to inhibition of T-cell immunity, providing one mechanism that could explain the observed protective effects of EPO in kidney transplant recipients.


The anemia that commonly occurs in kidney transplant recipients has been hypothesized to contribute to chronic allograft injury, in part by limiting oxygen delivery to the tubulointerstitium and as a consequence, enabling fibrogenesis.1 In support of a link between anemia and kidney allograft injury, results of a 2012 randomized controlled study of erythropoietin (EPO) therapy after transplantation showed that targeting hemoglobin values to a normal range of ≥13 g/dl slowed progression of chronic allograft nephropathy and prolonged graft survival compared with partial correction of anemia.2

Although the above-cited clinical study and selected studies in rodents indicate correlations between EPO-induced increases in hematocrit and reduced tubulointerstitial damage as well as preserved renal tubular cells and improved kidney function,3 direct evidence linking EPO-induced erythropoiesis to better transplant outcomes is lacking. In fact, experiments performed in a fully mismatched rat kidney transplant model showed that EPO, but not the correction of anemia by blood transfusion, limits chronic allograft injury.4,5 supporting the conclusion that the transplant-protective effects of EPO do not require an EPO-induced increase in hematocrit. Among potential alternative mechanisms, emerging evidence from animal models suggests that
EPO has immune-suppressive properties. EPO therapy reduced the clinical expression of murine experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, with associated reduction in effector T-cell (Teff) proliferation, expansion of regulatory T cells (Tregs), and inhibition of dendritic cell (DC) function. A detailed understanding of how EPO alters murine T-cell function remains obscure, and whether and how EPO impacts human alloreactive T-cell immunity have not been carefully studied.

To address effects of EPO on human T cells, we performed a series of experiments that, together, show that EPO directly inhibits alloreactive human T-cell immunity through ligating T-cell–expressed EPO receptors (EPO-Rs) and as a consequence, inhibits biochemical signaling pathways known to be downstream of the T-cell receptor and the IL-2 receptor. These unique functional and biochemical results linking EPO-R signaling on T cells to inhibition of T-cell proliferation and cytokine production in vitro and in vivo provide one mechanism that could explain the observed protective effects of EPO in kidney transplant recipients.

RESULTS

EPO Reduces Naïve CD4+ T-Cell Expansion in Mixed Lymphocyte Reactions

We initially examined human T cells for EPO-R RNA and surface protein expression by quantitative PCR (Figure 1A) and flow cytometry (Figure 1, B and C), respectively. These experiments revealed that resting CD4+ and CD8+ T cells produce low levels of EPO-R RNA and express EPO-R protein on their surfaces. Anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAb stimulation induced upregulation of both RNA and surface protein over 1–3 days (Figure 1A, B–C). These unique functional and biochemical results linking EPO-R signaling on T cells to inhibition of T-cell proliferation and cytokine production in vitro and in vivo provide one mechanism that could explain the observed protective effects of EPO in kidney transplant recipients.

To test effects of EPO on human T-cell responses, we stimulated carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE)-labeled PBMCs with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAbs in the presence of EPO or vehicle control and quantified proliferation in the CD4+ and CD8+ subsets by CFSE dilution using flow cytometry (Figure 1, F and G). These assays showed a statistically significant, dose-dependent, inhibitory effect in both T-cell subsets.

Next, we tested whether EPO impacts alloimmunity by focusing on naïve and memory CD4+ T cells (Figure 2). We isolated naïve CD45RA+CD45RO−/−CD4+ and memory CD45RA−CD45RO+CD4+ T cells by negative selection, labeled them with CFSE, and mixed them with allogeneic moDCs at varying doses of EPO in mixed lymphocyte reactions (MLRs). We used CFSE dilution (Figure 2, A–C), intracellular IFN-γ production (Figure 2D), and total cell number (Figure 2E) as readouts. These experiments showed dose-dependent inhibition of human alloreactive CD4+ T-cell proliferation, IFN-γ production, and expansion. Higher concentrations of EPO were required to inhibit proliferation of memory versus naïve T cells (Figure 2C). Viability staining (with FVS450 dye) and analysis by flow cytometry showed that EPO did not induce cell death (Figure 2F, Figure 2 Supplemental Figure 1).

