

Cognitive Impairment after Kidney Transplant: a Hidden Consequence of Depression?

To the Editor,

In their recent study, Chu *et al.*¹ assessed the trajectory of cognitive performance after kidney transplant for people with and without significant frailty. Cognitive function was measured serially before and after transplant using the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination (3MS). Despite its many strengths, the two major findings of the study are currently less robust than the authors suggest.

The authors firstly conclude “both frail and nonfrail recipients experience short-term cognitive improvement post-transplant,” based upon repetition of the 3MS within 3 months. However, such short-term repetition is subject to a significant practice effect—*i.e.*, implicit and explicit learning effects—such that the average person is expected to improve by 2.8 points on the 3MS when repeated at this interval.² Consequently, there is a risk that the observed improvement is an artifact of repeat cognitive testing, rather than a true change in participants’ cognitive ability.

Citing a previous study reporting a high prevalence of cognitive impairment in kidney transplant recipients,³ Chu *et al.* next report greater cognitive decline over 4 years in patients with higher baseline frailty. In neither study, however, were the results adjusted for depressive symptoms. This represents a key limitation for several reasons: Firstly, significant depressive symptoms are reported by nearly a third of people who have received a kidney transplant.⁴ Secondly, depressive symptoms commonly lead to deficits in attention, memory, and executive function, as well as poor effort on cognitive testing.⁵ Thirdly, the prevalence of depressive symptoms in the frail subgroup, who apparently experienced cognitive decline, was three times greater than the remaining participants at baseline, yet they were not retested after transplant. As such, the apparent cognitive decline observed in frail patients may in fact be a consequence of underlying depressive symptoms. Adjustment for depressive symptoms at 4-year follow-up, or even exclusion of those depressed at baseline, would help to refute this suggestion.

The influence of depression on cognitive function has important clinical implications for people who receive a kidney transplant. The adverse effects of depression on kidney trans-

plant outcomes, including increased risk of mortality, are well established.⁴ If accounting for depression indeed attenuates the findings of this study, this suggests that depression could lead to morbidity and mortality through deleterious effects on cognitive function. As a common and treatable comorbidity in those receiving a kidney transplant, greater scrutiny of depression as a potential cause of cognitive impairment is warranted, both in this study and beyond.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. Moulton is supported by the JMAS Sim Fellowship funded by the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh.



DISCLOSURES

None.

REFERENCES

1. Chu NM, Gross AL, Shaffer AA, Haugen CE, Norman SP, Xue Q-L, et al.: Frailty and changes in cognitive function after kidney transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 30: 336–345, 2019
2. Tombaugh TN: Test-retest reliable coefficients and 5-year change scores for the MMSE and 3MS. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 20: 485–503, 2005
3. Gupta A, Mahnken JD, Johnson DK, Thomas TS, Subramaniam D, Polshak T, et al.: Prevalence and correlates of cognitive impairment in kidney transplant recipients. *BMC Nephrol* 18: 158, 2017
4. Zelle DM, Dorland HF, Rosmalen JG, Corpeleijn E, Gans RO, Homan van der Heide JJ, et al.: Impact of depression on long-term outcome after renal transplantation: A prospective cohort study. *Transplantation* 94: 1033–1040, 2012
5. Rock PL, Roiser JP, Riedel WJ, Blackwell AD: Cognitive impairment in depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 44: 2029–2040, 2014

See related Letter to the Editor, “Authors’ Reply,” on pages 1548–1549.

Calum D. Moulton ¹, Thahesh Tharmaraja²,
Jonathan L. Dumbrell ³, and Christopher
W. P. Hopkins⁴

¹Department of Psychological Medicine, King’s College London, London, UK;

²School of Medicine, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK;

³Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; and

⁴Psychological Medicine Service, Berkshire Healthcare National

Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at www.jasn.org.

Correspondence: Dr. Calum D. Moulton, Department of Psychological Medicine, King’s College London, Weston Education Centre, 10 Cutcombe Road, London SE5 9RJ, UK. Email: calum.moulton@kcl.ac.uk

Copyright © 2019 by the American Society of Nephrology

Health Service Foundation Trust, Royal Berkshire Hospital,
Reading, UK

JASN 30: 1547–1548, 2019.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2019030317>

Authors' Reply

We thank Moulton *et al.*¹ for the valuable comments. We carefully considered practice effects when designing our study,² and improvements in cognitive function cannot be attributed to retest effects alone.

