# Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Use of and Outcomes with Home Dialysis in the United States

Rajnish Mehrotra,\* Melissa Soohoo,<sup>†</sup> Matthew B. Rivara,\* Jonathan Himmelfarb,\* Alfred K. Cheung,<sup>‡</sup> Onyebuchi A. Arah,<sup>§</sup> Allen R. Nissenson,<sup>§||¶</sup> Vanessa Ravel,<sup>†</sup> Elani Streja,<sup>†</sup> Sooraj Kuttykrishnan,\* Ronit Katz,\* Miklos Z. Molnar,<sup>||¶</sup> and Kamyar Kalantar-Zadeh<sup>†</sup>

\*Kidney Research Institute, Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; <sup>†</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, Orange, California; <sup>‡</sup>University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah; <sup>§</sup>Department of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California; <sup>ID</sup>DaVita Health Partners, El Segundo, California; and <sup>¶</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Tennessee, Memphis, Tennessee

### ABSTRACT

Home dialysis, which comprises peritoneal dialysis (PD) or home hemodialysis (home HD), offers patients with ESRD greater flexibility and independence. Although ESRD disproportionately affects racial/ethnic minorities, data on disparities in use and outcomes with home dialysis are sparse. We analyzed data of patients who initiated maintenance dialysis between 2007 and 2011 and were admitted to any of 2217 dialysis facilities in 43 states operated by a single large dialysis organization, with follow-up through December 31, 2011 (n=162,050, of which 17,791 underwent PD and 2536 underwent home HD for  $\geq$ 91 days). Every racial/ethnic minority group was significantly less likely to be treated with home dialysis than whites. Among individuals treated with in-center HD or PD, racial/ethnic minorities had a lower risk for death than whites; among individuals undergoing home HD, only blacks had a significantly lower death risk than whites. Blacks undergoing PD or home HD had a higher risk for transfer to in-center HD than their white counterparts, whereas Asians or others undergoing PD had a lower risk than whites undergoing PD. Blacks irrespective of dialysis modality, Hispanics undergoing PD or in-center HD, and Asians and other racial groups undergoing in-center HD were significantly less likely than white counterparts to receive a kidney transplant. In conclusion, there are racial/ethnic disparities in use of and outcomes with home dialysis in the United States. Disparities in kidney transplantation evident for blacks and Hispanics undergoing home dialysis are similar to those with in-center HD. Future studies should identify modifiable causes for these disparities.

J Am Soc Nephrol 27: 2123-2134, 2016. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2015050472

The treatment of ESRD with maintenance dialysis requires patients to make substantial and sustained changes to their lifestyle to accommodate the dialysis schedule. The magnitude of effect on patients' lives is amplified by the high burden of disease, because many of these individuals also have multimorbidity, experience frequent care transitions, and have a high pill burden.<sup>1,2</sup> The effect can be mitigated by patients selecting a dialysis modality that best meets their lifestyle needs and expectations, because hemodialysis (HD) can be performed either in center or at home and peritoneal dialysis (PD) can be performed at home.<sup>3</sup> In the last

5 years, the number of patients undergoing home dialysis in the United States has increased by >50%.<sup>4</sup> Given that racial/ethnic minorities comprise a substantially larger proportion of patients with ESRD than the general population,

Copyright © 2016 by the American Society of Nephrology

Received May 1, 2015. Accepted September 22, 2015.

Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at www.jasn.org.