In separate experiments, we tested whether EPO alters T helper cell 1 (Th1)/Th2 differentiation in vitro (Figure 3, A–C). At the highest concentrations tested, EPO partially inhibited IFN-γ production under Th1 polarizing conditions (anti-CD3/anti-CD28, IL-12, and blocking anti–IL-4 mAb) but did not inhibit IL-4 production under Th2 polarizing conditions (IL-4+blocking anti–IL-12/anti–IFN-γ mAb).

To test whether EPO affects induction of Tregs, we performed in vitro Treg induction assays by stimulating naïve CD4+ T cells with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAbs or allogeneic DCs (Figure 3, D–F), IL-2, and TGF-β±EPO or vehicle control. Although we observed induction of Foxp3+ T cells under all conditions, EPO had no discernible effect. CD4+CD25+FOXP3+ Tregs induced in the presence of EPO were capable of suppressing allogeneic CD8+ T-cell proliferation (percent suppression at 1:4 induced Treg to Teff was 95.1%; data not shown).

EPO Directly Prevents T-Cell Proliferation

We reasoned that the EPO-induced inhibition of T-cell proliferation (and IFN-γ production) could be mediated through direct effects of EPO ligating EPO-R on T cells and/or indirectly through altering antigen presenting cell (APC) maturation or function. To test for a direct effect on T cells, we purified naïve CD4+ T cells and stimulated them with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAb±EPO or vehicle control (Figure 4, A and B). These assays unequivocally showed that EPO directly induced a dose-dependent reduction in naïve CD4+ T-cell proliferation in the absence of APCs. To test whether the inhibitory effects of EPO are mediated through the EPO-R, we repeated the experiment in the presence of a specific EPO-R blocking antibody (or isotype control) (Figure 4, C and D). Addition of the anti–EPO-R antibody but not the control IgG rescued T-cell proliferation, despite the presence of EPO.

In erythroid cells, EPO-R signaling induces Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) phosphorylation. To test whether EPO/EPO-R signaling induces JAK2 phosphorylation in human T cells, we stimulated T cells with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAb±EPO and measured JAK2 phosphorylation by intracellular flow cytometry (Figure 4, E and F). EPO caused JAK2 phosphorylation, and the effect was abrogated in the presence of blocking anti–EPO-R mAb but not control IgG.

To formally test whether EPO also impacts DC function, we differentiated PBMCs into DCs by culturing them with GM-CSF and IL-4 for 5 days and then maturing them with LPS. We added EPO or vehicle control throughout the differentiation and maturation process, washed the resultant DCs, phenotyped them by flow cytometry, and then, tested their ability to stimulate allogeneic naïve CD4+ T cells in MLRs (Figure 5). Surface expression levels of class II HLA, CD40, CD80, and...
CD86 were similar on the DCs, regardless of EPO treatment (Figure 5A). Adding EPO during in vitro DC generation did not affect DC allostimulatory capacity (Figure 5B).

Together, the functional and biochemical data support the conclusion that EPO-induced inhibition of T-cell proliferation is mediated through signals transmitted directly through the EPO-R expressed on the T cell.

**Figure 1.** EPO inhibits T-cell expansion. (A) Kinetics of EPO-R gene expression in total T lymphocytes after anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulation as assessed by quantitative RT-PCR (means±SEMs; two experiments in triplicate). (B) Representative flow cytometry histograms and (C) quantified changes in mean fluorescence intensities (MFIs) versus baseline of EPO-R expression on the cell surface of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes after 24, 48, or 72 hours of anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulation (means±SEMs; eight experiments). (D) Representative flow cytometry histograms and (E) MFI (fold increase over isotype) of EPO-R on monocytes and immature and mature moDCs (means±SEMs; four experiments). (F) Representative plots and (G) quantitation of human PBMCs stimulated with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 gated on CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells in the presence of EPO at the indicated doses (or vehicle control; means±SEMs; four experiments). *P<0.05; **P<0.01 versus 0 hours, isotype, or vehicle.