First, retest effects are attributed to the content of neuropsychological tests and the context of administration.³ In this study, cognitive testing was 1 of 14 tests conducted in an hour-long assessment, making it especially difficult for patients to recall or learn content through repeated testing.

Second, the largest retest effects typically occur between the first two assessment cycles (at kidney transplantation [KT] and 1-month post-KT) and dissipate thereafter.⁴ In a postpublication sensitivity analysis, we refined trajectories in more short-term intervals: knots at 1-month, 3-months, 6-months, and 1-year post-KT. We observed improvements between KT and 1-month in both frail (slope=0.39 points/wk) and nonfrail recipients (slope=0.30 points/wk), which may represent a combination of retest effects and KT recovery effects. However, between 3- and 6-months post-KT, we saw continued substantial improvement in cognitive function among frail (slope=0.32 points/wk) and plateaued trajectories among nonfrail recipients in spite of dissipating retest effects. This supports our original inferences that both groups improved in the short-term due in large part to recovery of kidney function.

Third, based on prior studies, retest effects may not differ by group demographics and factors,⁵ and thus are unlikely to differ by frailty.

Furthermore, we made the critical decision not to adjust for depression for two reasons: (1) the frailty phenotype incorporates exhaustion, measured using two items from the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), and (2) we believe depression to be a potential mediator on the causal pathway. As Moulton *et al.*¹ suggested, depression is common and related to frailty in KT. Based on the frailty phenotype model, the underlying process of multisystem dysfunction catalyzes depressive symptoms, potentially leading to clinical depression.

Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at www.jasn.org.

Correspondence: Dr. Mara A. McAdams-Demarco or Dr. Nadia M. Chu, Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, 615 N. Wolfe St. Suite W6033, Baltimore, MD 21205. E-mail: mara@jhu.edu or nchu8@jhu.edu

Copyright © 2019 by the American Society of Nephrology

Depressive symptoms were also linked to cognitive dysfunction in KT⁶; studies are needed to assess the temporality of this association. As such, we strongly believe depression may be one pathway linking frailty and cognitive decline in KT.

Nevertheless, we excluded recipients with severe symptoms (CES-D \geq 16) at KT ($n=74$, 11.5%) in a postpublication sensitivity analysis. We found inferences remained robust: nonfrail (slope=+0.14 points/wk) and frail (slope=+0.18 points/wk) recipients experienced improvements by 3-months post-KT. After 1-year, frail recipients experienced significant declines in cognitive function (slope=-0.03 points/wk), while nonfrail recipients plateaued (slope=0.01 points/wk).

Given the aforementioned arguments, we believe the limitations brought forth by Moulton *et al.*¹ have little, if any, impact on previously published inferences.

DISCLOSURES

None.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Institute on Aging (grants: F32AG053025 K01AG043501 K01AG050699 P30AG021334 P50AG00514 R01AG042504 R01AG055781 T32AG000247) and National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (grants: F30DK116658 K24DK101828 R01DK114074).

REFERENCES

- Moulton CD, Tharmaraja T, Dumbrill JL, Hopkins CWP: Letter to the editor. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 30: 1547, 2019
- Chu NM, Gross AL, Shaffer AA, Haugen CE, Norman SP, Xue QL, et al.: Frailty and changes in cognitive function after kidney transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 30: 336–345, 2019
- Gross AL, Chu N, Anderson L, Glymour MM, Jones RN; Coalition Against Major Diseases: Do people with Alzheimer's disease improve with repeated testing? Unpacking the role of content and context in retest effects. *Age Ageing* 47: 866–871, 2018
- Calamia M, Markon K, Tranel D: Scoring higher the second time around: Meta-analyses of practice effects in neuropsychological assessment. *Clin Neuropsychol* 26: 543–570, 2012
- Gross AL, Benitez A, Shih R, Bangen KJ, Glymour MM, Sachs B, et al.: Predictors of retest effects in a longitudinal study of cognitive aging in a diverse community-based sample. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 21: 506–518, 2015
- Troen AM, Scott TM, D'Anci KE, Moorthy D, Dobson B, Rogers G, et al; FACT Study Investigators: Cognitive dysfunction and depression in adult kidney transplant recipients: Baseline findings from the FAVORIT Ancillary Cognitive Trial (FACT). *J Ren Nutr* 22: 268–276.e1–e3, 2012

See related Letter to the Editor, "Cognitive Impairment after Kidney Transplant: a Hidden Consequence of Depression?," on pages 1547–1548.