ABSTRACT

Results of recent clinical trials and experimental studies indicate that whereas atherosclerotic renovascular disease can accelerate both systemic hypertension and tissue injury in the poststenotic kidney, restoring vessel patency alone is insufficient to recover kidney function for most subjects. Kidney injury in atherosclerotic renovascular disease reflects complex interactions among vascular rarefication, oxidative stress injury, and recruitment of inflammatory cellular elements that ultimately produce fibrosis. Classic paradigms for simply restoring blood flow are shifting to implementation of therapy targeting mitochondria and cell-based functions to allow regeneration of vascular, glomerular, and tubular structures sufficient to recover, or at least stabilize, renal function. These developments offer exciting possibilities of repair and regeneration of kidney tissue that may limit progressive CKD in atherosclerotic renovascular disease and may apply to other conditions in which inflammatory injury is a major common pathway.

Few clinical renal diseases have undergone more dramatic conceptual pendulum swings than renovascular disease and ischemic nephropathy. For decades, the search for renovascular hypertension with the goal of curing secondary hypertension with renal revascularization had been an established principle of clinical practice. In 2008 we reviewed the expanding application of endovascular stenting for renal artery stenosis, noting that stent procedures for atherosclerotic renovascular disease (ARVD) in Medicare recipients had risen from 7660 to 18,520 between 1996 and 2000 and was estimated to be >35,000 by 2005.1,2 A skeptical Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services review panel conducted in 2007 acknowledged equipoise uncertainty about the added value of stenting to medical therapy alone and the need for prospective randomized trials.1,3 Since then, publication of the Cardiovascular Outcomes for Renal Artery Lesions (CORAL) trial in 2014 and results of the previous Angioplasty and Stenting for Renal Atherosclerotic Lesions (ASTRAL) and Stent Placement in Patients with Atherosclerotic Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal function trials in 2009 have substantially lowered enthusiasm for renal revascularization.4 Detection and intervention for even high-grade ARVD are now limited mainly to refractory clinical syndromes of progressive renal functional loss, episodes of flash pulmonary edema, and intractable hypertension.5

Despite their individual weaknesses and recruitment difficulties, results of these prospective trials have been consistent: for most patients with ARVD, restoring vessel patency either with surgery or endovascular stenting fails to materially recover kidney function or to add clinical benefit beyond that achievable with current medical therapy, which most often includes blockade of the renin-angiotensin system and statin therapy. It must be emphasized that ARVD develops in older patients with heterogeneous backgrounds of preexisting kidney injury, hypertension, and susceptibility of varying degrees of reduced perfusion. What often is overlooked in this discussion is the equally consistent identification of a subset of patients with persistent and progressive renal dysfunction, as we have discussed.4,6 The CORAL, ASTRAL, and Stent Placement in Patients with Atherosclerotic Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal studies each identified subsets of between 16% and 22% of subjects developing a renal end point, variously characterized as a 20%–30% fall in GFR, doubling of serum creatinine, and/or ESRD. Remarkably, average rates of developing such end points do not differ between those with or without renal revascularization.

These human treatment trials have been conducted in parallel with experimental and clinical studies that are increasing our understanding and changing many fundamental paradigms of vascular disease and chronic kidney injury. The goal of this review is to briefly summarize changes in these paradigms for nephrologists and to outline where future therapeutic strategies in this field may be directed. Many of these mechanistic advances for repairing kidney injury in ARVD may be applicable more broadly to other forms of both microvascular injury and inflammatory pathways in CKD.
HEMODYNAMICS AND THE FOCUS ON BLOOD FLOW