**EPO Inhibits T-Cell Proliferation by Uncoupling IL-2R Signaling**

To address biochemical mechanisms that could account for the antiproliferative effects of EPO, we used flow cytometry to measure phosphorylation of intracellular signaling molecules downstream of the T-cell receptor (TCR) and CD28. As established by others,⁹,¹⁰ anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAb stimulation...
of CD4+ T cells rapidly resulted in phosphorylation of TCR-associated Linker of Activated T cells (LAT; not shown) and SH2 domain–containing leukocyte protein of 76 kDa (SLP-76) (Figure 6, A and B). Addition of EPO to the cultures modestly but only transiently reduced early TCR activation-associated LAT (15%±5.65% reduction at 5 minutes; data not shown) and SLP76 phosphorylation (Figure 6, A and B). Similarly, whereas anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAb stimulation induced phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 1, STAT6, ζ-chain–associated protein kinase 70 (ZAP70), phospholipase C (PLC)γ1, and PLCγ2, EPO did not impact phosphorylation of any of these proximal TCR/costimulation-associated signals (at 1, 5, 60, and 360 minutes; not shown). Also, EPO did not alter anti-CD3/anti-CD28–induced upregulation of the activation marker CD45RO (Figure 6, C and D), thereby confirming that EPO does not significantly alter T-cell activation.

More distal TCR-initiated signals involved in T-cell proliferation include phosphoinositol-3-kinase–dependent phosphorylation of AKT and mitogen-activated protein kinase–dependent phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK).11 EPO significantly blunted anti-CD3/anti-CD28–induced phosphorylation of ERK and AKT at 1–5 minutes (Figure 7, A and B), the latter of which is a crucial intracellular signaling intermediary involved in T-cell proliferation and expansion.11

Because T-cell proliferation and expansion as well as AKT phosphorylation are, in part, IL-2–dependent,12 we tested whether EPO alters IL-2 production and IL-2 receptor (IL-2R; CD25) expression and/or function in human T cells. EPO did not alter intracellular IL-2 production by CD4+ T cells 48 hours after stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 (vehicle=20% IL-2–; EPO 1000 U/ml=17.8% IL-2+ by intracellular flow cytometry, P=NS; data not shown). We next
stimulated CD4+ T cells with anti-CD3/anti-CD28±IL-2±EPO and measured T-cell proliferation by CFSE dilution (Figure 7, C and D). As anticipated, IL-2 significantly augmented anti-CD3/anti-CD28–induced T-cell proliferation. Strikingly, EPO blocked the IL-2–induced proliferative effect without altering T-cell surface expression levels of the IL-2R. IL-2R signaling is transduced through multiple parallel pathways, including phospho-STAT5 and AKT. EPO inhibited phosphorylation of AKT when we added recombinant IL-2 to the culture wells (Figure 7E). In contrast, EPO did not impact IL-2–induced phosphorylation of STAT5 (Figure 7F). Together, the data indicate that one mechanism through which EPO inhibits T-cell immune responses is disruption of IL-2R–dependent activation of the AKT but not STAT5 signaling pathways.

Analogous to the above-noted effects on CD4+ T cells, EPO inhibited CD8+ T-cell proliferation in MLRs (Figure 8, A and B) and blocked anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAb–induced phosphorylation of ERK and AKT (Figure 8, C and D) but did not alter IL-2–induced STAT5 phosphorylation (Figure 8E).