**Correspondence:** Dr. Rajnish Mehrotra, 325 Ninth Avenue, Box 359606, Seattle, WA 98104. Email: rmehrotr@uw.edu

No. of subjects79,54648,08923,14755385730Initial modality, % $^{1}$ $^{90}$ 94928991PD9094928991PD967108Home HD1<1<1<1<1Ever treated, % $^{90}$ 139101310Home HD211111Age, yr $65\pm15$ $57\pm15$ $58\pm15$ $62\pm16$ $60\pm15$ Men, %5952 $58$ $56$ $56$ Primary health insurance, % $^{1}$ $47$ $46$ $50$ Medicare $58$ $51$ $47$ $46$ $50$ Medicaid $4$ 713 $9$ $7$	Variable	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other
Initial modality, %         90         94         92         89         91           PD         9         6         7         10         8           Home HD         1         <1	No. of subjects	79,546	48,089	23,147	5538	5730
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Initial modality, %					
PD         9         6         7         10         8           Home HD         1         <1	In-center HD	90	94	92	89	91
Home HD1<1<1<1<1Ever treated, %PD139101310Home HD21111Age, yr65±1557±1558±1562±1660±15Men, %5952585656Primary health insurance, %7474650Medicare5851474650Medicaid471397	PD	9	6	7	10	8
Ever treated, %         PD         13         9         10         13         10           Home HD         2         1         1         1         1         1           Age, yr         65±15         57±15         58±15         62±16         60±15           Men, %         59         52         58         56         56           Primary health insurance, %         Medicare         58         51         47         46         50           Medicaid         4         7         13         9         7	Home HD	1	<1	<1	<1	<1
PD         13         9         10         13         10           Home HD         2         1         1         1         1           Age, yr         65±15         57±15         58±15         62±16         60±15           Men, %         59         52         58         56         56           Primary health insurance, %         Medicare         58         51         47         46         50           Medicaid         4         7         13         9         7	Ever treated, %					
Home HD         2         1         1         1           Age, yr         65±15         57±15         58±15         62±16         60±15           Men, %         59         52         58         56         56           Primary health insurance, %         Medicare         58         51         47         46         50           Medicaid         4         7         13         9         7	PD	13	9	10	13	10
Age, yr         65±15         57±15         58±15         62±16         60±15           Men, %         59         52         58         56         56           Primary health insurance, %         Medicare         58         51         47         46         50           Medicaid         4         7         13         9         7	Home HD	2	1	1	1	1
Men, %         59         52         58         56         56           Primary health insurance, %             47         46         50           Medicare         58         51         47         46         50           Medicaid         4         7         13         9         7	Age, yr	65±15	$57\pm15$	58±15	62±16	60±15
Primary health insurance, %         Medicare         58         51         47         46         50           Medicaid         4         7         13         9         7	Men, %	59	52	58	56	56
Medicare         58         51         47         46         50           Medicaid         4         7         13         9         7	Primary health insurance, %					
Medicaid 4 7 13 9 7	Medicare	58	51	47	46	50
	Medicaid	4	7	13	9	7
Initially uninsured 3 4 5 4 5	Initially uninsured	3	4	5	4	5
Veterans Affairs111<11	Veterans Affairs	1	1	1	<1	1
Other <sup>a</sup> 35 37 34 41 37	Other <sup>a</sup>	35	37	34	41	37
Cause of ESRD, %	Cause of ESRD, %					
Diabetes 42 42 59 47 55	Diabetes	42	42	59	47	55
Hypertension         26         39         22         29         23	Hypertension	26	39	22	29	23
Glomerular disease 12 10 8 11 9	Glomerular disease	12	10	8	11	9
Other 20 10 11 13 13	Other	20	10	11	13	13
H/o previous transplant, % 2 1 1 2 1	H/o previous transplant, %	2	1	1	2	1
Comorbidities, %	Comorbidities, %					
Diabetes 54 56 66 56 64	Diabetes	54	56	66	56	64
Hypertension 49 61 44 47 44	Hypertension	49	61	44	47	44
Congestive heart failure3435353235	Congestive heart failure	34	35	35	32	35
Atherosclerotic heart disease1713121012	Atherosclerotic heart disease	17	13	12	10	12
Other cardiovascular 18 13 12 9 12	Other cardiovascular	18	13	12	9	12
Dyslipidemia 29 25 24 29 26	Dyslipidemia	29	25	24	29	26
Hospitalized in first 91 d, %         31         30         27         25         27	Hospitalized in first 91 d, %	31	30	27	25	27
Access type at start of dialysis	Access type at start of dialysis					
Central venous catheter 59 63 66 58 63	Central venous catheter	59	63	66	58	63
AV fistula 13 10 10 12 12	AV fistula	13	10	10	12	12
AV graft 3 5 2 4 3	AV graft	3	5	2	4	3
PD catheter 7 4 6 8 6	PD catheter	7	4	6	8	6
Unknown 18 17 16 18 16	Unknown	18	17	16	18	16
Body mass index, kg/m²         28±7         29±8         27±6         24±5         28±7	Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	28±7	29±8	27±6	24±5	28±7
Laboratory variables from first	Laboratory variables from first					
91-d period from date	91-d period from date					
of first dialysis	of first dialysis					
Hemoglobin, g/dl $11.2\pm1.2$ $10.9\pm1.2$ $11.3\pm1.2$ $11.2\pm1.2$ $11.2\pm1.1$	Hemoglobin, g/dl	11.2±1.2	10.9±1.2	11.3±1.2	11.2±1.2	11.2±1.1
Iron saturation, % 22 [17–27] 22 [18–27] 22 [18–28] 24 [19–29] 22 [18–27]	Iron saturation, %	22 [17–27]	22 [18–27]	22 [18–28]	24 [19–29]	22 [18–27]
Serum ferritin, ng/ml 26/ [155–45/] 290 [165–502] 254 [146–432] 340 [195–589] 288 [161–493]	Serum ferritin, ng/ml	267 [155-457]	290 [165–502]	254 [146–432]	340 [195–589]	288 [161–493]
Serum albumin, g/dl $3.5\pm0.5$ $3.5\pm0.5$ $3.6\pm0.5$ $3.6\pm0.5$ $3.6\pm0.5$	Serum albumin, g/dl	3.5±0.5	3.5±0.5	3.5±0.5	3.6±0.5	3.5±0.5
Serum calcium, mg/dl         8./±0.6         8./±0.7         8.5±0.6         8.6±0.6         8.6±0.6	Serum calcium, mg/dl	8.7±0.6	8.7±0.7	8.5±0.6	8.6±0.6	8.6±0.6
Serum pnosphorous, mg/ai         4.9±1.2         4.9±1.1         5.1±1.2         5.0±1.2         5.1±1.2           Density with with servery set (ult = 0.0214/2, 402)         40/12/2, (42)         5.0±1.2         5.1±1.2	Serum phosphorous, mg/dl	4.7±1.2	4.9±1.1	5.1±1.2	5.U±1.Z	5.1±1.2
raratnyroid normone, pg/mi 262 [163–403] 406 [260–619] 330 [217–491] 297 [194–436] 316 [203–469]	Paratnyroid normone, pg/ml	202 [103-403]	400 [260-617]	330 [21/-491]	277 [174-436]	310[203-467]
Aikaine prosphatase, 10/L 04 [0/-110] 00 [00-114] 94 [/ 5-123] 01 [05-104] 08 [69-115]	Aikaline prosphatase, IU/L	04 [0/-1 IU]		74 [/ J-   Z J]		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Potossium mEa/	0.2 [0.0-7.0]	0.2 [J.0-7.1]	0.4 [0./-/.2]	0.3 [3./-/.U]	0.3 [3.7-7.2]
Ricarbonate $P(4) = 0.5$ $4.5 \pm 0.5$ $4.5 \pm 0.5$ $4.5 \pm 0.5$ $4.4 \pm 0.5$ Bicarbonate $P(4) = 0.5$ $2/4 + 3$ $2$	Ricarbonate mEq/L	4.4±0.5 2/+2	$-4.3 \pm 0.3$ 2/1 + 2	4.0±0.3	4.3±0.3	+.+≟0.3 22+2

 Table 1. Characteristics of patients who initiated dialysis between 2007 and 2011 in participating dialysis facilities at the time of initiation of dialysis stratified by race/ethnicity

## Table 1. Continued

Variable	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other
iv Medications in first 91-d period from date of first dialysis					
Cumulative iron, mg/mo	650 [0–1300]	700 [0–1300]	700 [0–1200]	500 [0–1100]	650 [0–1200]
ESA median week dose, units per wk	4714 [1500–12,000]	4714 [1467–12,000]	4669 [1506–11,721]	4809 [1516–11,859]	4800 [1558–11,776]
Geographic location, %					
Northeast	15	12	7	9	7
Midwest	26	16	5	9	9
West	22	11	53	65	48
South	38	61	34	17	36
Year of incidence, %					
2007	21	22	21	20	23
2008	21	21	21	20	23
2009	22	22	21	22	19
2010	21	20	21	22	20
2011	15	15	16	17	15

Data are presented as means±SDs, medians [interquartile ranges], or proportions where appropriate. H/o, history of; AV, arteriovenous; ESA, eryhtropoiesis stimulating agents.