Some of the ambiguity regarding ARVD derives from simply defining and measuring properties of vascular occlusive lesions. Epidemiologic and imaging studies frequently refer to occlusion >50%–60% lumen occlusion, as if that information were readily available. Most of these studies estimate the presence of vascular lesions on the basis of two-dimensional imaging from arterial catheter images, gadolinium–contrast magnetic resonance (MR) angiography, or iodinated contrast computed tomography angiography, which are known to have limited validity and reproducibility. Detailed studies using latex casts and hemodynamic measurements indicate that measurable reductions in translesional pressures or blood flow develop only when lumen occlusion reaches some more severe or critical level, usually >70%–80% occlusion. Studies identifying release of renal renin into the renal vein indicate that activation of these pressor systems occurs only after translesional pressure gradients exceed 10–20 mmHg. Hence, many lesions using basic imaging tools are hemodynamically insignificant. Many investigators also have observed highly variable hemodynamic effects of vascular lesions even in those with <60% occlusion, in part related to fluctuating flow volumes (e.g., cardiac output). In some of these instances, a given lesion can generate widely variable gradients and likely have widely variable poststenotic waveforms depending on flow characteristics. Although renovascular disease remains a prototype of angiotensin-dependent hypertension, these hormonal responses are sometimes transient. It is likely that developing vascular occlusion is associated with intermittent reductions in renal perfusion pressures and flows that resolve as systemic pressures rise, despite a gradient between peak aortic and renal pressures. It must be recognized that antihypertensive drug therapy to lower systemic pressures inherently threatens to reduce renal perfusion pressures in this setting. One cannot exclude the possibility that ARVD presents a long-term process of repeated acute ischemic injury episodes from which the kidney normally can recover. Such a paradigm is entirely consistent with the observation of chronically elevated injury biomarkers, such as neutrophil gelatinase–associated lipocalin (NGAL) obtained from renal vein sampling in humans. Repeated episodes of experimental AKI with apparent tubular recovery can later lead to interstitial fibrosis and inflammation. Even when moderate arterial occlusion reaches a steady state, progression to more severe stenosis can restart this process, eventually producing malignant-phase hypertension if untreated. Perhaps just as importantly, even some severe vascular occlusive lesions either fail to activate pressor responses or elicit counter regulatory changes that limit blood pressure changes. For some subjects, cardiac dysfunction limits systemic pressor responses as part of a true cardiorenal syndrome. In such patients, progressive, severe occlusion may fail to elicit hypertension altogether, despite leading to advanced parenchymal fibrosis in the affected kidney.

How vascular lesions develop over time may have important additional effects. Fibromuscular disease that often appears in younger subjects infrequently leads to parenchymal renal injury, short of vessel occlusion. Studies in human atherosclerotic renovascular lesions indicate that subclinical lesions already have localization of inflammatory T-regulatory cells within vessel walls and modification of T cell–associated cytokine release even before reaching critical hemodynamic occlusion. In other patients, segmental vascular occlusion is related to localized dissection and/or shelving of calcified debris that may be unrelated to inflammatory processes altogether. It should not be surprising that effects of large-vessel occlusive lesions may have widely variable effects on arterial pressure regulation and poststenotic processes within the kidney.

As a result, simply identifying and treating patients on the basis of a visualized ARVD lesion by radiographic imaging produces a widely heterogeneous population of subjects with widely differing responses to restoring vessel patency. Effective therapy depends on establishing the linkage between ARVD and clinical manifestations for that individual patient, specifically accelerating hypertension, parenchymal kidney injury, and/or circulatory congestion/pulmonary edema. Although measurement of renal vein renin levels is now rarely performed, lateralization of renin release to a poststenotic kidney was a consistently reliable predictor of potential BP reduction after surgical revascularization in >90% of patients. Identification of valid biomarkers to predict recovery of kidney function after revascularization remains an important unmet need.

TISSUE DAMAGE WITHIN THE POSTSTENOTIC KIDNEY

Perhaps the most important shift in thinking about ARVD derives from our understanding of tissue oxygenation and microvascular injury within the poststenotic kidney. Reduction in renal perfusion pressure activates multiple pressor systems, including the renin-angiotensin system and renal adrenergic nerves. When detected and treated soon after vascular occlusion, as in the case of vessel dissection or occlusion by an aortic stent graft, restoring blood flow can reverse this process and systemic hypertension, often without evident injury to the kidney. Much more commonly, large-vessel occlusive ARVD develops slowly, most often combined with other major cardiovascular risk factors, including smoking, preexisting hypertension, and dyslipidemia. Somewhat surprisingly, moderate single-kidney reductions of cortical and medullary blood flow of nearly 30%–40% (as measured by contrast transit times using multidetector computed tomography) sufficient to reduce GFR and activate the renin-angiotensin system most often do not produce measurable tissue deoxygenation in human studies (Figure 1, A and B, left panels). Our human studies focus on tissue oxygenation in both essential hypertension and renovascular disease, using formal measurements of cortical and medullary deoxyhemoglobin as measured by blood oxygen level–dependent (BOLD) MR under...
standardized conditions of sodium balance and antihypertensive drug therapy. BOLD MR consistently demonstrates large gradients in tissue oxygenation with remarkably low levels of deoxyhemoglobin in kidney cortex, evolving to areas of higher deoxygenation in deeper medullary areas, confirmed with the use of oxygen probes. The complexity of tissue oxygenation within the kidney reflects multiple factors, including reduced postglomerular blood supply, active oxygen consumption by energy-consuming solute transport in tubular segments, and arteriovenous shunting. Preservation of tissue oxygenation in moderate ARVD likely reflects the fact that blood flow to the kidney normally is far in excess of its metabolic requirements because of its role as a filtering organ. These observations are supported by finding minimal histologic changes in the poststenotic kidney on transjugular biopsy (Figure 1B, right panel). Furthermore, preservation of progressively hypoxic oxygen gradients extending into medullary regions within these kidneys likely reflects limited solute filtration and therefore reduced energy requirements for active solute reabsorption. Taken together, these data demonstrate remarkable ability of the kidney to adapt to moderate blood flow reductions with preservation of both oxygen gradients and function. We interpret these data to explain the relative stability of renal function in many patients with ARVD during antihypertensive drug therapy as reported in trials such as ASTRAL and CORAL.