EPO Prevents Expansion of Alloreactive Naïve CD4+ T Cells In Vivo

To test whether and how these in vitro findings apply in vivo, we transferred CFSE-labeled naïve human CD4+ T cells into NOD scid γc null recipients, which are deficient in T, B, and natural killer cells.13 In this model, the human T cells proliferate in vivo in response to xenogeneic murine antigens. Groups of animals were treated with EPO or vehicle control. Three days later, we examined splenic T-cell responses by flow cytometry. These assays remarkably revealed less human T-cell proliferation (higher frequency of nondivided CFSEhi human T cells) (Figure 9, A and B) and diminished IFN-γ production (Figure 9, C and D) in the EPO-treated animals.

Activation of EPO Heterodimeric Receptor (CD131) Does Not Affect T-Cell Alloreactivity

EPO-induced intracellular signaling is principally mediated through a homodimer of the EPO-R, but emerging evidence indicates that EPO can also signal through a heterodimeric receptor composed of an EPO-R monomer chain and CD131.14,15 This heterodimeric complex, the activation of which requires much higher concentrations of EPO compared with the activation of the homodimeric EPO-R,16 has been described on nonerythroid cells. When we stained human T cells for CD131 and evaluated expression levels by flow cytometry, we observed that, although CD131 was absent on resting T cells, CD131 was detectable on both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell subsets 24 hours after anti-CD3/anti-CD28 coated beads or (E) allogeneic moDCs. (F) Quantitation of the results (means±SEM) for five individual experiments. *P<0.05 versus vehicle.

Figure 3. EPO reduces Th1 but not Th2 polarization or Treg induction. Representative flow cytometry histograms and quantified results (n=5 experiments) of the expression of (A and C, upper panel) IFN-γ and (B and C, lower panel) IL-4 in naïve CD4+ T cells cultured under Th1– (means±SEM; four experiments) or Th2-polarizing conditions (means±SEM; six experiments), respectively, ±EPO at the indicated doses. (D–F) Representative flow cytometry histograms of FoxP3+ expression in naïve CD4+ T cells cultured with IL-2 and TGF-β±EPO and stimulated with (D) anti-CD3/anti-CD28 coated beads or (E) allogeneic moDCs. (F) Quantitation of the results (means±SEM) for five individual experiments. *P<0.05 versus vehicle.
improve neural function in separate model of neuropathic pain. When we added ARA290 to anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAb-stimulated T cells, we did not observe significant alterations in T-cell proliferation (Figure 10, C and D) or IFN-γ production (Figure 10, D and E).

**DISCUSSION**

Our results extend previously published work by others documenting EPO-R on human T cells by uniquely revealing that EPO exhibits immunosuppressive properties. EPO inhibits T-cell proliferation, expansion, and IFN-γ production without inducing T-cell death or altering Treg generation (Figures 1–3). The effects are mediated directly through ligation of the EPO-R expressed on the T cell; anti–EPO-R mAb overcame EPO’s antiproliferative effects and abrogated EPO-induced JAK2 phosphorylation (Figure 4). We did not detect a discernable effect of EPO on DC phenotype or function (Figure 5). We observed that anti-CD3/anti-CD28 induced EPO-R upregulation on the T-cell surface, raising the speculative possibility that signaling by the EPO-R could physiologically regulate T-cell expansion. The molecular basis for EPO-R surface upregulation, in part, is a consequence of increased EPO-R gene expression (Figure 1). Previous work by others showed that anti-CD3/anti-CD28 upregulates the Sp1 transcription factor known to positively regulate EPO-R transcription, further supporting this concept. Whether TCR signaling alters trafficking of EPO-R to the T-cell surface, which has been reported in erythroid cells, remains untested.

