

## The Eternal (Nocturnal) Quest for Better Dialysis Outcomes

Martin K. Kuhlmann

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology,  
Vivantes Klinikum im Friedrichshain, Berlin, Germany

*J Am Soc Nephrol* 23: ●●●–●●●, 2012.  
doi: 10.1681/ASN.2012020210

Twenty years ago, Bernard Charra and colleagues from Tassin, France, published a seminal paper on the survival rate of patients undergoing thrice weekly 8-hour in-center hemodialysis (HD) treatments.<sup>1</sup> This publication, which still represents the gold standard for outcome in thrice weekly dialysis regimens, induced a global search for improved dialysis regimens leading to better outcomes. Twenty years, millions of dollars, and a multitude of clinical studies later, the quest for improved dialysis outcomes is still ongoing.

The first decade since 1992 was mainly Kt/V-oriented, with clinical studies trying to define an optimum dialysis dose with respect to the diffusive elimination of small water-soluble uremic compounds. Eventually, the HEMO study, a randomized controlled trial comparing sessional target Kt/Vs of 1.20 and 1.45, put an end to this discussion by demonstrating in thrice weekly conventional HD that increasing sessional target Kt/V beyond 1.2 did not improve survival further.<sup>2</sup> Recognition of these obvious limitations of a urea-centered dialysis world led to renewed interest in the removal of other potentially relevant azotemic toxins such as  $\beta$ -2-microglobulin, phosphate, and middle molecules.

At the beginning of the second decade after Tassin, the research focus shifted toward convection, dialysis length, and treatment frequency. Several randomized controlled studies comparing hemodiafiltration (HDF) with conventional low or high-flux HD were initiated, which have either recently been completed or are still ongoing. Data from two of those studies, the Dutch Convective Transport Study and a Turkish study, have been presented in oral or abstract form in 2011, indicating that increasing convection by thrice weekly 3- to 5-hour on-line HDF had no significant effect on the outcome of dialysis patients.

At the same time, there was an accumulation of encouraging data from small controlled or larger observational studies on the positive effects of more intense dialysis regimens on patient satisfaction and outcome. To answer the crucial question, whether the outcome of dialysis patients is significantly improved by maximally increasing dialysis dose and frequency, the two-armed Frequent Hemodialysis Network (FHN) Study was initiated. The first arm of the study examined the effect of short daily in-center HD compared with conventional thrice weekly HD over a 12-month period and had a positive result with the two primary endpoints, mortality or increase in left ventricular mass, and mortality or decrease in physical health composite score, being significantly lower in the more frequent HD group.<sup>3</sup> The second arm was designed to examine the effect of daily nocturnal, 6- to 8-hour home HD compared with conventional thrice weekly home HD. Although in the nocturnal FHN arm, the delivered dialysis dose was profoundly higher than in the short FHN arm, there was no effect on the same predefined primary outcome parameters.<sup>4</sup> The nocturnal FHN arm suffered from a slow and difficult recruiting process, which allowed only 87 patients to be randomized and thus may be considered severely underpowered.<sup>5</sup> Because the FHN nocturnal study was not able to give the desired final answer on dialysis dosing, the book on nocturnal HD is not closed.

Twenty years after the Charra publication, it appears that there is a revival of thrice weekly in-center nocturnal HD, not only in Europe, but also in the United States, where, for example, in-center nocturnal HD is offered by >120 Fresenius Medical Care North America dialysis units to more than 1400 patients.<sup>6</sup> This large in-center nocturnal HD population builds a solid basis for observational outcome studies, such as the one by Lacson *et al.* published in this issue of *JASN*.<sup>7</sup>

They report on 2-year survival of 746 patients who converted to in-center nocturnal HD for the first time during 2006 and 2007 compared with a propensity score-matched control cohort of 2062 dialysis patients undergoing conventional thrice weekly 3- to 5-hour HD. Their data show an impressive 25% reduction of mortality risk in the in-center nocturnal HD group together with an improvement of several relevant clinical features and biomarkers, such as BP control, phosphate levels, and body weight. As with any observational study, these data demonstrate an association between extended dialysis length and outcome rather than a causal relationship.

For interpretation, it is important to understand some caveats of this study. The in-center nocturnal HD group was a selected patient population of younger, mainly male African Americans with a body mass index >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, which were in

Published online ahead of print. Publication date available at www.jasn.org.