It is essential particularly for nephrologists to recognize the limits of adaptation to reduced blood flow to the kidney. Studies using BOLD MR in patients with higher-grade vascular occlusion demonstrate overt cortical hypoxia and increased fractional hypoxia (the proportion of kidney area exceeding a specified level of deoxyhemoglobin). Such individuals have more severe and sustained vascular occlusive disease than participants in many of the treatment trials. Biopsy samples from these kidneys demonstrate not only glomerular collapse, but also disruption of tubular structures, with appearance of interstitial inflammatory cells (Figure 1C, right panel). Histologic inflammation in patients with advanced ARVD is characterized by extensive T cell and macrophage infiltration in addition to fibrosis. Hence, it is equally clear that beyond the limits of tolerable reduction in blood flow, overt cortical hypoxia associated with more severe inflammatory injury eventually develops in the poststenotic kidney, as illustrated in Figure 2.

The inter-relationships and reproducibility of these mechanisms are remarkably consistent between experimental swine models and human ARVD. Experimental studies in a gradually developing swine model demonstrate additional effects of the atherosclerotic environment itself (produced by cholesterol feeding) on microvascular density and endothelial function within the kidney cortex. These vessels demonstrate disturbances of oxidative pathways, generation of toxic oxygen species, and free radicals that accelerate intrarenal injury and fibrosis. To some degree these pathways can be modified by statin and/or antioxidant therapy in animal models. Importantly, prolonged reductions in renal perfusion pressures and flows in these models lead to microvascular rarefication with partial collapse and obliteration of the arteriolar microcirculation. These processes eventually activate an array of proinflammatory cytokine signals, including monocyte chemotactic protein-1, TNF-α, ILs (IL-6 and IL-1), and diffuse tissue production of

Figure 1. Cortical hypoxia and inflammation develop in severe ARVD. Parametric axial image maps of deoxyhemoglobin determined by BOLD MR (left panels) and transjugular or needle biopsy samples from (A) a normal subject (kidney donor implantation biopsy), (B) an individual with moderate ARVD (reduced blood flow and GFR, peak ultrasound velocity 350 cm/s), and (C) severe ARVD with loss of cortical volume and function and peak ultrasound velocity >450 cm/s. Panel (B) shows that despite reduced blood flow, tissue oxygenation in the cortex and medullary segments are remarkably preserved, consistent with near normal histologic appearance and stable renal function during antihypertensive drug therapy. Panel (C) demonstrates higher levels of cortical deoxyhemoglobin (green) associated with more severe ARVD, along with a larger fraction of the medullary segments being overtly hypoxic with elevated (yellow/red) deoxyhemoglobin. These findings were associated with histologic changes of interstitial inflammatory cell infiltrates (see additional information in the text) and tubular degeneration.
TGF-β.40,41 Blood levels of NGAL are elevated. Studies of TGF-β gene expression in a murine model confirm major upregulation in both the stenotic and contralateral kidneys.42 Studies of metabolomics profiles from both stenotic and contralateral kidneys underscore the cross-talk between kidneys that may participate in progressive injury to both.43 Measurement of cytokine signatures obtained from the renal vein of both human subjects and experimental models confirm a highly proinflammatory environment tilted toward homing signals that attract circulating peripheral blood monocytes, T cells, and differentiation toward proinflammatory macrophages.40,41 Biopsies from human subjects confirm that cellular infiltrates accumulate in poststenotic kidneys and that inflammatory signaling is correlated with the degree of tissue hypoxia within the poststenotic kidney cortex.32 Comparison of inflammatory cell infiltrates indicate T-lymphocyte (CD3+) and macrophage (CD68+) accumulation in ARVD intermediate between normal kidney donors and those with complete occlusion leading to nephrectomy.34 No such differences regarding B-lymphocyte (CD20+) cell counts were observed. Importantly, genetic knockout of downstream pathways (SMAD-3) for TGF-β in models of experimental renovascular disease protects the poststenotic kidney from occlusive vascular injury.44

Taken together, these data indicate that ARVD produces an initial hemodynamic reduction in blood flow that ultimately transitions into a proinflammatory state within the poststenotic kidney. Importantly, restoring blood flow by endovascular stenting can reverse the degree of cortical hypoxia32 and lead to partial recovery of blood flow, but it fails to reverse inflammatory signaling once established. Repeat sampling of renal vein cytokine signatures 3 months after renal artery stenting demonstrates persistent elevations of NGAL, TNF-α, and other inflammatory markers.32

CAN INJURY WITHIN THE POSTSTENOTIC KIDNEY BE PREVENTED OR REVERSED?