Mechanistic analyses indicate that early activation events induced by crosslinking the TCR with CD28-induced costimulation are minimally altered (Figure 6). In contrast, our data support the conclusion that EPO inhibits TCR-initiated downstream signals, including AKT and ERK, as well as blocks IL-2/IL-2R–dependent T-cell proliferation (Figure 7). EPO does not alter T-cell IL-2 production or T-cell surface expression of the IL-2R, but it does uncouple distal IL-2R signal transduction. IL-2Rαβ–initiated signals are known to activate phosphoinositol-3 kinase-γ/AKT and mitogen-activated protein kinase/ERK pathways, whereas inducible IL-2Rγ/JAK3-initiated signals activate the STAT5 pathway. Our data indicate that EPO inhibits phosphorylation of AKT and ERK without altering pSTAT5 (Figures 6–8), although additional work will be required to discern exact biochemical mechanisms. The novelty of our finding that EPO inhibits phosphorylation of AKT in T cells is underscored by contrasting our results with the reported effects of EPO-R signal transduction in erythropoietic cells, in which EPO-R signaling induces AKT phosphorylation. Together with the absence of a detectable effect after the addition of a peptide known to ligate the CD131/EPO-R heterodimer (Figure 10),
our combined data support the conclusion that ligating the homodimeric EPO-R inhibits proximal TCR and IL-2Rαβ signaling. STAT5 activation and IL-2R–dependent proximal signal transduction are required for Treg induction, function, and proliferation, whereas phosphorylation of AKT is constitutively blocked in Treg through endogenous phosphatase activities. Because our data show that EPO does not affect STAT5 phosphorylation and preferentially inhibits AKT phosphorylation in Teff cells, we speculate that EPO will facilitate, rather than inhibit, Treg stability, function, and proliferation in vivo. Although additional studies will be required to test this concept in humans, previous work published by others in the experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis model revealed increased Treg frequencies in EPO-treated (and protected) animals, consistent with this hypothesis. Importantly, our data indicate that EPO treatment can inhibit the expansion of alloreactive human naïve CD4+ T cells and their IFN-γ production in NOD.

**Figure 5.** EPO does not affect DC phenotype or function. (A) moDCs were induced in the presence of EPO (2000 U/ml) or vehicle control, analyzed for the cell surface markers indicated by flow cytometry, and reported as percentage of the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of each marker compared with vehicle-treated control DCs. Expression of CD40 was modestly but significantly lower (P<0.05) in the EPO-treated moDCs. The other three markers were not different between EPO-treated and vehicle-treated controls. (B) Proliferation of naïve CD4+ T cells in response to allogeneic moDCs pretreated with EPO or vehicle for 7 days (but not added in the MLR). Data are representative of three independent experiments using different donors. Similar results were obtained using memory CD4+ or total CD8+ T cells as responders (not shown).

**Figure 6.** EPO does not affect early TCR activation or CD45RO expression. (A and B) pSLP76. Representative (A) flow cytometry histograms and (B) quantified kinetics of pSLP76 in anti-CD3/anti-CD28–stimulated CD4+ cells±EPO (means±SEMs; three experiments). *P<0.05 versus EPO treated at 1 minute. (C) Representative CD45RA and CD45RO expression on enriched naïve CD4+ T cells (day 0) and after 5 days of stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28±EPO at the doses indicated. (D) Quantified percentages (means±SEMs; three separate experiments) of CD45RO+ CD4+ T cells on day 0 or after 5 days of stimulation in the presence or absence of EPO. MFI, mean fluorescence intensity.
sci'd γc-null mice (Figure 9), providing evidence that the EPO immunomodulatory effects can occur in vivo.

The immune-suppressive effects of EPO on T cells provide a potential explanation to account for why normalizing hemoglobin levels in transplant patients improves outcomes, whereas normalizing hemoglobin in the general CKD population is detrimental.26,27 The immunomodulatory actions of EPO may mitigate other negative cardiovascular effects related to the drug in this unique transplant patient population. The immunosuppressive properties of EPO also provide a possible mechanism to account for formerly published findings by others, including (1) the beneficial effects of EPO in accelerating recovery of early allograft ischemia,29 a phenomenon partially mediated by T cells,29 and (2) prolongation of T cell–mediated transplant survival in rats treated with EPO.3 Analogously, finding that EPO inhibits T-cell expansion in response to polyclonal stimuli and prevents Th1 differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells may partially explain previous data showing that EPO downregulates proinflammatory immune effector pathways in response to chemical tissue damage, LPS stimulation, and Salmonella infection.6,30–32 In patients with rheumatoid arthritis, treatment with recombinant EPO not only resulted in amelioration of anemia but also improved disease activity.33 Intriguingly, EPO has been associated with adverse outcomes of tumor progression and impaired survival in a series of clinical trials,34,35 a finding that might be explained, at least