<sup>a</sup>Includes Medicare Advantage plans, managed care Medicaid, and employer-based health insurance.

this increasing use of home dialysis makes it imperative to examine racial/ethnic differences in the use of and outcomes with PD and home HD. all-cause mortality, transfer to in-center HD, and kidney transplantation in individuals undergoing home dialysis.

Data from the national registry of patients on dialysis indicate that racial/ethnic minorities are less likely to be treated with home dialysis (PD or home HD).<sup>1,5</sup> Given the disparate effects of each dialysis modality on patients' lifestyle, it is important to ensure that this represents informed choice by patients or is dictated by their clinical condition rather than resulting from disparities in access to home dialysis. However, no study has examined whether the lower use of home dialysis by racial/ethnic minorities reflects differences in age, body size, or overall health, each of which is an important determinant of an individuals' ability to engage in self-care dialysis. Furthermore, there is substantial paucity of data for racial/ethnic differences in outcomes with home dialysis, such as the risk for death or transfer to in-center HD.6-9 There are no such data for Hispanics or Asians treated with PD and outcomes by race/ ethnicity for any population of patients treated with home HD.

In addition, racial/ethnic minorities are less likely to receive a kidney transplant.<sup>1,10</sup> Patients undergoing home dialysis are healthier, have better social support and socioeconomic status, and assume greater responsibility for their own care.<sup>3,11,12</sup> It is, thus, reasonable to postulate that racial/ethnic disparities in transplantation rates may not exist among individuals undergoing PD or home HD. However, this question has thus far not been examined.

Using data from a large dialysis provider in the United States, we undertook this study to test two hypotheses: (1) in patients starting maintenance dialysis, the underuse of home dialysis by racial/ethnic minorities cannot be explained by differences in age and health status; and (2) as with patients undergoing in-center HD, there are significant racial/ethnic differences in

# RESULTS

#### **Study Population**

Between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2011, 162,664 patients started maintenance dialysis in 2217 facilities operated by a single dialysis provider in 43 states and the District of Columbia. The analytic cohort comprised 162,050 patients with known race/ethnicity; this included 17,791 patients who underwent PD in 953 dialysis facilities and 2536 patients who underwent home HD in 423 dialysis facilities (Supplemental Figure 1). This also included 131 patients who were treated with PD and home HD at separate time points. Patient characteristics for the cohort stratified by race/ethnicity are summarized in Table 1. White patients were older and more likely to be men than other racial/ethnic groups. Black patients were more likely to have hypertension, and Hispanics were more likely to have diabetes.

# Racial/Ethnic Differences in Treatment with PD or Home HD

Compared with whites, the adjusted odds for treatment with PD were significantly lower for every racial/ethnic group (Figure 1A, Supplemental Table 1). The difference was least pronounced for Asians, who had 18% lower odds of being treated with PD, and most marked for blacks. The adjusted odds for treatment with home HD was also significantly lower for every racial/ethnic group compared with whites (Figure 1B, Supplemental Table 1). The largest difference was for Hispanics, with 75% lower odds for treatment with home HD,



**Figure 1.** Association of race/ethnicity with treatment with PD or home HD. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratio for blacks, Hispanics, Asians, and others (reference: whites) to be treated for at least one 91-day period with (A) PD or (B) home HD for 162,050 patients who started dialysis between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2011. Compared to whites, the adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence interval for (A) PD: black, 0.53 (0.50, 0.56); Hispanic, 0.57 (0.53, 0.61); Asian, 0.82 (0.72, 0.93); and Other, 0.60 (0.52, 0.68); and (B) Home HD: black, 0.40 (0.36, 0.44); Hispanic, 0.25 (0.21, 0.30); Asian, 0.53 (0.41, 0.69); and Other, 0.44 (0.33, 0.57).

and the smallest difference was for Asians. The racial/ethnic differences in use of home dialysis were similar irrespective of initial primary health insurance at the time of admission to the dialysis facility (Figure 2).

# Racial/Ethnic Differences in Risk of Death by Modality

The differences by race/ethnicity for patients treated with PD or home HD at the time of start of treatment with the respective home dialysis modality are summarized in Tables 2 and 3, respectively; the differences in characteristics of patients by race/ethnicity treated with in-center HD were similar to the entire cohort and are summarized in Supplemental Table 2. Over a period of 13 (interquartile range, 7–23) months, 2326 patients (13%) died while being treated with PD (10 deaths per 100 patient-years). Compared with whites, the adjusted risk of death was significantly lower in every racial/ethnic group (Figure 3, Supplemental Table 3). Of 2536 patients treated with home HD, 215 individuals (8%) died over the follow-up period



**Figure 2.** Association of race/ethnicity and initial primary health insurance with treatment with PD or home HD. Adjusted odds for blacks, Hispanics, Asians, and others (reference: whites) to be treated for at least one 91-day period with (A) PD or (B) home HD for 162,050 patients who started dialysis between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2011, stratified by initial primary health insurance. The group 'other' includes patients with Medicare Advantage plans, managed care Medicaid, and employer–based health insurance.

of 11 (interquartile range, 6–17) months (7 deaths per 100 patientyears). Compared with whites, the risk for death was significantly lower among blacks but similar for other racial/ethnic groups treated with home HD (Figure 2, Supplemental Table 3). Among 140,389 patients treated with in-center HD, 33,937 patients (24%) died over the follow-up period of 16 (interquartile range, 7–30) months (15 deaths per 100 patient-years). Compared with whites, the adjusted risk for death was significantly lower in every racial/ethnic group (Figure 2, Supplemental Table 3).