Experimental studies in both swine and murine models identify complex pathways and interactions by which tissue injury develops in ARVD. As with many forms of CKD, a critical unresolved issue is whether these pathways can be targeted to repair or regenerate functional kidney tissue. Loss of microvessels, particularly in the renal cortex, results from perivascular fibrosis, metabolically driven injury to the vessel walls, and degradation of growth factors by reactive oxygen species.36 Local oxidative stress associated with mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis appears to participate in vessel loss and tubulointerstitial fibrosis. Consistent with these effects in the atherosclerotic environment produced by high-cholesterol feeding, aggressive administration of statins reduces oxidative stress injury at the time of revascularization and/or during chronic therapy may offer substantial benefit. This approach merits further study in human subjects.

Can inflammatory injury pathways within the poststenotic kidney be reversed or channeled to favor tissue repair and regeneration? Experimental studies
using vascular growth factors (e.g., hepatocyte, vascular endothelial growth factors) indicate that angiogenesis is indeed possible and may modify the inflammatory environment. Intra-arterial injection of endothelial progenitor cells increases renal expression of angiogenic factors, proliferation, and maturation of new vessels, eventually leading to reduced fibrosis in the poststenotic kidney cortex, and improves blood flow and GFR. Their effectiveness appears to be magnified by enhanced expression of cognate receptors related to injury signals from the stenotic kidney that facilitate local adhesion and retention in the damaged kidney. Remarkably, adipose-derived mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs) share many of these properties and have practical advantages, including low immunogenicity and robust growth in culture. MSCs also are potent immunomodulators and are capable of modifying both macrophage and T cell function under specific conditions. Delivery of MSCs into the poststenotic renal artery in experimental swine ARVD attenuates interstitial fibrosis and inflammatory injury, improves microvascular density, and decreases oxidative stress. MSCs also reduce cytokine expression in poststenotic kidneys, specifically TNF-α and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1. It has become clear that macrophage polarity in ischemic injury has a role in determining the potential for regenerating injured tubules, at least in acute renal artery occlusion models. A model deficient in IL-1 receptor kinase-M, which is required for the transition from M1 (proinflammatory) to M2 (considered reparative) polarity, demonstrates failure to regenerate tubular epithelial cells at the glomerulotubular junction, leading to long-term atubular glomeruli, interstitial fibrosis, and loss of kidney function. Importantly, MSCs are capable of modulating the specific phenotypes and functions of multiple inflammatory cells, including directing macrophages toward a reparative M2 polarity, depending in part on the specific conditions within the local tissue microenvironment. Whether intrarenal administration of MSCs can modify the inflammatory conditions in human subjects with ARVD is currently under study.

Taken together, these studies offer the possibility that cell-based therapy may offer an opportunity to modulate local inflammatory injury in the kidney (Figure 3). ARVD presents an exceptional model to examine these possibilities within human subjects, insofar as individual kidneys can be treated without necessarily affecting the contralateral kidney. Moreover, this clinical condition provides a platform wherein the presumptive primary injury induced by vascular occlusion can be removed by successful revascularization. Hence, one has the opportunity to examine and modify tissue injury and repair without ongoing stimuli for disease on the basis of reduced blood flow or tissue hypoxia. These data underscore the enormous potential value of further clinical investigation of novel therapies in ARVD, potentially as a precursor to studies in other renal inflammatory disease as well.

**SUMMARY**

Taken together, both clinical trials and experimental studies indicate that although ARVD is capable of triggering both accelerated systemic hypertension and tissue injury in the poststenotic kidney, restoring vessel patency alone is insufficient to recover kidney function for most subjects. Kidney injury in ARVD reflects complex interactions between vascular rarefaction, oxidative stress injury, and recruitment of inflammatory cellular elements that ultimately produce fibrosis. Classic paradigms for simply restoring blood flow are shifting to implementation of therapy targeting mitochondria and cellular injury to allow regeneration of vascular, glomerular, and tubular structures sufficient to recover, or at least stabilize, renal function. These data offer exciting possibilities of repair and regeneration of kidney tissue that may limit progressive CKD and may

---

**Figure 3.** Injury pathways and targets in ARVD. Working diagram highlighting recent experimental studies delineating specific pathways of oxidative stress injury and inflammatory injury pathways in the poststenotic kidney. The right panel identifies specific therapeutic targets that may alleviate these injury pathways, over and above simply restoring blood flow (see additional information in the text). Many of these pathways likely overlap in many forms of kidney injury. EPC, endothelial progenitor cells; MCP, monocyte chemoattractant protein.
apply to other conditions in which inflammatory injury is a major common pathway.
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