Figure 7. EPO uncouples IL-2R signaling in anti-CD3/anti-CD28–stimulated CD4+ T cells. Representative (A) kinetic flow cytometry histograms and (B) quantified results of pERK and pAKT expression in anti-CD3/anti-CD28–stimulated CD4+ cells±EPO. Data in B are means±SEMs (three individual experiments). *P<0.05 versus time 0 (anti-CD3/anti-CD28 alone) and versus anti-CD3/anti-CD28+EPO tested at 1 and 5 minutes. (C) Representative flow cytometry histograms of CD4+ T cells activated with (upper panel) anti-CD3/anti-CD28 or (lower panel) anti-CD3/anti-CD28+recombinant IL-2 (0.5 μg/ml)±EPO. (D) Quantified results (means and SEMs) of one of four representative experiments, all of which showed similar results. *P<0.05 versus vehicle. (E) Representative flow cytometry histograms of pAKT in CD4+ T cells stimulated with anti-CD3/anti-CD28±IL-2±EPO at 6 hours after stimulation. Results shown in each panel are means of three experiments. *P<0.05 versus unstimulated. (F) Quantitation of kinetics of pSTAT5 in anti-CD3/anti-CD28+IL-2–stimulated CD4+±EPO (means+SEMs; three experiments). *P<0.05 versus vehicle.
in part, by EPO-induced inhibition of antitumor T-cell immunity. Our new identification of the inhibitory effects of EPO on T cells provides a rationale for the transplant research community to test these concepts in future studies.

We acknowledge that the EPO doses that optimally inhibited T-cell immunity are higher than serum levels in EPO-treated patients, but levels in immune organs have not been measured; also, it is conceivable that T-cell immunity is impaired at the doses generally provided to humans. Interestingly, because EPO is physiologically produced by renal tubular cells, it is tempting to speculate that high intragraft levels of EPO provide immune-modulating activities that could, at least in part, account for the better tolerogenicity of the kidney compared with other organs. We also recognize that EPO has other described in vivo effects that could influence graft survival, including reduction of oxidative stress and strengthening of antiapoptotic processes.

In conclusion, we show, for the first time (to our knowledge), that EPO directly inhibits alloreactive human T-cell immunity. Our findings support the future testing of EPO and/or EPO-R agonists to target alloreactive T cells as adjuvant immune suppressants in kidney transplant recipients.

CONCISE METHODS

Human Samples
PBMCs were isolated by Ficoll gradient centrifugation of deidentified buffy coats from healthy donors purchased from the New York Blood Bank.

EPO and ARA290
Epoetin-α (Procrit) was obtained from Janssen Cilag. ARA290 (pyroglutamic acid–Glu-Gln-Leu–Glu–Arg–Ala–Leu–Asn–Ser–Ser–OH) was synthesized by standard F-moc solid-phase peptide synthesis and purified by HPLC, and ion-exchange chromatography (GenScript).

Cell Isolation, Culture, and Expansion of T-Cell Subsets
Naïve CD4+ T cells were isolated from human PBMCs using the MACS cell separation kit (Miltenyi Biotec). Memory (CD45RO+CD4+) and total CD8+ T cells were isolated using EasySep negative selection from STEMCELL Technologies. After isolation, cells were stained for CD4, CD8, CD45RA, and CD45RO to confirm purity, which was consistently higher than 90% among all T-cell subsets.