**Correspondence:** Dr. Martin K. Kuhlmann, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Vivantes Klinikum im Friedrichshain, Landsberger Allee 49, D-10249 Berlin, Germany. Email: martin.kuhlmann@vivantes.de

Copyright © 2012 by the American Society of Nephrology

many cases referred for in-center nocturnal HD for reasons of high ultrafiltration requirements, making it difficult to reach target postdialysis weights within the constraints of conventional dialysis. The relatively low baseline mortality risk associated with these in-center nocturnal HD patient characteristics is reflected in the only 15% 1-year mortality rate observed in the propensity score-matched control cohort, which is better than overall prevalent dialysis mortality rates of approximately 21% reported in the United States for 2008. It can be concluded that preferentially younger, heavier, and healthier low-risk dialysis patients are represented in the in-center nocturnal HD group.

The propensity score was based on age, sex, race, dialysis vintage, diabetes, body mass index, and vascular access. The fact that there was no information available on comorbid conditions leaves room for substantial residual confounding. Importantly, besides serum albumin levels, there was little information on the general health status of the patient population. There are some indications that the general health status may have been substantially better in the in-center nocturnal HD group. The strongest indicator is the large difference in the dropout rate for kidney transplantation, which was 12% in the nocturnal HD cohort compared with only 6% in the control group. This may be caused by a higher fraction of nocturnal HD patients registered on a waitlist for kidney transplantation rather than by better survival of these patients, as suggested by the authors. In another study on frequent HD published in this issue of *JASN* by Nesrallah *et al.*,<sup>8</sup> where propensity scores included the status of waitlist registration, transplantation rates between conventionally and more intensively treated cohorts of dialysis patients were similar. Transplant eligibility is a good indicator of general health status of dialysis patients and should be included in propensity scores in all future studies on dialysis outcomes.

In almost 50% of in-center nocturnal HD patients, a central venous catheter (CVC) was used for vascular access. Taking into consideration the relatively young age and healthy condition of the patient cohort, this number is alarming and a cause for concern. At first view, the use of CVCs for in-center nocturnal HD may allow for better sleep quality during treatment and thus appears attractive for patients and also for dialysis staff. However, CVCs impose an unnecessary infection risk to patients and increase long-term mortality, whereas dialysis with a fistula is recognized to be associated with better outcome.<sup>9</sup> The report by Lacson *et al.* does not include data on hospitalization or infection rates, and it is not clear as to what respect the low 2-year technique survival rate of only 25% may be caused by catheter problems. Data from other countries clearly demonstrate the feasibility of using native fistulas in >90% of in-center nocturnal HD patients.<sup>10</sup> Especially in nocturnal HD programs, the “Fistula First” approach should be of highest priority.<sup>11</sup>

At the beginning of the third decade after Tassin, we are still unable to reach the low mortality rates described by Charra and colleagues in the majority of their dialysis patients. We have

come a long way to realize that dialysis dose, length, and frequency are important aspects of a general strategy to reach the goal of improved outcomes and that these factors need to be complemented by many other measures, such as adequate nutritional support, achievement of mineral and salt homeostasis, BP control, and, last but not least, prevention of complications, such as catheter infections. We cannot go this alone. Good arguments can also be made for finding a way to improve the health literacy of our patients.<sup>12</sup>

## DISCLOSURES

None.