# Racial/Ethnic Differences in Transfer to In-Center HD for Patients Treated with PD or Home HD

Of the patients treated with PD or home HD, 3138 (18%) and 436 (17%) patients, respectively, transferred to in-center HD (13 and 15 transfers per 100 patient-years, respectively). Black patients treated with PD or home HD had a significantly higher risk for transfer to in-center HD (Figure 3, Supplemental Table 4). There was no significant difference in adjusted hazards for Hispanics treated with PD or home HD to transfer to in-center

Variable	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other
No. of subjects	10,123	4094	2263	713	598
Initial modality, %ª					
In-center HD	32	37	30	25	27
PD	68	63	70	75	73
Home HD	<1	<1	0	0	0
Interval from start of dialysis to	31 [9–165]	41 [11–214]	27 [9–137]	26 [9–136]	23 [6–128]
treatment with modality, d					
Age, yr	59±15	$51 \pm 14$	50±16	$55 \pm 16$	54±15
Men, %	60	48	56	53	57
Primary health insurance, %					
Medicare	49	42	45	38	43
Medicaid	3	4	11	7	6
Initially uninsured	3	4	5	4	4
Veterans Affairs	1	1	<1	<1	<1
Other <sup>b</sup>	44	50	39	51	47
Cause of ESRD, %					
Diabetes	39	36	50	35	49
Hypertension	24	38	22	28	24
Glomerular disease	16	16	14	23	15
Other	21	10	13	14	12
H/o previous transplant, %	3	2	2	3	2
Comorbidities, %					
Diabetes	61	63	70	60	68
Hypertension	51	63	47	51	46
Congestive heart failure	19	23	18	14	18
Atherosclerotic heart disease	19	14	13	14	16
Other cardiovascular	17	13	12	9	14
Dyslipidemia	48	43	44	51	42
Baseline body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	28±6	29±7	27±6	24±4	28±6
Laboratory variables from first					
91-d period of treatment with PD					
Hemoglobin, g/dl	11.6±1.3	11.2±1.4	11.4±1.3	11.5±1.3	$11.4 \pm 1.4$
Iron saturation, %	27 [21–35]	28 [22–35]	28 [22–36]	30 [24–40]	27 [21–35]
Serum ferritin, ng/ml	286 [146–536]	327 [165–612]	273 [136–530]	353 [183–637]	294 [142–581]
Serum albumin, g/dl	3.7±0.5	3.6±0.5	3.6±0.5	3.7±0.5	3.7±0.5
Weekly total Kt/V	2.5±0.8	2.4±0.8	$2.5 \pm 0.8$	2.6±0.8	2.6±0.8
Residual renal Kt/V	1.1±0.8	0.9±0.8	0.9±0.8	1.0±0.8	1.0±0.8
Serum calcium, mg/dl	8.9±0.6	8.7±0.7	8.6±0.7	8.8±0.7	8.7±0.7
Serum phosphorous, mg/dl	5.0±1.3	5.0±1.3	5.2±1.3	5.1±1.2	5.0±1.2
Parathyroid hormone, pg/ml	251 [152–397]	414 [260–665]	335 [220–511]	284 [173–458]	290 [194–456]
Alkaline phosphatase, IU/L	80 [64–104]	82 [65–107]	89 [70–115]	74 [59–96]	80 [65–105]
Hemoglobin A1C, %	6.8 [6.0–7.7]	6.8 [5.9–7.8]	6.9 [6.1–7.9]	6.6 [5.9–7.6]	6.8 [6.1–8.1]
Potassium, mEq/L	4.2±0.5	4.1±0.5	4.3±0.6	4.3±0.6	4.2±0.5
Bicarbonate, mEq/L	25±3	25±3	24±3	24±3	24±3
4-h D/P creatinine ratio	0.65±0.12	0.65±0.12	0.65±0.13	0.64±0.13	0.66±0.11
iv Medication from first 91-d period					
of treatment with PD					
Cumulative iron, mg/mo	0 [0–325]	0 [0–300]	100 [0–400]	0 [0–300]	0 [0–350]
ESA median week dose, units	4992 [1650–11,971]	5648 [1886–13,514]	5067 [1703–11,622]	4840 [1400–11,000]	4950 [1800–11,000]
PD modality, %					
Initial treatment with APD	58	58	60	58	47
Ever treated with APD	86	86	88	84	84

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of patients stratified by race/ethnicity treated with PD at the time of initiation of PD

### Table 2. Continued

Variable	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other
Geographic location, %					
Northeast	13	11	6	8	7
Midwest	25	16	6	9	10
West	20	10	48	60	48
South	42	63	40	23	36
Year of incidence, %					
2007	19	21	19	22	19
2008	20	20	19	19	22
2009	22	21	21	21	21
2010	23	23	23	22	21
2011	16	15	17	17	16

Data are presented as means ±SDs, medians [interquartile ranges], or proportions where appropriate. H/o, history of; D/P, 4-hour dialysate to plasma ratio; ESA, eryhtropoiesis stimulating agents; APD, auotmoated peritoneal dialysis.

<sup>a</sup>Of patients with an assigned modality during the first 91-day period of dialysis (n=15,706).

<sup>b</sup>Includes Medicare Advantage plans, managed care Medicaid, and employer-based health insurance.

HD. Although Asians and other racial groups treated with PD had lower risks for transfer to in-center HD, there was no significant difference in risk for these groups treated with home HD compared with whites (Figure 4, Supplemental Table 4).

# Racial/Ethnic Differences in Receiving a Kidney Transplant by Modality

During the follow-up period, 1435 (8%), 221 (9%), and 4580 (3%) patients undergoing PD, home HD, and in-center HD, respectively, received a kidney transplant (yielding six, eight, and two transplants per 100 patient-years, respectively). Compared with whites, the probability of receiving a kidney transplant was significantly lower among blacks irrespective of dialysis modality, Hispanics treated with PD or in-center HD, and Asians and others undergoing in-center HD (Figure 5, Supplemental Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

Analysis of this large, contemporary, and nationally representative cohort of patients initiating maintenance dialysis provides the first comprehensive assessment of racial/ethnic differences in use of and outcomes with home dialysis and allows us to make a few key conclusions. First, every racial/ethnic minority group in the United States is significantly less likely to be treated with home dialysis than whites, and demographic and clinical characteristics are insufficient to explain this differential use. Second, as for patients treated with in-center HD, racial/ethnic minorities treated with PD have a significantly lower risk of death than whites and a significantly lower probability of receiving a kidney transplant. Third, blacks treated with home HD had a lower risk of death and a lower probability of undergoing kidney transplantation compared with whites. Fourth, the risk for transfer to in-center HD was significantly higher for blacks treated with PD or home HD and lower for Asians and others treated with PD compared with whites.