Preparation of moDCs
CD14+ monocytes were isolated from PBMCs by positive bead selection (Miltenyi Biotec). Memory (CD45RO+CD4+) T cells and total CD8+ T cells were isolated using EasySep negative selection from STEMCELL Technologies. After isolation, cells were stained for CD4, CD8, CD45RA, and CD45RO to confirm purity, which was consistently higher than 90% among all T-cell subsets.

In Vitro Cultures/MLRs
Enriched T-cell subsets were stimulated with either soluble anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAbs (1 μg/ml each; BD Biosciences) or anti-CD3/CD28 mAb-coated beads (25 μl/10⁶ cells, Dynabeads; Invitrogen) in RPMI plus 10% heat-inactivated human AB serum (Gemini Bio-Products)
**In Vitro T-Cell Polarization**

Naive CD4^+ T cells were stimulated with RPMI/10% human AB serum, anti-CD3/anti-CD28 mAb-coated beads, and recombinant human IL-2 (10 ng/ml; BD Bioscience) plus either IL-12 (1 ng/ml; R&D Systems) and neutralizing anti-IL-4 mAb (10 μg/ml; R&D Systems) to polarize to Th1 or IL-4 (20 ng/ml; R&D Systems), neutralizing antibodies were purchased from R&D Systems. CFSE expression was analyzed for CFSE expression by flow cytometry. EPO-R blocking antibody was purchased from R&D Systems.

Figure 9. EPO inhibits naïve CD4^+ T-cell expansion in NOD scid γcnull mice. Five million CD45RA^+CD4^+ naïve human T cells were labeled with CFSE and injected into NOD scid γcnull mice. (A) Representative histograms and (B) data quantitation of remaining CFSE^+ naïve CD4^+ T cells 3 days after injection in NOD scid γcnull mice treated with EPO (n=5) or vehicle control (n=5). (C) Representative plots and (D) data quantitation of IFN-γ production by the same CD4^+ T cells.
and AlexaFluor647 anti-pSTAT1; panel 3, PE anti-pZAP70, AlexaFluor488 anti-pLAT, and AlexaFluor647 anti-pPLCγ2; panel 4, PE anti-pSTAT6 and AlexaFluor647 anti-pPLCγ1. All antibodies were purchased from BD Bioscience. Experiments testing JAK2 phosphorylation were done by stimulating T cells with anti-CD3, anti-CD28 mAb, and IL-2; EPO and anti–EPO-R blocking antibody for 6 hours. Cells were processed as described above and stained with unconjugated rabbit anti-pJAK2 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) and PE donkey anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (BD Bioscience).

Samples were read on an LSR Fortessa (BD Bioscience), and data analysis was performed using Stanford Cytobank software (http://cytobank.stanford.edu/public/openid/).

**In Vivo Experiments**

Six- to eight-week-old female NOD scid γcnull mice were housed in the Mount Sinai School of Medicine Center for Comparative Medicine and Surgery in accordance with guidelines of the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International. Mice received retro-orbital injection of 5 × 10⁶ CFSE-labeled human naïve CD4⁺ T cells together with syngeneic 5 × 10⁶ CD4-depleted PBMCs. Animals were treated with EPO (5000 UI/kg per day intraperitoneally from day 0 to day 3) or vehicle control and euthanized at day 3 after injection for flow cytometric analysis of CSFE dilution and IFN-γ production. The study protocol described herein was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Mount Sinai School of Medicine.

**Statistical Analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 5 for Windows; GraphPad Software, Inc.). Group comparisons of paired data were analyzed by paired t tests. One-way repeated measures ANOVA was used for multiple comparisons among treatment groups, with pairwise multiple comparison procedures performed using Bonferroni post hoc tests. P values <0.05 were considered significant.
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Representative plots of anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulated PBMC +/- EPO stained for viability dye FVS450 at day 5 of culture. The second column on the left shows viability of ungated (all) cells, while the last column shows viability of cells within the lymphocyte gate (defined in 3rd column from the left).

Supplemental Figure 1