## REFERENCES

- Charra B, Calémard E, Ruffet M, Chazot C, Terrat JC, Vanel T, Laurent G: Survival as an index of adequacy of dialysis. *Kidney Int* 41: 1286–1291, 1992
- Eknayan G, Beck GJ, Cheung AK, Daugirdas JT, Greene T, Kusek JW, Allon M, Bailey J, Delmez JA, Depner TA, Dwyer JT, Levey AS, Levin NW, Milford E, Ornt DB, Rocco MV, Schulman G, Schwab SJ, Teehan BP, Toto R; Hemodialysis (HEMO) Study Group: Effect of dialysis dose and membrane flux in maintenance hemodialysis. *N Engl J Med* 347: 2010–2019, 2002
- Chertow GM, Levin NW, Beck GJ, Depner TA, Eggers PW, Gassman JJ, Gorodetskaya I, Greene T, James S, Larive B, Lindsay RM, Mehta RL, Miller B, Ornt DB, Rajagopalan S, Rastogi A, Rocco MV, Schiller B, Sergejeva O, Schulman G, Ting GO, Unruh ML, Star RA, Kliger AS; FHN Trial Group: In-center hemodialysis six times per week versus three times per week. *N Engl J Med* 363: 2287–2300, 2010
- Rocco MV, Lockridge RS Jr, Beck GJ, Eggers PW, Gassman JJ, Greene T, Larive B, Chan CT, Chertow GM, Copland M, Hoy CD, Lindsay RM, Levin NW, Ornt DB, Pierratos A, Pipkin MF, Rajagopalan S, Stokes JB, Unruh ML, Star RA, Kliger AS, Kliger A, Eggers P, Briggs J, Hostetter T, Narva A, Star R, Augustine B, Mohr P, Beck G, Fu Z, Gassman J, Greene T, Daugirdas J, Hunsicker L, Larive B, Li M, Mackrell J, Wiggins K, Sherer S, Weiss B, Rajagopalan S, Sanz J, Dellagrottaglie S, Kariisa M, Tran T, West J, Unruh M, Keene R, Schlarb J, Chan C, McGrath-Chong M, Frome R, Higgins H, Ke S, Mandaci O, Owens C, Snell C, Eknayan G, Appel L, Cheung A, Darse A, Kramer C, Geller N, Grimm R, Henderson L, Prichard S, Roecker E, Rocco M, Miller B, Riley J, Schuessler R, Lockridge R, Pipkin M, Peterson C, Hoy C, Fensterer A, Steigerwald D, Stokes J, Somers D, Hilkin A, Lilli K, Wallace W, Franzwa B, Waterman E, Chan C, McGrath-Chong M, Copland M, Levin A, Sioson L, Cabezon E, Kwan S, Roger D, Lindsay R, Suri R, Champagne J, Bullas R, Garg A, Mazzorato A, Spanner E, Rocco M, Burkart J, Moossavi S, Mauck V, Kaufman T, Pierratos A, Chan W, Regozo K, Kwok S; Frequent Hemodialysis Network (FHN) Trial Group: The effects of frequent nocturnal home hemodialysis: The Frequent Hemodialysis Network Nocturnal Trial. *Kidney Int* 80: 1080–1091, 2011
- Davenport A: How best to improve survival in hemodialysis patients: Solute clearance or volume control? *Kidney Int* 80: 1018–1020, 2011
- Lacson E Jr, Diaz-Buxo J: In-center nocturnal hemodialysis performed thrice-weekly—A provider’s perspective. *Semin Dial* 24: 668–673, 2011
- Lacson E Jr, Xu J, Suri RS, Nesrallah G, Lindsay R, Garg AX, Lester K, Ofsthun N, Lazarus M, Hakim RM: Survival with three-times weekly in-center nocturnal versus conventional hemodialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 23: XXX–XXX, 2012
- Nesrallah GE, Lindsay RM, Cuerden MS, Garg AX, Port F, Austin PC, Moist LM, Pierratos A, Chan CT, Zimmerman D, Lockridge RS, Couchoud C, Chazot C, Ofsthun N, Levin A, Copland M, Courtney M, Steele A,

- McFarlane PA, Geary GF, Pauly RP, Komenda P, Suri RS: Intensive hemodialysis associates with improved survival compared with conventional hemodialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 23: XXX–XXX, 2012
9. Astor BC, Eustace JA, Powe NR, Klag MJ, Fink NE, Coresh J; CHOICE Study: Type of vascular access and survival among incident hemodialysis patients: The Choices for Healthy Outcomes in Caring for ESRD (CHOICE) Study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 16: 1449–1455, 2005
10. Ok E, Duman S, Asci G, Tumuklu M, Onen Sertoz O, Kayikcioglu M, Toz H, Adam SM, Yilmaz M, Tonbul HZ, Ozkahya M; Long Dialysis Study Group: Comparison of 4- and 8-h dialysis sessions in thrice-weekly in-centre hemodialysis: A prospective, case-controlled study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 26: 1287–1296, 2011
11. Perl J, Wald R, McFarlane P, Bargman JM, Vonesh E, Na Y, Jassal SV, Moist L: Hemodialysis vascular access modifies the association between dialysis modality and survival. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 22: 1113–1121, 2011
12. Cavanaugh KL, Wingard RL, Hakim RM, Eden S, Shintani A, Wallston KA, Huizinga MM, Elasy TA, Rothman RL, Ikizler TA: Low health literacy associates with increased mortality in ESRD. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 21: 1979–1985, 2010