The national registry of patients on dialysis, the US Renal Data System, has long reported that racial/ethnic minorities are significantly less likely to use PD or home HD.1 Our analysis indicates that demographic and clinical differences are insufficient to explain the lower use of home dialysis by racial/ethnic minorities. Each minority group was significantly younger and had a lower prevalence of coexisting cardiovascular illnesses, factors generally associated with a greater use of home dialysis.<sup>5,12–14</sup> Moreover, there were no meaningful differences in laboratory parameters as surrogate measures of health to explain the lower use of home dialysis. In the absence of demographic and clinical variables explaining the differential use, it is likely that these differences may arise from patient preferences, social differences (such as sufficient space at home and ability to afford the incremental expense of utilities), or factors associated with health care delivery (such as availability of predialysis nephrology care or home dialysis in communities where minorities live) or physician or provider perception of patients' ability to perform home dialysis. Most of these issues have never been systematically examined with two exceptions. First, in an analysis of the period from 1995 to 2003, the availability of PD services was demonstrably incongruent with the population density of ESRD.<sup>15</sup> Second, two separate studies have shown that patients who live in black majority communities or are treated in facilities located in such communities are significantly less likely to have received predialysis nephrology care.16,17 No such data are available for other racial/ethnic groups. The need to ensure equitable access to home dialysis is even more urgent now as the use of both PD and home HD are seeing unprecedented and rapid growth, and these racial/ethnic differences need additional investigation.

Our analysis is the first multicenter and nationally representative cohort study to compare the racial/ethnic differences in mortality among patients treated with PD or home HD in the United States. The lower risk for death in racial minorities treated with PD compared with whites is consistent with what has been previously reported using data from the US Renal

Table 3.	Baseline characteristics of patients stratified by race/ethnicity treated with home HD at the time of initiation of home
HD	

Variable	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other
No. of subjects	1,753	525	139	61	58
Initial modality, %ª	·				
In-center HD	65	74	71	58	67
PD	3	5	3	0	0
Home HD	31	21	26	42	33
Interval from start of dialysis to	243 [85–489]	375 [158–697]	291 [112–789]	224 [45–459]	320 [111–648]
	55+14	47+12	47+15	48+15	51+15
Age, yi Mon %	55 45	47 - 12	47 ± 13	40±15	72
Primany health insurance %	05	00	07	02	72
Modicaro	/1	22	20	20	52
Medicale	41	33	5	5	5
Initially uningured	2	2	2	2	0
	2	3	2	5	0
	ا 52	۲ ۲0	E2	54	12
Other	23	20	53	54	43
Cause of ESRD, %	25	20	41	20	40
Diabetes	30	30	41	37	43
Rypertension	10	30	19	10	20
Giomerular disease	10	20	19	20	/
Other	29	12	21	15	22
H/o previous transpiant, %	0	/	5	/	Z
Comorbidities, %	(0	(0		()	10
Diabetes	60	60	66	62	60
Hypertension	70	85	68	/5	69
Congestive heart failure	50	50	51	41	48
Atherosclerotic heart disease	27	25	31	30	19
Other cardiovascular	23	20	23	25	14
Dyslipidemia	44	46	41	48	33
Access type at start of home HD	05			10	10
Central venous catheter	25	19	23	18	19
AV fistula	59	61	63	64	6/
AV graft	8	13	8	11	5
Unknown	8	7	6	7	9
Baseline body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	. 30±8	30±8	29±7	26±5	29±7
Laboratory variables from first 91-d period	t de la constante de la consta				
of treatment with home HD					
Hemoglobin, g/dl	11.2±1.3	11.0±1.3	11.4±1.3	$11.1 \pm 1.0$	11.0±1.3
Iron saturation, %	25 [20-33]	26 [21–32]	27 [23–32]	25 [21–33]	25 [22–31]
Serum territin, ng/ml	351 [192–594]	403 [244–644]	370 [206–640]	343 [16/-662]	316 [140–678]
Serum albumin, g/dl	3.9±0.5	4.0±0.4	4.1±0.4	4.0±0.4	4.0±0.4
Urea reduction ratio, %	42±9	40±8	42±8	44±10	42±11
Serum calcium, mg/dl	8.9±0.6	8.9±0.6	8.9±0.6	8.7±0.6	8.9±0.6
Serum phosphorous, mg/dl	5.1±1.2	5.0±1.1	5.2±1.2	5.5±1.2	5.1±1.3
Parathyroid hormone, pg/ml	305 [191–477]	502 [319–742]	346 [195–493]	425 [259–644]	290 [189–443]
Alkaline phosphatase, IU/L	/9 [62–106]	/8 [63–103]	//[65–105]	80 [61–118]	/3 [60–107]
Hemoglobin A1C, %	6.7 [5.8–7.8]	6.8 [5.8–8.4]	6.5 [5./–/.8]	6.5 [5.7–7.9]	6.1 [5./–/.0]
Potassium, mEq/L	4.4±0.6	4.3±0.6	4.4±0.6	4.6±0.5	4.4±0.5
Bicarbonate, mEq/L	24±2	24±3	23±2	23±3	23±3
iv Medications in first 91-d period of treatment with home HD					
Cumulative iron, mg/mo	0 [0–300]	50 [0–400]	0 [0–200]	100 [0–200]	200 [0–400]
ESA median week dose, units	5097 [1650–12,099]	4872 [1941–11,880	) 5371 [2200–11,611]	2438 [0-8800]	4180 [1532–11,313]

### Table 3. Continued

Variable	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Other
Geographic location, %					
Northeast	17	15	13	13	21
Midwest	28	24	12	20	9
West	20	8	40	48	47
South	35	53	35	20	24
Year of incidence, %					
2007	24	30	36	16	28
2008	27	22	24	36	26
2009	22	27	15	25	17
2010	18	14	14	13	17
2011	10	7	11	10	12

Data are presented as means±SDs, medians [interquartile ranges], or proportions where appropriate. H/o, history of; AV, arteriovenous; ESA, eryhropoiesis stimulating agents.

<sup>a</sup>Of patients with an assigned modality during the first 91-day period of dialysis (n=1896).

<sup>b</sup>Includes Medicare Advantage plans, managed care Medicaid, and employer-based health insurance.

Data System, one single center of patients on PD in the United States, and a multicenter cohort study from Brazil.<sup>1,6,18-21</sup> Minority populations treated with PD in our cohort were younger and had fewer coexisting illnesses; hence, it is possible that the differences reflect residual confounding. Several hypotheses have been put forth to explain the better health status of minorities starting dialysis, such as higher risk for death in minorities in early stages of kidney disease or renal-limited disease, particularly in blacks. However, there is no direct evidence to support any of these hypotheses. It is also possible that the lower transplantation rate in racial/ethnic minorities may have made it more likely for the minority group to be enriched with healthier patients over longer follow-up. However, our analytic plan using competing risk regression took into account this potential source of bias. To our knowledge, the lower risk for death among blacks treated with home HD compared with whites has heretofore not been reported. The number of deaths among other racial/ethnic groups was very small, and hence, our analyses did not have sufficient statistical power for us to definitively conclude if their survival differs from that of whites treated with home HD.

We also observed a significantly higher likelihood of blacks treated with PD or home HD to transfer to in-center HD. Although a higher risk for blacks has been reported in several but not all studies of patients treated with PD, our investigation is the first to show this for patients undergoing home HD.<sup>6–9</sup> In contrast, Asians and others treated with PD had a lower risk for transfer to in-center HD compared with whites. Transfer to in-center HD, also referred to as technique failure, often results from an intercurrent therapy-related complication (such as peritonitis with PD), change in health status or social circumstances that precludes self-care, or patient burnout. Some studies have shown a higher risk for peritonitis among blacks<sup>22,23</sup>; there are no such studies that have examined differential risk for any of these events for any other racial group treated with PD or among those treated with home HD. It is also possible that some of these differences reflect biologic

responses to disease and/or treatment. There is a need to understand these issues better, because transfer of patients on home dialysis to in-center HD often results in significant morbidity for patients and incurs considerable expense to the health care system.<sup>24</sup> There is also a compelling need to develop and test interventions that mitigate the racial differences in risk for transfer to in-center HD.

Our study also shows that, even among patients treated with PD, racial/ethnic minorities are significantly less likely to receive a kidney transplant. Although racial disparities in access to transplantation are well established and also evident in our cohort of patients undergoing in-center HD, the persistence of this difference in the subgroup of patients undergoing PD is surprising. In the United States, patients treated with PD are significantly more likely to receive kidney transplant, probably because these individuals are younger and healthier, have a higher socioeconomic status, and are motivated to engage in self-caremedical and social characteristics that are all associated with a higher probability of receiving a kidney transplant.<sup>11</sup> Furthermore, minorities treated with PD were significantly younger than whites. Future studies need to examine racial differences in probability of being referred for kidney transplant, effect of insurance coverage, particularly for Hispanics, on such referral, and time to listing. Among patients undergoing home HD, disparities were evident only for blacks and not for other racial/ ethnic groups. This is likely to represent the relatively small number of events in these other groups, making our analyses underpowered to make definitive conclusions.

There are several reasons why our study has considerable external validity. The study cohort included patients from >2000 dialysis facilities in 43 states and the District of Columbia and included substantial granularity of data on clinical characteristics, including results of laboratory tests and medication use that have heretofore not been available for patients undergoing home dialysis. In that context, this is the largest cohort of patients on home HD ever described. Notwithstanding the strength, our findings have to be interpreted in light of their limitations, which



Α

**Figure 3.** Association of race/ethnicity with mortality among patients undergoing PD or home HD. Unadjusted and adjusted hazards ratio for blacks, Hispanics, Asians, and others for all-cause mortality among those treated with (A) PD, (B) home HD, and (C) in-center HD. Hazard ratios are presented for models that are (1) unadjusted; (2) adjusted for age, sex, and diabetes; and (3) fully adjusted. Compared to whites, the hazards ratios, adjusted for age, gender, and diabetes mellitus, and 95% confidence interval for all-cause mortality among those treated with (A) PD: black,

primarily stem from the lack of availability of data on socioeconomic characteristics or predialysis care that could have shed light on the reasons for racial/ethnic differences described herein.

In conclusion, there are substantial racial/ethnic differences in use of and outcomes with home dialysis in the United States. Because ESRD disproportionately afflicts racial/ethnic minorities, understanding the reasons for the racial/ethnic differences in patient-centered outcomes is imperative to make sustainable improvements for the entire population of patients undergoing maintenance dialysis. With an unprecedented growth in the number of patients undergoing home dialysis in the United States, ensuring equitable access and improving health outcomes for patients undergoing PD and home HD have become even more important.

## **CONCISE METHODS**

#### Study Population and Data Source

This cohort study comprised all patients  $\geq$ 18 years of age who initiated maintenance dialysis in 2007–2011, had data on race/ethnicity available, and received care in facilities operated by DaVita Inc. for at least 60 days. Follow-up data were available through December 31, 2011. The study cohort was divided into five groups on the basis of race/ethnicity as white, black, Hispanic, Asian, or other.

The entire follow-up period for each patient was divided into successive 91-day periods from the date of first dialysis, and follow-up was available for  $\leq 5$  years. Each patient was assigned the dialysis modality (PD, home HD, or in-center HD) with which they were treated for >45 days of any given 91-day period as long as the patient was exposed to the modality for 60 consecutive days (which may have spanned more than one 91-day period).<sup>12</sup> Patients were labeled as having ever been treated with PD or home HD if they were assigned to the treatment modality for at least one 91-day period during followup. The analyses for patients treated with in-center HD were limited to individuals who were not treated with any other dialysis modality at any point during follow-up. The dialysis access with which the patient was treated for >45 days was assigned as the access for each 91-day period. Each patient was also assigned a dialysis facility where the patient received care for >45 days in a given 91-day period. All routine blood and dialysate samples were shipped to a central laboratory and analyzed within 24 hours of collection; the results were summarized for each 91-day period as arithmetic means. Similarly, all hemodynamic parameters and doses of parenteral medications were summarized for each 91-day period.

0.63 (0.56, 0.71); Hispanic, 0.64 (0.55, 0.75); Asian, 0.49 (0.38, 0.64); and other, 0.75 (0.59, 0.95); (B) home HD: black, 0.57 (0.37, 0.89); Hispanic, 0.85 (0.45, 1.60); Asian, 0.58 (0.19, 1.77); and other, 0.37 (0.09, 1.48); and (C) in-center HD: black, 0.73 (0.72, 0.75); Hispanic, 0.62 (0.60, 0.64); Asian, 0.55 (0.52, 0.59); and other, 0.67 (0.63, 0.71).



Figure 4. Association of race/ethnicity with transfer to in-center HD among patients undergoing PD or home HD. Unadjusted and adjusted hazards ratio for blacks, Hispanics, Asians, and others for transferring to in-center HD among those treated with (A) PD and (B) home HD. Hazard ratios are presented for models that are (1) unadjusted; (2) adjusted for age, sex, and diabetes; and (3) fully adjusted. Compared to whites, the hazards ratios, adjusted for age, gender, and diabetes mellitus, and 95% confidence interval for transfer to in-center HD among those treated with (A) PD: black, 1.37 (1.26, 1.49); Hispanic, 1.07 (0.96, 1.19); Asian, 0.74 (0.60, 0.91); and other, 0.86 (0.69, 1.06); and (B) home HD: black, 1.41 (1.12, 1.77); Hispanic, 1.45 (0.97, 2.16); Asian, 0.56 (0.25, 1.28); and other, 1.13 (0.60, 2.14).

#### Outcomes

The association of race/ethnicity with treatment with PD or home HD at any follow-up period was examined for all patients starting maintenance dialysis. The racial/ethnic differences in the following three treatment outcomes were examined separately for each dialysis modality (PD, home HD, and in-center HD): (1) all-cause mortality, (2) transfer to in-center HD (among patients treated with PD or home HD), and (3) kidney transplantation. The follow-up period comprised the interval from the date of first treatment with the modality to the occurrence of one of the following events (whichever occurred first): kidney transplantation, transfer to a facility operated by another dialysis provider, end of





Figure 5. Association of race/ethnicity with probability of receiving kidney transplantation among patients undergoing PD or home HD. Unadjusted and adjusted hazards ratios for blacks, Hispanics, Asians, and others for receiving a kidney transplant among those treated with (A) PD, (B) home HD, and (C) in-center HD. Hazard ratios are presented for models that are (1) unadjusted; (2) adjusted for age, sex, and diabetes; and (3) fully adjusted. Compared to whites, the hazards ratios, adjusted for age, gender, and diabetes mellitus, and 95% confidence interval for undergoing kidney transplantation among those treated with (A) PD: black, 0.54 (0.47, 0.62); Hispanic, 0.52 (0.44, 0.62); Asian, 0.88 (0.69, 1.12); and other, 0.77 (0.58, 1.03); (B) home HD: black, 0.57 (0.40, 0.83); Hispanic, 0.84 (0.46, 1.53); Asian, 0.81 (0.36, 1.83); and other, 0.51 (0.16, 1.62); and (C) in-center HD: black, 0.39 (0.36, 0.42); Hispanic, 0.52 (0.49, 0.58); Asian, 0.78 (0.66, 0.91); and other, 0.70 (0.61, 0.82).

Unadjusted Age, Gender, Diabetes Adjusted Fully Adjusted

Hispanic

Asian

Other

0.2

Black

administrative follow-up, or death. In addition, for the analyses of death or kidney transplantation among patients treated with PD or home HD, individuals were also censored at the time of transfer to in-center HD.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SDs, medians and interquartile ranges, or proportions where appropriate. Logistic regression analyses were used to determine the odds of treatment with PD or home HD of blacks, Hispanics, Asians, or others compared with whites. These analyses were performed (1) unadjusted and (2) adjusted with inclusion of age, sex, primary health insurance, geographic region (northeast, south, midwest, or west) at the time of first dialysis, incidence year, cause of ESRD, history of previous kidney transplant, diabetes, hypertension, congestive heart failure, atherosclerotic heart disease, other cardiovascular disease, and vascular access type at the time of first dialysis as additional covariates.

Separate time to event analyses were performed using Cox proportional hazards models to compare the probabilities for allcause mortality and kidney transplantation among different racial/ ethnic groups separately for patients treated with each of three dialysis modalities; for patients treated with PD or home HD, an additional outcome of transfer to in-center HD was examined. Cause-specific hazard ratios for each outcome were computed for patients treated with each dialysis modality using the competing risk model by Fine and Gray.<sup>25</sup> The primary analysis examined outcomes with data adjusted for age, sex, and diabetes. In addition, a sensitivity analysis was done with adjustment for age; sex; primary health insurance; geographic location at the time of start of PD, home HD, or in-center HD; incidence year; cause of ESRD; history of previous kidney transplant; diabetes; hypertension; congestive heart failure; atherosclerotic heart disease; other cardiovascular disease; time from the start of first dialysis to the start of PD or home HD; body mass index in the first 91-day period of treatment with the modality for patients treated with in-center or home HD; and vascular access type in the first 91-day period of treatment with the modality for patients treated with in-center or home HD. Laboratory variables from the first 91-day period of treatment with the modality (hemoglobin, serum calcium, phosphorous, parathyroid hormone, ferritin, iron saturation, bicarbonate, and potassium) and modality-specific variables during the first quarter of assigned modality were also included for adjustment (PD: dose of dialysis measured as weekly total Kt/Vurea, residual kidney function measured as weekly renal Kt/V<sub>urea</sub>, use of automated PD, and peritoneal solute transport rate measured as 4-hour dialysate-to-plasma ratio of creatinine from the first 6 months of start of PD; home HD: urea reduction ratio).

Data were missing for 8%, 2%, and 7% of patients, on average, at the start of PD, home HD, and in-center HD, respectively. Multiple imputation was used to account for missing data for the competing risk analyses. In logistic regression analyses, missing data categories were used for access type and facility region.

All analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and Stata, version 13.1 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work in this manuscript has been performed with the support of grants from the National Institutes of Health: R01DK95668 (to R.M. and K.K.-Z.), R21AG047306 (to R.M., M.Z.M., and K.K.-Z.), and R01DK099165 (to R.M.).

## DISCLOSURES

None.

## REFERENCES

- US Renal Data System: Annual Data Report. US Department of Public Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Bethesda, MD, National Institutes of Health, 2014
- Chiu YW, Teitelbaum I, Misra M, de Leon EM, Adzize T, Mehrotra R: Pill burden, adherence, hyperphosphatemia, and quality of life in maintenance dialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 4: 1089–1096, 2009
- Morton RL, Tong A, Howard K, Snelling P, Webster AC: The views of patients and carers in treatment decision making for chronic kidney disease: Systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative studies. *BMJ* 340: c112, 2010
- 4. Rivara MB, Mehrotra R: The changing landscape of home dialysis in the United States. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 23: 586–591, 2014
- Stack AG: Determinants of modality selection among incident US dialysis patients: Results from a national study. J Am Soc Nephrol 13: 1279–1287, 2002
- Korbet SM, Shih D, Cline KN, Vonesh EF: Racial differences in survival in an urban peritoneal dialysis program. Am J Kidney Dis 34: 713–720, 1999
- Jaar BG, Plantinga LC, Crews DC, Fink NE, Hebah N, Coresh J, Kliger AS, Powe NR: Timing, causes, predictors and prognosis of switching from peritoneal dialysis to hemodialysis: A prospective study. *BMC Nephrol* 10: 3, 2009
- Shen JI, Mitani AA, Saxena AB, Goldstein BA, Winkelmayer WC: Determinants of peritoneal dialysis technique failure in incident US patients. Perit Dial Int 33: 155–166, 2013
- Kumar VA, Sidell MA, Yang WT, Jones JP: Predictors of peritonitis, hospital days, and technique survival for peritoneal dialysis patients in a managed care setting. *Perit Dial Int* 34: 171–178, 2014
- Gill J, Dong J, Rose C, Johnston O, Landsberg D, Gill J: The effect of race and income on living kidney donation in the United States. J Am Soc Nephrol 24: 1872–1879, 2013
- Mehrotra R, Chiu YW, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Bargman J, Vonesh E: Similar outcomes with hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis in patients with end-stage renal disease. Arch Intern Med 171: 110–118, 2011
- Kuttykrishnan S, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Arah OA, Cheung AK, Brunelli S, Heagerty PJ, Katz R, Molnar MZ, Nissenson A, Ravel V, Streja E, Himmelfarb J, Mehrotra R: Predictors of treatment with dialysis modalities in observational studies for comparative effectiveness research. Nephrol Dial Transplant 30: 1208–1217, 2015
- Vonesh EF, Snyder JJ, Foley RN, Collins AJ: The differential impact of risk factors on mortality in hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis. *Kidney* Int 66: 2389–2401, 2004
- Walker DR, Inglese GW, Sloand JA, Just PM: Dialysis facility and patient characteristics associated with utilization of home dialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 5: 1649–1654, 2010
- Wang V, Lee SY, Patel UD, Weiner BJ, Ricketts TC, Weinberger M: Geographic and temporal trends in peritoneal dialysis services in the United States between 1995 and 2003. Am J Kidney Dis 55: 1079– 1087, 2010

#### CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY www.jasn.org

- Prakash S, Rodriguez RA, Austin PC, Saskin R, Fernandez A, Moist LM, O'Hare AM: Racial composition of residential areas associates with access to pre-ESRD nephrology care. J Am Soc Nephrol 21: 1192– 1199, 2010
- Hall YN, Xu P, Chertow GM, Himmelfarb J: Characteristics and performance of minority-serving dialysis facilities. *Health Serv Res* 49: 971– 991, 2014
- Kucirka LM, Grams ME, Lessler J, Hall EC, James N, Massie AB, Montgomery RA, Segev DL: Association of race and age with survival among patients undergoing dialysis. JAMA 306: 620–626, 2011
- Arce CM, Goldstein BA, Mitani AA, Winkelmayer WC: Trends in relative mortality between Hispanic and non-Hispanic whites initiating dialysis: A retrospective study of the US Renal Data System. *Am J Kidney Dis* 62: 312–321, 2013
- Yan G, Norris KC, Yu AJ, Ma JZ, Greene T, Yu W, Cheung AK: The relationship of age, race, and ethnicity with survival in dialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 8: 953–961, 2013
- Fernandes NM, Hoekstra T, van den Beukel TO, Tirapani L, Bastos K, Pecoits-Filho R, Qureshi AR, Dekker FW, Bastos MG, Divino-Filho JC: Association of ethnicity and survival in peritoneal dialysis:

A cohort study of incident patients in Brazil. Am J Kidney Dis 62: 89–96, 2013

- 22. Golper TA, Brier ME, Bunke M, Schreiber MJ, Bartlett DK, Hamilton RW, Strife F, Hamburger RJ: Risk factors for peritonitis in long-term peritoneal dialysis: The Network 9 peritonitis and catheter survival studies. Academic Subcommittee of the Steering Committee of the Network 9 Peritonitis and Catheter Survival Studies. Am J Kidney Dis 28: 428–436, 1996
- Oo TN, Roberts TL, Collins AJ: A comparison of peritonitis rates from the United States Renal Data System database: CAPD versus continuous cycling peritoneal dialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 45: 372–380, 2005
- Shih YC, Guo A, Just PM, Mujais S: Impact of initial dialysis modality and modality switches on Medicare expenditures of end-stage renal disease patients. *Kidney Int* 68: 319–329, 2005
- 25. Fine JP, Gray RJ: A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. J Am Stat Med Assoc 94: 496–509, 1999

This article contains supplemental material online at http://jasn.asnjournals. org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1681/ASN.2015050472/-/DCSupplemental.